UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

SEP - 3 2014

Honorable Tony Evers, Ph.D.
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction
P.O. Box 7841
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7841

Dear Superintendent Evers:

This letter is to inform you of the results of the Office of Special Education Programs' (OSEP's) monitoring of the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction's (WDPI's) procedures for ensuring compliance with the fiscal requirements of Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, and related statutes and regulations. In conducting its monitoring, OSEP reviewed publicly available information, State-submitted documentation, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 and Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits. OSEP also conducted 13 on-site visits as part of the Continuous Improvement Visits (CIVs) and conducted telephone interviews with all States in 2012. The reviews were conducted between the fall of 2010 and the fall of 2012.[1]

The conclusions summarized in the enclosure are based primarily on a review of the State's procedures for specific fiscal requirements and other State-reported information collected by OSEP through the following: (1) the ARRA Monitoring Inventory (AMI); (2) the Critical Elements Analysis Guide (CrEAG) discussed during CIVs or telephone interviews; and (3) Maintenance of State Financial Support discussions during CIVs or telephone interviews. As warranted, OSEP referenced open findings made under OMB Circular A-133 or OIG audits that relate to a criterion in the attached enclosure and were sustained in a program determination letter (PDL).

The enclosure reports the results of OSEP's review of your State's fiscal procedures. It is organized by monitoring area and the criteria reviewed for each area. Each criterion includes the applicable regulatory or statutory requirements, and for any finding made, includes the source of information used in identifying noncompliance, and any required corrective actions. Because OSEP did not review data at the local level and all State-level data, OSEP cannot determine whether the State's systems are fully effective in ensuring that the State educational agency (SEA) and local educational agencies (LEAs) in your State meet all fiscal requirements of the

[1] ARRA monitoring occurred between September 2010 and September 2012. OSEP conducted CIVs during the summer and fall of 2011. Telephone interviews for both CrEAG and Maintenance of State Financial Support occurred throughout 2012 and, in some cases, 2013.

IDEA. If no findings are indicated for a particular monitoring area, OSEP did not identify noncompliance in that area and did not provide any further comment.

OSEP identified noncompliance in the review of the WDPI's fiscal systems as detailed in the enclosure, and has required corrective action. OSEP recognizes that, given the length of time between OSEP's monitoring and this letter, the State may have changed policies and/or procedures. If you believe that the State has corrected the noncompliance identified in the enclosure, please inform us and provide any relevant documentation, and OSEP will follow up in writing with your State.

With respect to the State's procedures for calculating the amount of State financial support made available for special education and related services, OSEP has not identified any noncompliance based on our review of the documents and information provided by the SEA. However, OSEP has learned through monitoring, audits, and the review of requests for waivers of the requirement in section 612(a)(18)(A) of the IDEA to maintain State financial support, that staff within the SEA may not have access to all relevant information related to the State's method for calculating the amount of State financial support made available for special education and related services. Therefore, OSEP is unable to conclusively determine that your State's method for calculating the amount of State financial support made available for special education and related services is in compliance with the requirements of the IDEA. OSEP is available to provide technical assistance.

OSEP appreciates the cooperation and assistance provided by your State staff on our monitoring of the State's procedures for ensuring compliance with fiscal requirements related to IDEA Part B funds. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call your OSEP State Contact, Lynne Fairfax, at (202) 245-7337.

Sincerely,

Melody Musgrove, Ed.D.
Director
Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosure
cc: Barbara Van Haren
State Director of Special Education

Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) Fiscal Monitoring Instrument

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (WDPI)

Scope of Review:

The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) monitored WDPI's procedures for ensuring compliance with the fiscal components of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and other related Federal fiscal requirements. In performing this review, OSEP reviewed publicly available information, State-submitted documentation, and Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 and Office of Inspector General audits, and conducted both on-site and telephone interviews with State staff.

Please note the following abbreviations are used in the Fiscal Monitoring Instrument:

AMI – The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 Monitoring Inventory

CrEAG – Critical Elements Analysis Guide

EDGAR – Education Department General Administrative Regulations

FFY – Federal Fiscal Year

FS – fiscal systems element of the CrEAG

GEPA – General Education Provisions Act

LEA – local educational agency

MFS – maintenance of financial support

SEA – State educational agency

IDEA Part B
Summary of Monitoring Criterion

Monitoring Area 1, IDEA Part B: Obligation/Liquidation

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirements
Criterion 1.1	The SEA has procedures to allocate the IDEA section 611 and section 619 subgrants to eligible LEAs based upon the correct formula.	No	34 CFR §§300.200, 300.705(a)-(b), 300.815-300.816
Criterion 1.2	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs are provided 27 months to obligate funds.	No	34 CFR §76.709(a)
Criterion 1.3	The SEA has procedures to obligate funds solely during the 27 month period of availability and liquidate funds not later than 90 days after the end of the funding period or an extension of that timeline authorized by the Department.	No	34 CFR §§76.703, 76.709, 80.23
Criterion 1.4	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs obligate funds solely during the 27 month period of availability and liquidate funds not later than 90 days after the end of the funding period or an extension of that timeline authorized by the Department.	No	34 CFR §§76.709, 80.23
Criterion 1.5	The SEA has procedures to reallocate IDEA section 611 and section 619 subgrants, when appropriate, consistent with the regulations.	No	34 CFR §§300.705(c), 300.817
Criterion 1.6	The SEA has procedures to draw down funds based on immediate needs; any interest accrued by the SEA or LEAs in excess of $100 per year per account is returned to the Department.	No	34 CFR §80.21(c)&(i)

Finding: None

Monitoring Area 2, IDEA Part B: Use of Funds

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirement
Criterion 2.1	The SEA has procedures to ensure that funds are expended in accordance with the requirements of the IDEA Part B.	No	34 CFR §§300.162(a), 300.202(a)(1)
Criterion 2.2	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs use IDEA funds only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to children with disabilities in accordance with IDEA.	Yes	34 CFR §§300.16, 300.202(a)(2)
Criterion 2.3	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs spend the required amount on providing special education and related services to parentally-placed private school children with disabilities.	No	34 CFR §300.133
Criterion 2.4	The SEA has procedures to provide an approved restricted indirect cost rate (RICR) for its LEAs.	No	34 CFR §§76.560-76.569
Criterion 2.5	The SEA has procedures to provide IDEA funds to LEA charter schools in accordance with IDEA and EDGAR.	No	34 CFR §§76.788-76.797, 300.209(c), 300.705(a)-(b), 300.815-300.816
Criterion 2.6	The SEA has procedures to ensure that each LEA provides funds to charter schools that are part of the LEA in the same manner it provides funds to its other schools.	No	34 CFR §§76.799, 300.209(b)

Finding: Criterion 2.2: During the AMI telephone interview conducted on November 7-8, 2011 and the most recent call on August 15, 2014, the State reported that it is developing, but has not implemented procedures to ensure that LEAs: (1) use IDEA Part B funds only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to children with disabilities in accordance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.202(a)(2) and (b); and (2) compute excess costs in accordance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.16 and Appendix A to 34 CFR Part 300.

Citation: Under 34 CFR §300.202(a)(2) and (b), an LEA must use IDEA Part B funds only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to children with disabilities. Excess costs are those costs that are in excess of the average annual per-student expenditure in an LEA during the preceding school year for an elementary school or secondary school student, as appropriate, and that are computed using the method described in 34 CFR §300.16 and Appendix A to 34 CFR Part 300. As part of

its general supervisory responsibilities under 34 CFR §§300.149 and 300.600, the SEA must ensure that each LEA: (1) provides an assurance to the SEA, as part of its application for Part B funds, that it will use IDEA Part B funds only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to children with disabilities, as required by 34 CFR §§300.200 and 300.202(a)(2) and (b), and (2) computes excess costs in accordance with the requirements in 34 CFR §300.16 and Appendix A to 34 CFR Part 300. Further guidance explaining this computation is available on The Right IDEA website at http://therightidea.tadnet.org/articles/26.

Further Action Required: Within 60 days of the date of this letter the State must submit to OSEP:

1. Revised State policies and procedures that demonstrate the SEA will ensure that LEAs comply with the excess cost requirements in 34 CFR §§300.16, 300.202(a)(2) and (b), and Appendix A to 34 CFR Part 300; and
2. A copy of the correspondence in which the State has informed its State audit office that is responsible for conducting audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, of this finding of noncompliance and OSEP's required corrective actions.

Within 30 days of OSEP's notification to the State that it has approved the revisions made to the policies and procedures, the State must provide documentation that it has notified the LEAs of the revisions.

Monitoring Area 3, IDEA Part B: ARRA

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirement
Criterion 3.1	The SEA ensures that infrastructure investments are properly certified and posted.	No	ARRA §1511
Criterion 3.2	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs comply with the "Buy American" requirements.	No	2 CFR §§176.60-176.170
Criterion 3.3	The SEA has procedures to ensure that LEAs comply with the prevailing wage requirements.	No	2 CFR §§176.180, 176.190
Criterion 3.4	The SEA has procedures to ensure that it prevents and detects fraud, waste, and abuse.	No	Inspector General Act of 1987 (P.L. 100-504)

Finding: None

Monitoring Area 4, IDEA Part B: Level of Effort

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirement
Criterion 4.1	The State has procedures to calculate its financial support for special education and related services for children with disabilities in accordance with the IDEA.	No	34 CFR §300.163(a)
Criterion 4.2	The SEA has procedures to ensure that each LEA budgets, for the education of children with disabilities, at least the same amount as the LEA spent for that purpose in the most recent prior year for which information is available.	No	34 CFR §300.203(b)
Criterion 4.3	The SEA has procedures to ensure that each LEA expends at least the same amount as it expended in the immediate prior year for the education of children with disabilities, unless the LEA has allowable exceptions or adjustments.	No	34 CFR §§300.203(a), 300.204-300.205
Criterion 4.4	The SEA's procedures for reviewing LEA MOE consider each of the following ways to calculate MOE: total local funds; per capita local funds; total local and State funds; or per capita local and State funds. The SEA's procedures for reviewing LEA MOE find an LEA to have met MOE if the LEA met MOE based on one or more of those comparisons.	No	34 CFR §300.203(b)

Finding: None

Monitoring Area 5, IDEA Part B: Procurement, Property, and Record Retention

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirement
Criterion 5.1	The SEA obtains approval from the Department prior to using its State-level IDEA funds for equipment, construction, or alteration of facilities.	No	34 CFR §300.718
Criterion 5.2	The SEA has procedures to ensure that an LEA obtains its approval prior to using IDEA funds for equipment, construction, or alteration of facilities.	No	34 CFR §300.718
Criterion 5.3	The SEA has procedures to ensure that its procurement mechanisms, and those used by its LEAs, conform to applicable Federal law and State procurement rules.	No	34 CFR §80.36
Criterion 5.4	The SEA has procedures to ensure that each LEA maintains a physical inventory of property acquired with IDEA funds and conducts inventories to reconcile with property records at least once every two years.	No	34 CFR §80.32(d)(2)
Criterion 5.5	The SEA has procedures to ensure that it, and its LEAs, do not award or obligate funds to any party that has been debarred or suspended.	No	34 CFR §80.35
Criterion 5.6	The SEA has procedures to ensure it, and its LEAs, maintain financial and programmatic records for the period of time required by Federal law.	No	34 CFR §80.42

Finding: None

Monitoring Area 6, IDEA Part B: Fiscal Monitoring

Criterion Number	Description	Noncompliance identified?	Applicable Requirement
Criterion 6.1	The SEA has a reasonably designed system to monitor subgrantees to ensure compliance with applicable Federal fiscal requirements.	No	34 CFR §§80.26, 80.40, 300.149, 300.600

Finding: None