



education  
analytics

**TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE WISCONSIN VALUE-  
ADDED MODEL:  
ACADEMIC YEAR 2021-22**

---

// November 2022

110 E Main Street, Ste. 1000  
Madison, WI 53703

---

608.466.4966

[edanalytics.org](https://edanalytics.org)

# CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| INTRODUCTION .....  | 3  |
| ANALYSIS DATA SETS .....  | 3  |
| Student-level variables .....   | 4  |
| School enrollment .....   | 6  |
| Students attending private school .....                                     | 6  |
| Descriptive statistics of analysis samples .....                            | 6  |
| The model, in brief .....   | 10 |
| Value-added regression .....  | 12 |
| The variables in the model .....  | 13 |
| Frequency of lowest observed scale scores .....                             | 13 |
| Incorporating students with only two years of scores .....                  | 14 |
| Aggregation to multiple-grade value-added .....                             | 14 |
| Shrinkage of value-added .....  | 14 |
| Student group value-added .....   | 16 |
| Final stage for estimation of school and district value-added results ..... | 18 |
| PROPERTIES OF THE VALUE-ADDED RESULTS .....                                 | 19 |
| Coefficients on student-level variables in the model .....                  | 19 |
| Test of model neutrality: Correlation with average prior attainment ..      | 23 |
| Correlation between Math and ELA value-added .....                          | 23 |
| CONTACT .....   | 23 |
| REFERENCES .....  | 24 |
| Appendix: 2021-22 Skip-year growth .....                                    | 24 |

# INTRODUCTION

This report describes the value-added model used by Education Analytics to measure the effectiveness of Wisconsin public schools using assessment data from the Forward Exam, ACT Aspire, and ACT.

The report is divided into three sections. The first section describes the data sets used to produce the value-added estimates. The second section describes the model used to estimate value-added for schools in Wisconsin. Finally, the third section presents some properties of the value-added results.

Conceptually, value-added analysis is the use of statistical techniques to isolate the component of measured student knowledge that is attributable to schools from other factors. Such factors may include prior knowledge and student characteristics associated with growth in student achievement. In practice, value-added models focus on the improvement students make on annual assessments from one year to the next, considering differences in student characteristics. Value-added models often control for measurable student characteristics using available data, such as economic disadvantage and disability, to help isolate the impact of schooling.

The model used in Wisconsin includes the available set of student characteristics to identify the extent to which schools contribute to the improvement of student achievement outcomes. Once the school-level value-added results are calculated, these are averaged to obtain district scores. To calculate the final scores, up to three years of results are combined: 2018-19, 2020-21, and 2021-22. Note that in the 2019-20 school year assessments were not administered due to COVID-19; therefore, data from that year are not included.

## ANALYSIS DATA SETS

Before estimation can take place, a substantial amount of work is required to assemble the analysis data sets used to produce the value-added estimates. A separate analysis data set is produced for each grade, subject, and test. In total, 16 analysis data sets are produced, covering grades 4 through 11 for English language arts (ELA) and math in 2021-22.

Each analysis data set includes students who have (1) a test result in 2021-22 (the posttest) in the grade and subject being considered, (2) test results in 2020-21 (the pretests) in both ELA and math and (3) full academic year (FAY) status in their school or district in the 2021-22 school year.

The model also includes students in voucher school programs (referred to as Private School Choice Programs in Wisconsin). In addition, privately run schools receiving voucher students were entitled to an optional value-added score that included all attending students, including those students not receiving public funds.

## *Student-level variables*

### **POSTTEST AND PRETEST VARIABLES**

The test scores used are from the 2018-19, 2020-21, and 2021-22 administrations of the Forward, Aspire, and ACT assessments. The Forward assessment is administered to students in grades 3 through 8; the Aspire, to students in grades 9 and 10; and the ACT, to students in grade 11. The value-added system produces school-level measures for grades 4 through 11 in ELA and math based on performance on the 2021-22 assessment. The 2021-22 value-added in ELA uses the 2021-22 ELA score as the posttest. Similarly, the 2021-22 value-added in math uses the 2021-22 math score as the posttest. All value-added models include pretests in both ELA and math, both from the year before the posttest in 2020-21 and, when available, from three years before the posttest in 2018-19.

All test scores are transformed to a rank-based z-statistic scale with means equal to zero and standard deviations equal to one in each grade and subject. Thus, in the value-added analyses, all test scores were measured relative to the state means, and in units of the statewide standard deviations of test scores in given grades and subjects. The rank-based z-statistic transformation, which ranks scores and then assigns to them a z-statistic based on the value associated with that rank in the normal distribution, was made to transform assessment scale scores to a normal distribution.

### **RELIABILITY OF PRETEST VARIABLES**

The reliability of an assessment is the proportion of variance in test scores that is a result of differences in student knowledge of the material covered by the assessment rather than of randomness. The reliability estimates of math and ELA pretest scores are computed using the conditional standard errors of measurement (CSEMs) provided by the assessment vendor. The CSEM for the rank-based z-statistic is produced from the CSEM for the corresponding scale score using multiple steps, which are implemented separately for each scale score value. First, we repeatedly simulate measurement error around the scale score, creating a set of repeated, simulated scale scores with measurement error. These simulated scores are drawn from a normal distribution with a mean at the value of the original scale score and a standard deviation at the CSEM associated with the original scale score. Next, we transform the simulated scale scores to simulated rank-based z-scores, using the same transformation as that which was used

to transform the original scale scores to rank-based z-scores. Last, we compute the standard deviation of the simulated rank-based z-scores. This computes the CSEM associated with the rank-based z-score corresponding to the original scale score. Across years, grades, and subjects, the reliabilities of the rank-based z-scores range from 0.89 to 0.93 on the Forward assessment and from 0.91 to 0.93 on the Aspire assessment. All these reliabilities suggest that the vast majority of the variance of these tests reflect tangible differences in student knowledge of the content area. These reliability estimates are used for a correction for measurement error in the pretests.

## **GENDER, RACE/ETHNICITY, ECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE, AND MIGRANCY**

Gender, race/ethnicity, economic disadvantage, and migrancy are drawn from the Wisconsin Information System for Education data (WISEdata) elements. Specifically, the values for these variables are drawn from the Spring Demographic Snapshot of WISEdata captured on May 24, 2022.<sup>1</sup> In the analysis data set, students are assigned the gender, race/ethnicity, low-income status, and migrant status reported in the post-test year. Gender categories are male and female. Race categories are American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, White, and multi-racial. The analysis employs an indicator for [economically disadvantaged students](#) and an indicator for [migrant students](#).

## **ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY CLASSIFICATION**

There are seven indicators for [English-language proficiency](#) (ELP) included in the analysis dataset. Students with ELP classifications of 1 through 5 are considered to be English-language learners in ascending levels of proficiency. Students with an ELP classification of 6 are those who were formerly classified as having limited English proficiency. Students with an ELP classification of 7 are those who were never English Learners. ELP classification is drawn from the WISEdata Snapshot.

## **DISABILITY**

The analysis includes five indicators for students with disabilities according to their primary disability code. There are separate indicators for emotional/behavioral disability (EBD), learning or intellectual disability (LD/ID), autism (A), and speech/language disability (SL). All other disability codes are grouped into a single indicator for other disabilities. Disability status

---

<sup>1</sup> WISEdata is a dynamic data delivery system. Snapshots capture a static version of the data as it was delivered to Wisconsin DPI on a given date. The Spring Demographic Snapshot taken near the end of the school year was for the purpose of supplying demographic characteristics to associate with student assessment results.

is based on a student having an active individualized education program (IEP) or individualized service plan (ISP) between December 1 and June 30.

## *School enrollment*

Students who have full academic year (FAY) status at a single school are assigned to that school using the school enrollment data. For the purpose of Wisconsin accountability systems and therefore value-added modeling, FAY is defined as being enrolled from the beginning of the year through completion of required statewide testing. Some students have FAY status in a single district but not at a single school because of mobility within the district. These students are included in the district growth measures but not in the school growth measures.

## *Students attending private school*

The analysis set includes test scores for students participating in one of the Private School Choice programs in Wisconsin. These students receive a voucher to attend private school. All participating private schools receive a value-added score based only on students in PSC programs (i.e., those receiving vouchers). In addition, these private schools are given the option to receive a second report card in the Wisconsin accountability system (including a value-added score) which includes all students in the school. Such schools are denoted as “opt-in” schools because they opted to receive the second non-compulsory score. Growth measures for “opt-in” schools that include students not in PSC programs (i.e., students attending private schools but not using vouchers) are computed by re-estimating the value-added growth model using a data set that includes students in PSC programs as well as those not in PSC programs.

## *Descriptive statistics of analysis samples*

Tables 1 and 2 describe the sample used for the 2021-22 school year. Note that the sample includes students from public schools and private schools participating in one of the PSC programs in Wisconsin. The private school students include students attending schools that opted in to receive a score for all their students regardless of whether an individual student is participating in PSC.

Table 1. Math Sample

| Variable                           | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Number of Students                 | 49,385  | 49,270  | 50,161  | 51,288  | 51,999  | 50,788  | 48,314   | 47,820   |
| Number of Public School Students   | 46,405  | 46,503  | 47,287  | 48,450  | 49,026  | 48,549  | 46,175   | 45,796   |
| Number of Students in PSC Programs | 2,335   | 2,136   | 2,197   | 2,154   | 2,243   | 1,792   | 1,651    | 1,477    |

| Variable  | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Number of Private School Students not in PSC Programs | 378     | 391     | 406     | 388     | 418     | 184     | 311      | 333      |
| Total Number of Private School Students               | 2,713   | 2,527   | 2,603   | 2,542   | 2,661   | 1,976   | 1,962    | 1,810    |
| Number of Public Schools                              | 1,090   | 1,042   | 6,97    | 658     | 661     | 533     | 530      | 534      |
| Number of Private Schools                             | 160     | 152     | 155     | 147     | 148     | 71      | 65       | 68       |
| Number of Public School District Codes                | 430     | 431     | 432     | 429     | 431     | 390     | 386      | 384      |
| Posttest Mean   | 578.6   | 604.0   | 610.2   | 623.5   | 640.5   | 425.7   | 428.4    | 19.6     |
| Posttest Standard Deviation                           | 54.1    | 50.1    | 56.7    | 59.4    | 58.1    | 9.8     | 10.3     | 5.4      |
| Math Pretest Mean                                     | 550.6   | 572.9   | 596.0   | 604.0   | 622.0   | 641.7   | 425.8    | 427.7    |
| Math Pretest Standard Deviation                       | 55.5    | 52.7    | 55.1    | 56.9    | 59.1    | 55.4    | 8.3      | 8.8      |
| ELA Pretest Mean                                      | 551.1   | 578.7   | 594.3   | 605.5   | 626.9   | 631.1   | 425.8    | 427.4    |
| ELA Pretest Standard Deviation                        | 46.2    | 50.9    | 48.4    | 49.4    | 54.6    | 57.6    | 7.0      | 7.2      |
| Proportion in ELP Level 1                             | 0.008   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.005   | 0.003    | 0.002    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 2                             | 0.015   | 0.007   | 0.006   | 0.009   | 0.008   | 0.007   | 0.004    | 0.004    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 3                             | 0.038   | 0.027   | 0.022   | 0.029   | 0.025   | 0.021   | 0.017    | 0.014    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 4                             | 0.019   | 0.027   | 0.022   | 0.010   | 0.013   | 0.013   | 0.011    | 0.008    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 5                             | 0.001   | 0.002   | 0.002   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.000   | 0.000    | 0.000    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 6 (former English learners)   | 0.012   | 0.023   | 0.039   | 0.044   | 0.042   | 0.043   | 0.045    | 0.045    |
| Proportion Female                                     | 0.490   | 0.491   | 0.490   | 0.488   | 0.485   | 0.483   | 0.486    | 0.494    |
| Proportion Asian                                      | 0.040   | 0.040   | 0.037   | 0.040   | 0.037   | 0.037   | 0.037    | 0.036    |
| Proportion African American                           | 0.070   | 0.070   | 0.070   | 0.067   | 0.068   | 0.061   | 0.039    | 0.039    |
| Proportion Hispanic                                   | 0.128   | 0.128   | 0.128   | 0.129   | 0.127   | 0.123   | 0.113    | 0.105    |
| Proportion Native American                            | 0.009   | 0.010   | 0.010   | 0.009   | 0.008   | 0.009   | 0.008    | 0.008    |
| Proportion Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander  | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |

| Variable  | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Proportion Two or More Races                        | 0.052   | 0.048   | 0.046   | 0.044   | 0.043   | 0.04    | 0.036    | 0.033    |
| Proportion Special Education: Emotional Behavioral  | 0.011   | 0.014   | 0.014   | 0.015   | 0.015   | 0.014   | 0.010    | 0.010    |
| Proportion Special Education: Learning/Intellectual | 0.041   | 0.046   | 0.047   | 0.046   | 0.045   | 0.045   | 0.038    | 0.037    |
| Proportion Special Education Autism                 | 0.013   | 0.013   | 0.013   | 0.012   | 0.012   | 0.011   | 0.011    | 0.010    |
| Proportion Special Education: Speech/Language       | 0.038   | 0.024   | 0.015   | 0.009   | 0.006   | 0.003   | 0.003    | 0.002    |
| Proportion Special Education: Other                 | 0.039   | 0.038   | 0.037   | 0.038   | 0.035   | 0.035   | 0.031    | 0.028    |
| Proportion with Economic Disadvantage               | 0.424   | 0.414   | 0.412   | 0.400   | 0.394   | 0.368   | 0.320    | 0.294    |
| Proportion Migrant                                  | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000    | 0.000    |

Table 2. English Language Arts (ELA) Sample

| Variable  | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Number of Students                                    | 49,392  | 49,281  | 50,168  | 51,338  | 52,034  | 49,417  | 47,284   | 47,145   |
| Number of Public School Students                      | 46,411  | 46,512  | 47,291  | 48,493  | 49,061  | 47,281  | 45,218   | 45,150   |
| Number of Students in PSC Programs                    | 2,335   | 2,135   | 2,199   | 2,158   | 2,244   | 1,741   | 1,595    | 1,461    |
| Number of Private School Students not in PSC Programs | 378     | 391     | 406     | 388     | 418     | 181     | 311      | 329      |
| Total Number of Private School Students               | 2,713   | 2,526   | 2,605   | 2,546   | 2,662   | 1,922   | 1,906    | 1,790    |
| Number of Public Schools                              | 1,090   | 1,042   | 697     | 658     | 661     | 531     | 529      | 534      |
| Number of Private Schools                             | 161     | 152     | 155     | 147     | 148     | 69      | 64       | 67       |
| Number of Public School District Codes                | 430     | 431     | 432     | 430     | 431     | 389     | 386      | 384      |
| Posttest Mean   | 581.7   | 597.4   | 605.9   | 623.1   | 627.0   | 425.5   | 427.4    | 18.6     |
| Posttest Standard Deviation                           | 50.5    | 50.3    | 49.1    | 54.2    | 59.1    | 7.4     | 7.5      | 5.4      |
| ELA Pretest Mean                                      | 551.1   | 578.7   | 594.3   | 605.5   | 626.9   | 632.6   | 426.0    | 427.5    |
| ELA Pretest Standard Deviation                        | 46.2    | 50.9    | 48.5    | 49.4    | 54.7    | 56.7    | 6.9      | 7.1      |



| Variable   | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Math Pretest Mean                                    | 550.5   | 572.9   | 596.0   | 604.0   | 622.0   | 643.2   | 425.9    | 427.9    |
| Math Pretest Standard Deviation                      | 55.5    | 52.7    | 55.2    | 56.9    | 59.1    | 54.5    | 8.2      | 8.7      |
| Proportion in ELP Level 1                            | 0.008   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.007   | 0.004   | 0.003    | 0.002    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 2                            | 0.015   | 0.007   | 0.006   | 0.009   | 0.008   | 0.006   | 0.003    | 0.004    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 3                            | 0.038   | 0.027   | 0.022   | 0.029   | 0.025   | 0.020   | 0.016    | 0.013    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 4                            | 0.019   | 0.027   | 0.022   | 0.010   | 0.013   | 0.013   | 0.011    | 0.008    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 5                            | 0.001   | 0.002   | 0.002   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.000   | 0.000    | 0.000    |
| Proportion in ELP Level 6 (former English learners)  | 0.012   | 0.023   | 0.039   | 0.044   | 0.042   | 0.043   | 0.046    | 0.045    |
| Proportion Female                                    | 0.490   | 0.490   | 0.490   | 0.488   | 0.485   | 0.487   | 0.490    | 0.498    |
| Proportion Asian                                     | 0.040   | 0.040   | 0.037   | 0.040   | 0.037   | 0.038   | 0.038    | 0.036    |
| Proportion African American                          | 0.070   | 0.070   | 0.070   | 0.067   | 0.068   | 0.057   | 0.037    | 0.038    |
| Proportion Hispanic                                  | 0.128   | 0.128   | 0.128   | 0.128   | 0.127   | 0.120   | 0.112    | 0.104    |
| Proportion Native American                           | 0.009   | 0.010   | 0.010   | 0.009   | 0.008   | 0.008   | 0.007    | 0.008    |
| Proportion Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| Proportion Two or More Races                         | 0.052   | 0.048   | 0.046   | 0.044   | 0.043   | 0.040   | 0.035    | 0.032    |
| Proportion Special Education: Emotional Behavioral   | 0.011   | 0.014   | 0.014   | 0.015   | 0.015   | 0.013   | 0.009    | 0.009    |
| Proportion Special Education: Learning/Intellectual  | 0.041   | 0.046   | 0.047   | 0.046   | 0.045   | 0.042   | 0.037    | 0.036    |
| Proportion Special Education Autism                  | 0.013   | 0.013   | 0.014   | 0.012   | 0.012   | 0.010   | 0.010    | 0.010    |
| Proportion Special Education: Speech/Language        | 0.038   | 0.024   | 0.015   | 0.009   | 0.006   | 0.004   | 0.003    | 0.002    |
| Proportion Special Education: Other                  | 0.039   | 0.038   | 0.037   | 0.038   | 0.035   | 0.033   | 0.029    | 0.027    |
| Proportion with Economic Disadvantage                | 0.424   | 0.414   | 0.412   | 0.400   | 0.394   | 0.359   | 0.315    | 0.291    |
| Proportion Migrant                                   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000    | 0.000    |

## VALUE-ADDED MODEL

For the Wisconsin school-level model, 2021-22 value-added is measured in mathematics and English language arts (ELA) in grades four through eleven using the Forward assessment (4-8), the Aspire assessment (9-10), and the ACT (11). Schools are assigned value-added measures that reflect student growth from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022. Once the schools get a growth value, these values are averaged to obtain the district's score, using the number of students attributed to each school as weights.<sup>2</sup> The single-year value-added measures for 2021-22 are averaged with the two most recent prior value-added measures (the skip-year measures from 2019-21 and the single-year measures from 2018-19) to produce a multi-year average that smooths year-to-year variance in value-added measures.

### *The model, in brief*

The value-added model is defined by six equations: a "best linear predictor" value-added model defined in terms of true student posttest and pretest achievement (i.e., student achievement in the absence of test measurement error) and five measurement error models for observed post and prior achievement:

$$\text{Student achievement: } y_{3i} = \zeta + \lambda_2 y_{2i} + \lambda_2^{alt} y_{2i}^{alt} + \lambda_0 y_{0i} + \lambda_0^{alt} y_{0i}^{alt} + \beta X_i + \alpha S_i + e_i \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Posttest measurement error: } Y_{3i} = y_{3i} + v_{3i} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Same-subject, once-lagged pretest measurement error: } Y_{2i} = y_{2i} + v_{2i} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Other-subject, once-lagged pretest measurement error: } Y_{2i}^{alt} = y_{2i}^{alt} + v_{2i}^{alt} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Same-subject, twice-lagged pretest measurement error: } Y_{0i} = y_{0i} + v_{0i} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Other-subject, twice-lagged pretest measurement error: } Y_{0i}^{alt} = y_{0i}^{alt} + v_{0i}^{alt} \quad (6)$$

where:

- the subscript  $i$  denotes each individual student;
- $y_{3i}$  is true post achievement;
- $y_{2i}$  and  $y_{2i}^{alt}$  are true prior achievement, one year before post achievement, in the same subject and in the other subject (math in the ELA model, ELA in the math model), with slope parameters  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_2^{alt}$ ;
- $y_{0i}$  and  $y_{0i}^{alt}$  are true prior achievement, three years before post achievement, in the same subject and in the other subject (math in the ELA model, ELA in the math model), with slope parameters  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_0^{alt}$ ;

---

<sup>2</sup> Note that students who changed schools within a given district within a year are included in the district's score but not in a school score (see School Enrollment section).

- $X_i$  is a vector of characteristics of student  $i$ , with slope parameter vector  $\beta$ ;
- $S_i$  is a vector of indicators for school;
- $\alpha$  is a vector of school effects;
- $e_i$  is the error in predicting post achievement given the explanatory variables included in the model;
- $Y_{3i}$  is measured post achievement;
- $v_{3i}$  is measurement error in post achievement;
- $Y_{2i}$  and  $Y_{2i}^{alt}$  are measured prior achievement, one year before post achievement, for the same subject and alternate subject, respectively;
- $v_{2i}$  and  $v_{2i}^{alt}$  are measurement error in prior achievement, one year before post achievement, for the same subject and alternate subject, respectively;
- $Y_{0i}$  and  $Y_{0i}^{alt}$  are measured prior achievement, three years before post achievement, for the same subject and alternate subject, respectively; and
- $v_{0i}$  and  $v_{0i}^{alt}$  are measurement error in prior achievement, three years before post achievement, for the same subject and alternate subject, respectively.

Substituting the measurement error equations (2) through (6) into the student achievement equation (1) yields an equation defined in terms of measured student achievement:

$$\text{Measured achievement: } Y_{3i} = \zeta + \lambda_2 Y_{2i} + \lambda_2^{alt} Y_{2i}^{alt} + \lambda_0 Y_{0i} + \lambda_0^{alt} Y_{0i}^{alt} + \beta X_i + \alpha S_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (7)$$

where the error term  $\varepsilon_i$  includes both the original error component and the measurement error components:

$$\text{Error in measured achievement: } \varepsilon_i = e_i + v_{3i} - \lambda_2 v_{2i} - \lambda_2^{alt} v_{2i}^{alt} - \lambda_0 v_{0i} - \lambda_0^{alt} v_{0i}^{alt} \quad (8)$$

Estimating the measured student achievement equation (7) without controlling for pretest measurement error yields biased estimates of all parameters, including the value-added effects. This bias stems from the fact that measurement error in prior achievement causes the error term (8), which includes the measurement error components  $v_{2i}$ ,  $v_{2i}^{alt}$ ,  $v_{0i}$ , and  $v_{0i}^{alt}$ , to be correlated with measured prior achievement. The desired parameters, as defined in equation (1), can be estimated consistently if external information is available on the variance of measurement error for prior achievement; approaches for consistent estimation in the presence of measurement error are described in detail in Fuller (1987). Information about the variance of test measurement error is obtained from the conditional standard errors of measurement (CSEMs) provided alongside the assessment scores.

In contrast to measurement error in the pretest variables, measurement error in the posttest does not cause any distortions in commonly used regression approaches and can safely be overlooked. This is because we do not expect posttest measurement error  $v_{3i}$  to be correlated with measured prior achievement or any of the other right-hand-side variables in the regression

equation (7). We do not expect any such correlation because there is no reason to think that a student's good or bad luck on the posttest administration should have anything to do with their measured performance in the past, their demographic characteristics, or their school assignment. Given the absence of such a correlation, the presence of posttest measurement error  $v_{3i}$  in the regression error term in (8) will not bias coefficient estimates if it is overlooked. In fact, from the perspective of estimation technique, we can think of posttest measurement error  $v_{3i}$  as operating no differently from the structural error  $e_i$ .

## Value-added regression

As mentioned, the value-added model is estimated using a least-squares regression approach that corrects for measurement error in the pretest variables. It estimates the coefficients  $\lambda$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\alpha$  by regressing posttest on the pretests, other student-level variables, and a full set of school fixed effects. This regression is estimated using an approach that accounts for measurement error in the pretests  $Y_{2i}$ ,  $Y_{2i}^{alt}$ ,  $Y_{0i}$ , and  $Y_{0i}^{alt}$ . Recall from equation (8) above that  $v_{2i}$ ,  $v_{2i}^{alt}$ ,  $v_{0i}$ , and  $v_{0i}^{alt}$ , the measurement error components of the pretests, are part of the error term  $\varepsilon_i$ . As a result, estimating the regression using ordinary least squares (without controlling for pretest measurement error) will lead to biased estimates. The regression approach employed accounts for measurement error by removing the variance in the pretests that is attributable to measurement error. To illustrate the measurement error corrected regression, re-cast the above value-added regression equation into matrix form:

$$Y_t = Y_{t-\ell}\lambda + W\delta + \varepsilon$$

where  $Y_t$  is an  $N \times 1$  matrix of post-test scores,  $Y_{t-\ell}$  is an  $N \times 4$  matrix of same-subject and other-subject pre-test scores  $Y_{2i}$ ,  $Y_{2i}^{alt}$ ,  $Y_{0i}$ , and  $Y_{0i}^{alt}$ ;  $\lambda$  is a  $4 \times 1$  matrix made up of  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_2^{alt}$ ,  $\lambda_0$ , and  $\lambda_0^{alt}$ ;  $W$  is an  $N \times K$  matrix of the  $X$  demographic variables and  $S$  school indicators,  $\delta$  is a  $K \times 1$  matrix of the  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  coefficients, and  $\varepsilon$  is an  $N \times 1$  matrix of error terms. The biased ordinary-least-squares estimates of the coefficients in  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are equal to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{OLS} \\ \hat{\delta}_{OLS} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y'_{t-\ell}Y_{t-\ell} & Y'_{t-\ell}W \\ W'Y_{t-\ell} & W'W \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Y'_{t-\ell}Y_t \\ W'Y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

The measurement-error-corrected estimates of the coefficients in  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are equal to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{EIV} \\ \hat{\delta}_{EIV} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y'_{t-\ell}Y_{t-\ell} - \left(\frac{N-K-4}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N V_{it-\ell} & Y'_{t-\ell}W \\ W'Y_{t-\ell} & W'W \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Y'_{t-\ell}Y_t \\ W'Y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $V_{it-\ell}$  is a  $4 \times 4$  variance-covariance matrix of the errors of measurement of the variables in  $Y_{t-\ell}$  for student  $i$ . This model is described in section 2.2 of Fuller (1987).

## The variables in the model

In addition to posttest and pretest scores, the student-level variables included in the model (the *X* variables in equation 1) include gender, race/ethnicity, ELP category, economic disadvantage, disability status, and migrancy. No higher order terms or interactions of terms are used in the model. Refer to the section “Analysis Data Set: Student-Level Variables” for a more complete description of the categories that make up each student-level variable.

## Frequency of lowest observed scale scores

In some grades, an appreciable percentage of students received Forward math scores at the lowest observable scale score (LOSS). We present the proportion of students with scores at the LOSS in Table 3. The substantive number of students at the LOSS was a primary reason for converting scale scores to the rank-based z-statistic for use in the value-added growth model. This conversion sets scores at the LOSS (and all other levels) to values corresponding to a normal distribution of student achievement across the state.

Table 3. Percentage of Students at Test Floor (Lowest Observable Scale Score, LOSS) for Pre- and Posttests

|                                      | Posttest Grade | Test Subject | Percent at Posttest Floor | Percent at Math Pretest Floor | Percent at ELA Pretest Floor |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Included in Growth Analysis Data Set | 4              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 1.8                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 2.0                       | 1.8                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 5              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 2.2                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 1.9                       | 2.2                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 6              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 4.1                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 1.8                       | 4.1                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 7              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 3.5                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 1.9                       | 3.5                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 8              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 3.5                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 2.6                       | 3.5                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 9              | ELA          | 0.0                       | 2.1                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 0.0                       | 2.3                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 10             | ELA          | 0.0                       | 0.0                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 0.0                       | 0.0                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      | 11             | ELA          | 0.0                       | 0.0                           | 0.0                          |
|                                      |                | Mathematics  | 0.0                       | 0.0                           | 0.0                          |

## *Incorporating students with only two years of scores*

The estimation approach above produces school growth measures based on the growth of students with measured scores in all three years (2018-19, 2020-21, and 2021-22). To include students with measured scores in 2021-22 and 2020-21 but not in 2018-19, we estimate a model that is identical to that described above except that it does not include the pretest variables  $y_{0i}$  and  $y_{0i}^{alt}$ . We then produce, for each student, a growth residual equal to an estimate of  $\alpha'S_i + \varepsilon_i$ , using the coefficients from the complete model that includes  $y_{0i}$  and  $y_{0i}^{alt}$  when the measured pretest measures  $Y_{0i}$  and  $Y_{0i}^{alt}$  are available, and using the coefficients from the model that does not include  $y_{0i}$  and  $y_{0i}^{alt}$  when the measured pretest measures  $Y_{0i}$  and  $Y_{0i}^{alt}$  are not available. This growth residual is demeaned by subtracting its mean across students by grade and subject and regressed on a full set of school indicators  $S_i$  using ordinary least squares. This produces unshrunk school value-added measures for each school by grade and subject.

## *Aggregation to multiple-grade value-added*

The value-added regression to obtain unshrunk school value-added is performed separately for each grade and subject combination. For schools that have results for more than one grade level, these estimates are averaged across grades, using the number of students attributed to the school and grade as weights, to produce unshrunk multiple-grade value-added estimates. Before aggregation, value-added measures are normalized by subject and grade, so they are on a similar scale (i.e. with a mean of 0 and a true standard deviation of 1). This normalization is done by dividing the measures by an estimate of the standard deviation of within-grade value-added. This aggregation is made separately at the elementary/middle (grades 4-8) and high school (grades 9-11) levels.

## *Shrinkage of value-added*

At all levels, the unshrunk value-added estimates are shrunk using an Empirical Bayes multivariate shrinkage technique described in Longford (1999). This procedure is employed to bring value-added estimates based on smaller sample sizes closer to the state average, so that schools with fewer students are not overrepresented among the highest- and lowest-value-added cases simply due to randomness. It is also employed to reduce year-by-year variation in value-added scores within schools.

To use this multivariate shrinkage approach, we begin with single-year value-added measures for the 2021-22 school years and with skip-year value-added measures for the 2020-21 school year. Let  $\hat{\alpha}_{kt}$  be the estimated value-added for school  $k$  in year  $t$ . We can group the value-added estimates for a given school  $k$  into a  $T \times 1$  column vector  $\hat{\alpha}_k$ , where  $T$  is the number

of years in which value-added is measured for school  $k$ . (In this application,  $T$  will usually be 2, although it will equal 1 in schools in which value-added is measured in 2021-22 but not 2020-2021 or vice versa.) Also let  $\alpha_{kt}$  be the true value-added (which is unmeasured, and equal to what estimated value-added would be in the absence of sampling error) for school  $k$  in year  $t$ , which can be grouped by school into a  $T \times 1$  column vector  $\alpha_k$ . Let the variance of  $\alpha_k$  be the  $T \times T$  matrix  $Var[\alpha_k] = \Omega$ , which reflects the within-year variance and across-year covariance of true value-added across schools. Also let the variance of  $\hat{\alpha}_k$  conditional on  $\alpha_k$  be the  $T \times T$  matrix  $Var[\hat{\alpha}_k|\alpha_k] = \Sigma_{kk}$ , which reflects the within-year variance and across-year covariance of sampling error in  $\hat{\alpha}_k$ . We produce shrunk estimates of value-added using the following equation:

$$\alpha_k^* = \Omega[\Omega + \Sigma_{kk}]^{-1}\hat{\alpha}_k$$

where  $\alpha_k^*$  is a  $T \times 1$  column vector of shrunk value-added measures for school  $k$  over the  $T$  years in which value-added is measured for school  $k$ . The expected mean squared error of the shrunk value-added estimates  $\alpha_k^*$  is equal to:

$$EMSE_k = \Omega - \Omega[\Omega + \Sigma_{kk}]^{-1}\Omega$$

In practice, we use estimates of  $\Omega$  and  $\Sigma_{kk}$  to estimate  $\alpha_k^*$  and its expected mean squared error. The estimate of the matrix  $\Sigma_{kk}$  is the estimated variance-covariance matrix of the value-added estimates in  $\hat{\alpha}_k$ . Let  $\hat{\sigma}_{t\tau kk}$  be the entry of this matrix in the row corresponding to  $\hat{\alpha}_{kt}$  and the column corresponding to  $\hat{\alpha}_{k\tau}$ . The diagonal entries of this matrix are the squares of the estimated standard errors of the value-added estimates in  $\hat{\alpha}_k$ . We assumed that the individual growth error term  $\varepsilon_i$  was uncorrelated within students over time, which implies that  $\Sigma_{kk}$  is a diagonal matrix.

The diagonal entries of  $\Omega$ , which are equal to the variance of  $\alpha_{kt}$  across schools in a given year  $t$  and which we denote  $\omega_{tt}$ , are estimated by computing the variance across schools  $k$  within year  $t$  of the unshrunk value-added estimates  $\hat{\alpha}_{kt}$ , then subtracting from that the average across schools  $k$  within year  $t$  of  $\hat{\sigma}_{ttkk}$ , the estimated squared standard error of  $\hat{\alpha}_{kt}$ . This estimates the variance of the true school value-added for each year  $t$ , excluding variance due to randomness in the value-added estimates. The square root of this variance measure is also used for normalizing value-added measures by grade before aggregation to multiple-grade measures. The off-diagonal entries of  $\Omega$ , which we denote  $\omega_{t\tau}$  and are equal to the covariance of  $\alpha_{kt}$  and  $\alpha_{k\tau}$  across schools between years  $t$  and  $\tau$ , is estimated by computing the covariance of the unshrunk value-added estimates  $\hat{\alpha}_{kt}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_{k\tau}$ , and then subtracting from that the average error covariance estimate  $\hat{\sigma}_{t\tau kk}$ . Under the previously mentioned assumption that individual student growth is uncorrelated over years, the covariance estimate  $\hat{\sigma}_{t\tau kk}$  is set to zero.

## *Student group value-added*

Value-added is also estimated for student groups defined by certain student characteristics. Specifically, we calculated differential value-added effects for:

- the seven race/ethnicity groups;
- students with and without disabilities;
- economically disadvantaged and non-economically disadvantaged students;
- English-language learners<sup>3</sup> and non-English-language learners;
- students who were proficient (and not proficient) in the same subject in the previous year; and
- students who are in (and not in) a target group made up of students who scored below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile within their school in the same subject in the previous year.

To produce the group results by school for all subgroups other than the proficiency and target group subgroups, we produce unshrunk value-added effects for each subgroup for each school. These are produced by computing the sum of the school effects and the residual,  $\alpha'S_i + \varepsilon_i$ , for each student, and then computing the average of this variable by school and subgroup. We then shrink measures for 2021-22 jointly with measures for 2020-21 using a multivariate shrinkage approach that considers correlations in school- and subgroup-level value-added across subgroups and across years. After shrinkage, the subgroup measures are re-centered for consistency so the average of school growth across the subgroups, weighted by the number of students in each subgroup, is equal to the school's overall value-added.

To produce the group results by school for the proficiency subgroups, we regress the sum of the school effects and residual,  $\alpha'S_i + \varepsilon_i$ , on same-subject, once-lagged prior achievement within each school. This regression is estimated in a way that accounts for measurement error in prior achievement, using approaches described in section 2.5 of Fuller (1987). This regression produces a separate intercept and slope for each school, with the intercept measuring the school's effect on a student with average prior achievement and the slope measuring the school-specific relationship between student growth and prior achievement within the school. We then shrink these intercepts and slopes for 2021-22 jointly with intercepts and slopes for 2020-21 using a multivariate shrinkage approach that considers correlations among the intercepts and slopes both with each other and over time. After shrinkage, the intercepts are re-centered for consistency so that school growth at average prior achievement within the school is equal to the school's overall value-added. We then use the shrunk intercepts and slopes to produce school growth measures for each year for a representative non-proficient student, evaluated at a z-

---

<sup>3</sup> English-language learners includes students who reached English language proficiency in the last four years.



statistic of prior achievement of -0.67, and for a representative proficient student, evaluated at a z-statistic of prior achievement of +0.86. These scores corresponded to the average z-statistic scores, across grades and subjects, of non-proficient and proficient students in 2018-19.

To produce the group results by school for the target group subgroups, we estimate unshrunk value-added effects for 2021-22 in the same way as they are produced for the subgroups other than proficiency status (English-language learner, disability, etc.). These unshrunk value-added effects will generally be biased upward in the lower-scoring target group and biased downward in the higher-scoring non-target group. This is because the pretest assessment used to determine whether students are in the target group is inevitably measured with some degree of error. Some of the students assigned to the target group will have been assigned to the target group simply as a result of pretest measurement error with negative sign. Since we do not expect pretest measurement error to have any effect on the posttest, we expect these students to have higher measured growth, even if their actual growth in knowledge of the content being assessed is itself not higher. Similarly, some of the students who were not assigned to the target group will have been so assigned as a result of pretest measurement error with positive sign, which in turn will lead to lower measured growth given that pretest measurement error should have no effect on the posttest.

We adjust for this bias by subtracting from the unshrunk value-added effects an estimate of this bias, based on the standard error of measurement of the pretest assessment and an assumption that pretest assessment error is normally distributed. The adjustments are equal to:

$$adj\_target_k = -\lambda \frac{\sigma_{v(k)}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{y^*(k)}^2 + \sigma_{v(k)}^2}} \frac{\phi(z_k)}{\Phi(z_k)}$$

$$adj\_nontarget_k = +\lambda \frac{\sigma_{v(k)}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{y^*(k)}^2 + \sigma_{v(k)}^2}} \frac{\phi(z_k)}{(1 - \Phi(z_k))}$$

where  $adj\_target_k$  and  $adj\_nontarget_k$  are added to the target and non-target group measures for school  $k$ ;  $\lambda$  is the coefficient on the same-subject pretest in the previous year;  $\sigma_{y^*(k)}^2$  is an estimate of the variance in school  $k$  of same-subject pretest in the previous year adjusted for measurement error;  $\sigma_{v(k)}^2$  is an estimate of the variance in school  $k$  of measurement error in the same-subject pretest in the previous year;  $z_k$  is the cutoff score in school  $k$  for inclusion in the target group given a normalized pretest; and  $\phi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are the standard normal probability density and cumulative distribution functions.

Even with these adjustments, it is still not necessarily the case that the average of the unshrunk growth measures across schools within the target or non-target group was equal to zero. We made a further adjustment that subtracted the mean across schools by target or non-target group from the target and non-target group measures to ensure that this was the case. The unshrunk growth measures by target and non-target group were shrunk using a bivariate shrinkage approach that accounts for the correlation of growth within schools between the target and non-target group. This step was implemented to control for noise in the estimation of target/non-target group effects. The shrunk growth measures were then re-centered within school to ensure that the average of school growth across the target and non-target groups, weighted by the number of students in the two groups, averaged to the school's overall growth measure. This latter adjustment ensured that the growth estimates for the target and non-target group estimates were consistent with the reported overall growth measures.

We compute district-level measures for the target and non-target groups by averaging the analogous school-level measures across schools within the district. We do not include in district-level measures for the target and non-target groups students who were not enrolled in a school for the full academic year. This is because the target group is defined by students' prior achievement level relative to other students within their school.

## *Final stage for estimation of school and district value-added results*

### **MULTI-YEAR AGGREGATION**

Final estimates of school value-added effects are measured as a weighted moving three-year average of estimates for 2018-19, 2020-21, and 2021-22. The weights used are equal to the number of students in the school's value-added measure, multiplied by 1.5 for 2021-22, 1.0 for 2020-21, and 0.5 for 2018-19. The averaged value-added measure includes the 2018-19 and/or 2020-21 value-added measures only if there are at least twenty students associated with that specific year's value-added measure. All growth measures, including the subgroup measures, are reported as a three-year average using the weighting described above. The multi-year average value-added measures are rescaled, based on the number of years included, to have a variance like that of a single-year value-added measure. It is important to note that the 2020-21 growth measures that enter into the three-year average are measured using a “skip-year” approach that accounts for there being two years rather than one between the posttest (administered in 2020-21) and the pretest (administered in 2018-19). The skip-year growth measures are described in the Appendix.

## CALCULATING DISTRICT-LEVEL SCORES

Final estimates of district value-added effects are obtained by averaging the shrunk combined value-added estimates (as described above) for all the schools in each district, with weights determined by the number of students in each school in 2021-22. This includes both the overall and subgroup measures. As mentioned earlier, the district results include students if they were FAY at the district even if they were not FAY at any of the district's schools. Thus, students who moved from one school in a district to another school in the district are included. These students are incorporated into the estimation of the model using a placeholder school for each district for students who were FAY in the district but not FAY in any school in the district.

## PROPERTIES OF THE VALUE-ADDED RESULTS

### *Coefficients on student-level variables in the model*

The coefficients estimated in the value-added model are presented in Tables 4 and 5. To interpret these coefficients, note that both pretest and posttest are measured using standardized scores; therefore, all coefficients are measured in the posttest standard deviation scale. For example, note that the coefficient on female gender is -0.034 in grade 5 math. This implies that male students improved by about 0.034 standard deviations more on the grade 5 math test than otherwise similar female students.

It is important to keep in mind the standard errors of the coefficients when interpreting them. A span of 1.96 standard errors in both the positive and negative directions provides a 95 percent confidence range for a coefficient. Continuing with the example of the coefficient on female gender in grade 5 math, note that the standard error of this coefficient estimate is 0.005. This means that, while our best estimate of the difference in growth between female and male students is -0.034 standard deviations of fifth-grade achievement, a 95 percent confidence interval for the difference ranges from -0.024 to -0.044 standard deviations.

Table 4. Coefficients on Student-Level Variables, 2021-22 Math

| Variable                                  | Grade 4 |       | Grade 5 |       | Grade 6 |       | Grade 7 |       | Grade 8 |       | Grade 9 |       | Grade 10 |       | Grade 11 |       |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
|   | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.   | SE    | Coeff.   | SE    |
| Math Pretest (lag 1)                      | 0.822   | 0.007 | 0.819   | 0.007 | 0.674   | 0.010 | 0.648   | 0.012 | 0.639   | 0.013 | 0.531   | 0.011 | 0.481    | 0.010 | 0.526    | 0.010 |
| ELA Pretest (lag 1)                       | 0.037   | 0.007 | 0.096   | 0.006 | 0.069   | 0.009 | 0.094   | 0.011 | 0.128   | 0.012 | 0.068   | 0.010 | 0.190    | 0.009 | 0.061    | 0.009 |
| Math Pretest (lag 2)                      | n/a     | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | 0.153   | 0.010 | 0.217   | 0.011 | 0.204   | 0.011 | 0.347   | 0.011 | 0.344    | 0.011 | 0.354    | 0.010 |
| ELA Pretest (lag 2)                       | n/a     | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | 0.020   | 0.010 | -0.006  | 0.010 | -0.070  | 0.011 | -0.041  | 0.011 | -0.091   | 0.011 | -0.016   | 0.009 |
| ELP Level 1                               | -0.092  | 0.030 | 0.008   | 0.035 | 0.028   | 0.040 | 0.111   | 0.048 | 0.011   | 0.052 | 0.002   | 0.053 | 0.076    | 0.082 | 0.024    | 0.088 |
| ELP Level 2                               | -0.051  | 0.020 | 0.087   | 0.028 | -0.040  | 0.032 | 0.081   | 0.025 | 0.018   | 0.028 | 0.071   | 0.030 | 0.099    | 0.041 | 0.239    | 0.040 |
| ELP Level 3                               | 0.008   | 0.014 | 0.009   | 0.015 | -0.006  | 0.017 | 0.003   | 0.015 | 0.084   | 0.016 | 0.047   | 0.018 | 0.054    | 0.020 | 0.066    | 0.022 |
| ELP Level 4                               | 0.032   | 0.018 | 0.032   | 0.015 | -0.012  | 0.016 | 0.038   | 0.023 | 0.068   | 0.022 | 0.017   | 0.022 | 0.069    | 0.023 | 0.031    | 0.027 |
| ELP Level 5                               | 0.141   | 0.082 | 0.067   | 0.057 | -0.032  | 0.054 | 0.118   | 0.068 | 0.024   | 0.088 | 0.315   | 0.109 | 0.201    | 0.110 | -0.306   | 0.137 |
| ELP Level 6                               | 0.069   | 0.022 | 0.045   | 0.016 | 0.033   | 0.013 | 0.048   | 0.012 | 0.032   | 0.013 | 0.002   | 0.013 | 0.056    | 0.013 | 0.019    | 0.013 |
| Female                                    | -0.052  | 0.005 | -0.034  | 0.005 | 0.018   | 0.005 | -0.028  | 0.005 | 0.063   | 0.005 | 0.008   | 0.005 | -0.009   | 0.005 | -0.094   | 0.005 |
| Asian                                     | 0.104   | 0.014 | 0.085   | 0.013 | 0.104   | 0.014 | 0.050   | 0.013 | 0.112   | 0.014 | 0.056   | 0.014 | 0.106    | 0.014 | 0.021    | 0.014 |
| African-American                          | -0.083  | 0.013 | -0.032  | 0.012 | -0.034  | 0.012 | -0.080  | 0.012 | 0.005   | 0.013 | -0.034  | 0.012 | 0.033    | 0.014 | -0.054   | 0.014 |
| Hispanic                                  | -0.030  | 0.009 | 0.000   | 0.009 | -0.009  | 0.009 | -0.018  | 0.009 | -0.021  | 0.009 | -0.024  | 0.009 | -0.036   | 0.009 | -0.005   | 0.010 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native         | -0.058  | 0.027 | 0.030   | 0.026 | -0.017  | 0.025 | 0.002   | 0.025 | -0.013  | 0.028 | -0.054  | 0.026 | -0.052   | 0.028 | -0.007   | 0.027 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | -0.058  | 0.086 | 0.048   | 0.084 | 0.039   | 0.090 | -0.086  | 0.082 | -0.014  | 0.104 | -0.132  | 0.089 | 0.058    | 0.087 | -0.036   | 0.092 |
| Two or More Races                         | -0.020  | 0.010 | -0.005  | 0.011 | -0.011  | 0.010 | -0.027  | 0.011 | -0.002  | 0.011 | -0.026  | 0.012 | 0.010    | 0.013 | 0.007    | 0.013 |
| Special Education EBD                     | -0.124  | 0.022 | -0.124  | 0.019 | -0.125  | 0.018 | -0.097  | 0.018 | -0.099  | 0.019 | -0.121  | 0.020 | -0.108   | 0.023 | 0.089    | 0.024 |

|                         | Grade 4 |       | Grade 5 |       | Grade 6 |       | Grade 7 |       | Grade 8 |       | Grade 9 |       | Grade 10 |       | Grade 11 |       |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Special Education LD/ID | -0.098  | 0.012 | -0.088  | 0.011 | -0.064  | 0.011 | 0.045   | 0.011 | 0.000   | 0.012 | -0.097  | 0.012 | -0.054   | 0.013 | 0.075    | 0.013 |
| Special Education A     | -0.110  | 0.020 | -0.060  | 0.020 | -0.089  | 0.019 | 0.059   | 0.020 | 0.042   | 0.021 | -0.091  | 0.022 | -0.020   | 0.022 | 0.066    | 0.023 |
| Special Education SL    | 0.015   | 0.012 | -0.014  | 0.014 | 0.027   | 0.018 | 0.057   | 0.022 | 0.036   | 0.030 | -0.033  | 0.038 | -0.004   | 0.044 | 0.067    | 0.053 |
| Special Education Other | -0.127  | 0.012 | -0.113  | 0.012 | -0.081  | 0.012 | 0.000   | 0.012 | -0.061  | 0.013 | -0.111  | 0.013 | -0.069   | 0.014 | 0.086    | 0.014 |
| Economic Disadvantage   | -0.029  | 0.005 | -0.020  | 0.005 | -0.037  | 0.005 | -0.010  | 0.005 | -0.031  | 0.005 | -0.045  | 0.005 | -0.041   | 0.006 | -0.058   | 0.006 |
| Migrancy Status         | 0.111   | 0.175 | 0.283   | 0.182 | -0.149  | 0.207 | -0.147  | 0.268 | 0.680   | 0.351 | -0.564  | 0.288 | -0.079   | 0.244 | -0.352   | 0.200 |

Table 5. Coefficients on Student-Level Variables, 2021-22 ELA

| Variable             | Grade 4 |       | Grade 5 |       | Grade 6 |       | Grade 7 |       | Grade 8 |       | Grade 9 |       | Grade 10 |       | Grade 11 |       |
|----------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
|                      | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.  | SE    | Coeff.   | SE    | Coeff.   | SE    |
| Math Pretest (lag 1) | 0.125   | 0.008 | 0.134   | 0.007 | 0.158   | 0.011 | 0.165   | 0.013 | 0.174   | 0.013 | 0.165   | 0.011 | 0.042    | 0.010 | 0.085    | 0.009 |
| ELA Pretest (lag 1)  | 0.724   | 0.008 | 0.716   | 0.007 | 0.508   | 0.010 | 0.527   | 0.012 | 0.551   | 0.012 | 0.398   | 0.010 | 0.693    | 0.009 | 0.577    | 0.008 |
| Math Pretest (lag 2) | n/a     | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | -0.031  | 0.011 | -0.091  | 0.012 | -0.059  | 0.011 | -0.028  | 0.011 | -0.025   | 0.011 | 0.009    | 0.009 |
| ELA Pretest (lag 2)  | n/a     | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | 0.265   | 0.011 | 0.310   | 0.011 | 0.242   | 0.011 | 0.351   | 0.011 | 0.224    | 0.010 | 0.255    | 0.008 |
| ELP Level 1          | -0.163  | 0.034 | -0.183  | 0.039 | 0.065   | 0.044 | -0.087  | 0.052 | 0.036   | 0.054 | -0.095  | 0.065 | -0.123   | 0.083 | -0.097   | 0.087 |
| ELP Level 2          | -0.085  | 0.023 | -0.107  | 0.031 | -0.068  | 0.035 | -0.043  | 0.027 | 0.047   | 0.029 | 0.010   | 0.032 | 0.034    | 0.043 | 0.029    | 0.039 |
| ELP Level 3          | 0.031   | 0.015 | -0.031  | 0.017 | 0.022   | 0.019 | -0.005  | 0.016 | 0.085   | 0.017 | 0.031   | 0.019 | 0.032    | 0.019 | 0.041    | 0.021 |
| ELP Level 4          | 0.059   | 0.020 | 0.033   | 0.017 | 0.028   | 0.018 | 0.059   | 0.025 | 0.082   | 0.022 | -0.012  | 0.022 | 0.063    | 0.023 | 0.050    | 0.025 |
| ELP Level 5          | 0.136   | 0.092 | 0.104   | 0.065 | 0.132   | 0.060 | 0.031   | 0.073 | 0.124   | 0.091 | 0.086   | 0.111 | -0.100   | 0.105 | -0.338   | 0.133 |
| ELP Level 6          | 0.128   | 0.025 | 0.081   | 0.018 | 0.064   | 0.014 | 0.030   | 0.013 | 0.048   | 0.014 | -0.023  | 0.013 | 0.007    | 0.013 | -0.021   | 0.013 |
| Female               | 0.054   | 0.005 | 0.076   | 0.005 | 0.086   | 0.005 | 0.025   | 0.005 | 0.088   | 0.005 | 0.144   | 0.005 | 0.029    | 0.005 | 0.003    | 0.005 |
| Asian                | 0.105   | 0.015 | 0.074   | 0.015 | 0.114   | 0.015 | 0.092   | 0.014 | 0.078   | 0.015 | 0.084   | 0.015 | 0.065    | 0.014 | 0.009    | 0.014 |

|   | Grade 4 |       | Grade 5 |       | Grade 6 |       | Grade 7 |       | Grade 8 |       | Grade 9 |       | Grade 10 |       | Grade 11 |       |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| African-American                          | -0.048  | 0.014 | -0.049  | 0.014 | 0.000   | 0.013 | -0.028  | 0.013 | 0.022   | 0.013 | -0.065  | 0.013 | -0.012   | 0.014 | -0.040   | 0.014 |
| Hispanic                                  | -0.008  | 0.010 | 0.015   | 0.010 | 0.014   | 0.010 | -0.001  | 0.009 | 0.000   | 0.010 | -0.034  | 0.010 | -0.018   | 0.009 | -0.020   | 0.010 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native         | 0.015   | 0.031 | 0.022   | 0.029 | 0.024   | 0.028 | 0.028   | 0.027 | -0.018  | 0.029 | -0.035  | 0.028 | -0.003   | 0.028 | -0.034   | 0.026 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0.073   | 0.097 | 0.034   | 0.095 | 0.020   | 0.101 | 0.033   | 0.089 | -0.112  | 0.107 | 0.136   | 0.093 | 0.072    | 0.085 | 0.021    | 0.087 |
| Two or More Races                         | -0.001  | 0.012 | 0.019   | 0.012 | 0.004   | 0.012 | 0.000   | 0.011 | -0.006  | 0.012 | -0.014  | 0.012 | -0.013   | 0.012 | 0.003    | 0.013 |
| Special Education EBD                     | -0.122  | 0.024 | -0.140  | 0.021 | -0.175  | 0.021 | -0.096  | 0.019 | -0.064  | 0.020 | -0.145  | 0.021 | -0.015   | 0.024 | -0.008   | 0.024 |
| Special Education LD/ID                   | -0.126  | 0.013 | -0.223  | 0.012 | -0.093  | 0.012 | -0.065  | 0.012 | -0.005  | 0.012 | -0.226  | 0.012 | -0.066   | 0.013 | 0.017    | 0.013 |
| Special Education A                       | -0.173  | 0.022 | -0.259  | 0.022 | -0.060  | 0.021 | 0.051   | 0.022 | 0.047   | 0.022 | -0.029  | 0.024 | 0.123    | 0.022 | 0.017    | 0.023 |
| Special Education SL                      | 0.004   | 0.013 | -0.035  | 0.016 | 0.045   | 0.020 | 0.059   | 0.024 | 0.084   | 0.031 | -0.031  | 0.039 | -0.022   | 0.043 | 0.005    | 0.051 |
| Special Education Other                   | -0.120  | 0.013 | -0.183  | 0.013 | -0.096  | 0.013 | -0.055  | 0.012 | -0.021  | 0.013 | -0.185  | 0.014 | -0.012   | 0.014 | 0.012    | 0.014 |
| Economic Disadvantage                     | -0.037  | 0.006 | -0.035  | 0.006 | -0.036  | 0.006 | -0.012  | 0.006 | -0.032  | 0.006 | -0.038  | 0.006 | -0.022   | 0.006 | -0.054   | 0.006 |
| Migrancy Status                           | 0.192   | 0.197 | 0.085   | 0.205 | -0.075  | 0.231 | 0.187   | 0.290 | 0.947   | 0.364 | 0.037   | 0.292 | 0.016    | 0.237 | -0.099   | 0.190 |

## *Test of model neutrality: Correlation with average prior attainment*

In this test, we calculate correlations between growth estimates and school-level prior attainment. This is a method for validating whether the variables included on the right-hand side of our regression adequately control for school-level factors influencing growth estimates. The higher the correlation magnitude, the higher the level of “non-neutrality”.

Our results show a low correlation at the school-and-grade level and a modest correlation at the overall school level between average prior attainment--a measure of average performance in the previous year--and value-added. In general, schools were somewhat more likely to have a high value-added score than a low score if their students began the year with high pretest scores rather than low scores.

Table 6. Correlations between Prior Attainment and Value-Added

| Subject | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 | Overall |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| ELA     | 0.031   | 0.165   | 0.097   | 0.132   | 0.141   | 0.148   | 0.289    | 0.076    | 0.243   |
| Math    | 0.002   | 0.087   | 0.096   | 0.097   | 0.300   | 0.183   | 0.381    | 0.178    | 0.319   |

## *Correlation between Math and ELA value-added*

There were substantive positive correlations between math and ELA value-added within each school. Schools that were high value-added in math were also more often than not high value-added in ELA. This implies that schools with a higher-than-average impact in mathematics also had a higher-than-average impact in English language arts.

Table 7. Correlations between Subjects

| Subjects                 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 | Grade 11 | Overall |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| 2021-22<br>Math &<br>ELA | 0.576   | 0.592   | 0.618   | 0.527   | 0.480   | 0.668   | 0.576    | 0.562    | 0.607   |

## CONTACT

For more information, contact the Principal Investigator for this project, Dr. Robert Meyer, at [rhmeyer@edanalytics.org](mailto:rhmeyer@edanalytics.org).

## REFERENCES

Fuller, W. (1987). *Measurement Error Models*, John Wiley and Sons.

Longford, N. T. (1999). Multivariate shrinkage estimation of small area means and proportions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 162 (Part 2), 227-245.

## APPENDIX: 2021-22 SKIP-YEAR GROWTH

Value-added growth in 2021-22 was measured in the usual case in which there is one year between the posttest (administered in 2021-22) and the pretest (administered in 2020-21). The same is true for value-added growth in 2018-19, which measured growth between the 2017-18 and 2018-19 assessments. In both of these cases, growth between the posttest and pretest assessments will reflect the experience of a student from one grade to the next and one year to the next.

However, value-added growth in 2020-21 was unusual because the most recent pretest, that for 2018-19, was administered two years before the posttest. This continues to be relevant for reported value-added in 2021-22 because value-added is reported as a weighted three-year average. Growth between the assessments in 2018-19 and 2020-21 will reflect the experience of a student over two consecutive grades over two consecutive years. To take this into account, the school indicators  $S_i$  for 2020-21 value-added were designed to indicate the *combination* of schools attended by students in 2019-20 and 2020-21. For example, there may be an indicator for students who attended school A in 2019-20 and school B in 2020-21; another for students who attended school A in 2019-20 and school C in 2020-21; and a third for students who attended school C in both 2019-20 and 2020-21.

Estimating the value-added model with these indicator variables produces unshrunk effects for each *combination* of schools that appear in the data set. From these, we produced unshrunk school value-added measures for 2020-21 by averaging the estimated effects across all combinations that include a given school. This average is weighted by the number of students in the data set associated with that combination of schools, multiplied by 1 if the combination is for the same school in both 2019-20 and 2020-21 and by 0.5 if the combination is for two different schools in 2019-20 and 2020-21.

This is best explained with an example. Suppose that we have three indicators that include school D in some way: one for twenty students who attended school D in both 2019-20 and 2020-21; another for two students who attended school D in 2019-20 and school E in 2020-21; and a third for four students who attended school F in 2019-20 and school D in 2020-21. The unshrunk school value-added measure for school D would be a weighted average of the effects for these three combinations, with a weight of  $20 \times 1 = 20$  on the first combination, a



weight of  $2 \times 0.5 = 1$  on the second combination, and a weight of  $4 \times 0.5 = 2$  on the third combination.

The grade-level skip-year growth measures for a given school for 2020-21 were aggregated using a weighted average to produce multi-grade skip-year growth measures for that school for 2020-21. The weight used in the weighted average was a weighted count of students that counts students associated with the school in both 2019-20 and 2020-21 with full weight and students associated with the school in only one of the two years with half weight.

The approaches for producing the subgroup value-added measures were also adapted for the skip-year nature of growth in 2020-21. The subgroup growth measures other than those for proficiency level were adapted for skip-year growth by weighting. Recall that subgroup growth measures other than those for proficiency are produced by computing the sum of the school effects and the residual,  $\alpha'S_i + \varepsilon_i$ , for each student, and then computing the average of this variable by school and subgroup. In the skip-year case of 2020-21, this average was weighted by whether or not a student was in the school for both 2019-20 and 2020-21 (in which case the student entered the average with full weight) or for only one of the two years (in which case the student entered the average with half weight).

The subgroup growth measures for proficiency level were also adapted for skip-year growth by weighting. Recall that these are produced by regressing the sum of the school effects and residual,  $\alpha'S_i + \varepsilon_i$ , on same-subject, once-lagged prior achievement within each school. In the skip-year case of 2020-21, this regression was estimated as a weighted regression, with students who were in the school in both years entering with full weight and students who were in the school in only one of 2019-20 or 2020-21 entering with half weight.

It is important to note that the skip-year model described above only applies to value-added growth in 2020-21. Value-added growth in 2018-19 and 2021-22 are measured using the more typical model of student growth over the course of one year. However, given that value-added growth is reported as a weighted three-year average that includes growth in 2021-22, 2020-21, and 2018-19, the skip-year model employed in 2020-21 continues to be relevant to the reported value-added measures in 2021-22.