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The President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

The Vice President
The United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Speaker of the House of Representatives
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. President, Mr. Vice President, and Madam Speaker:

The U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science was established by Congress in 1970 as an independent agency to advise the President and Congress on information policy issues. I am honored to have served as the Chairman of the Commission since January 28, 2004.

Over the last 37 years the Commission has addressed through research, meetings of experts, and public hearings most of the issues that have been encountered by the American public in their quest for information to make decisions common in daily life, to participate in the democratic process, to educate their children, and to seek health care for themselves and their families. We have studied the relationship of students’ academic achievements to the presence of a school library media center and a school librarian. We have looked at the role of information in innovation and research and in daily commerce, before the Internet existed, and since it has become the most used way to retrieve and send information. We have sent recommendations to the President and the Congress in our reports and in our visits. We have seen changes in law affected as we recommended.

This will be the final report from the U.S. National Commission on Library and Information Science. I contracted this work when we were seeking advice to assist the Commissioners in deciding the research issues we would undertake during the fiscal year. As events unfolded during the past few months, it became clear that the report needed to serve two functions: (1) to document the history and accomplishments of the Commission and (2) to remind the public and those who take up this mantle that the work of the Commission is not done. A compelling future agenda for information policy research and development is also presented as part of this report.

I salute the Commissioners, both former and current, who have served with distinction throughout the life of the Commission and thank the staff for their dedication and hard work on behalf of the American public. The Commission has been led by extraordinarily gifted men and women, and I have been privileged to have been advised by them and count them as my friends.

Sincerely,

Beth Fitzsimmons, Ph.D.
Commission Chair
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Executive Summary

In the FY2007 and FY2008 Budgets, the President recommended that the National Commission on Libraries and Information Sciences (NCLIS) be consolidated with the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS). In FY2007, while waiting for Congressional action on the proposal in the President's FY2008 Budget, the Commission recognized the need to summarize its work and document its accomplishments in anticipation of a change in its status.

To document its past contributions, the Commission performed an analysis of its policy recommendations, research initiatives, and other past actions in fulfillment of its mission. Looking to the future, the Commission also identified and prioritized the two or three information policy issues that should be addressed during FY2008. This was done with the expectation that, should NCLIS remain an independent agency, it would address these issues to the best of its ability with the funds it received. If the consolidation with IMLS was authorized by Congress, NCLIS intended to utilize this information to advise IMLS on the need for action on these policy issues.

Public Law 110-161, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, Fiscal Year 2008, provided funds for the Commission to close. The accompanying language in Senate Report 110-107 stated: “The administration budget for fiscal year 2008 requested that NCLIS be eliminated and the activities of the Commission be taken over by the Institute for Museum and Library Services. The Committee concurs with this request and has included $400,000 for close out activities.” At this critical point in the history of the Commission, as it completes the complex activities necessary to close down a federal agency, documenting the significant contributions that it has made and identifying important issues that still need to be addressed are essential transition activities. This document, which will be the final publication of the Commission, serves both purposes. It provides a historical overview of the accomplishments of a small and modestly funded Commission with a large and vital responsibility to address the information needs of the American public, and it also summarizes the results of a survey of opinion leaders in the fields of library and information science, who offered their advice on the most important issues that should be addressed in the next twelve to eighteen months.

Historical Contributions of NCLIS

The key statutory functions of the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) can be summarized as follows:

1. NCLIS discovers the needs of the people of the U.S. for library and information services.
2. NCLIS translates those needs into recommended national policy to meet needs of the people of the U.S. for library and information services.

3. NCLIS advises the President, the Congress, state and local governments, and others on implementation of national policy.

NCLIS is not a regulatory or operating agency. To carry out the above functions, it conducts studies, surveys, and analyses of the nation’s library and information needs, appraises the adequacies and deficiencies of the current library and information resources and research and development activities, conducts hearings, and issues publications. These activities support the development of policy advice and recommendations to the President, the Congress, and others.

This section summarizes the historical contributions of NCLIS to information policy and to information access and its supporting infrastructure, as well as the Commission’s role in two White House Conferences on Libraries and Information Services, one in 1979 and another in 1991. It documents selected policy positions and actions of the Commission, as well as many of its hearings, studies, surveys, and other activities in fulfillment of its mission. (Refer to Appendix G for a table summarizing NCLIS events from 1970 – 2008.)

**Information Access for the American Public**

NCLIS developed a forward looking research agenda that would have the support of and meet needs expressed by multiple sectors of the information community. From interviews and recommendations elicited from the information community, a specific research agenda emerged that clustered around four broad topics: Public Libraries: Their Changing Role in U.S. Society and Measuring Their Societal Value; Digital Libraries; Building and Sharing Collections; and Disaster Planning and Relief Efforts.

Each of the recommendations is keyed to the purpose of NCLIS—policy development that ensures an informed American public with adequate access to information for decision-making, civic engagement, and a higher quality of life. Interviewees were asked to describe an issue or an obstacle that if removed or ameliorated would facilitate their work in the information community, whether it was in the public, private or academic sector. Underlying each issue is a public policy question—the legislative mandate of NCLIS or its successor agency—to be resolved.
Acknowledgments

I would like to thank all of the former Commissioners and staff. The quality and value of your work is apparent from the accomplishments summarized in this report. I would also like to express gratitude to the many individuals and organizations who have participated in and supported the work of the Commission through the years and to the staff at the U.S. Department of Education.

I must acknowledge the current staff who have supported us so ably during our tenure as Commissioners and are traveling with us to the finish line on March 30, 2008. In particular, I would like to acknowledge the efforts of Kim Miller and Madeleine McCain. Serving the Commission since July 1990, Kim has played a significant role in the operation of the Commission office, development of its website, and providing outstanding support to the library statistics program. As Director of Operations since 2002, Madeleine has sustained the activities of the Commission while managing all the administrative challenges of meeting the requirements of a Federal agency. As this report is being published, she is skillfully leading us through the myriad of tasks and complexities to close the Commission.

For this report, NCLIS contracted with Information International Associates, Inc. (IIa), and I would like to thank IIa and the two individuals who prepared the report: Judith Russell, former Deputy Director of NCLIS and now Dean of Libraries at the University of Florida and Nancy Davenport, former Commissioner acting on behalf of the Librarian of Congress who holds a statutory seat on the Commission, and now Interim Director of Library Services at the District of Columbia Public Library.

Finally, I must thank the Commissioners who have served with me. It has been a great honor to share with you the important work of this Commission in addressing the information needs of the American people. I am grateful for your support, your counsel, and your friendship.

C. Beth Fitzsimmons, Ph.D.
Ann Arbor, Michigan
February 20, 2008
Meeting the Information Needs of the American People: Past Actions and Future Initiatives

I. Background

The U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) is a permanent, independent agency of the federal government, established in 1970 by Public Law 91-345, to advise the President and Congress, as well as other Federal, State, local, and private agencies, on national and international library and information policies. In establishing the Commission, Congress affirmed that “library and information services adequate to meet the needs of the people of the United States are essential to achieve national goals and to utilize most effectively the nation's educational resources and that the federal government will cooperate with State and local governments and public and private agencies in assuring optimum provision of such services.”

NCLIS is responsible for addressing the information and learning the needs of the American people, not through implementation of specific programs, but rather though analysis and advice to others. Specifically, the Commission is authorized to:

- Conduct studies, surveys, and analyses of the library and informational needs of the nation;
- Appraise the adequacies and deficiencies of current library and information resources and services;
- Evaluate the effectiveness of current library and information science programs;
- Develop overall plans for meeting national library and information needs; and
- Promote research and development activities which will extend and improve the nation's library and information-handling capability.

The Commission consists of fourteen part-time members who are appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, as well as the Librarian of Congress and the Director of the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS). It is supported by a small professional staff. Though its mission is broad, addressing issues that affect every American, the resources available to the Commission are modest. Only twice since its inception has its annual appropriation exceeded $1 million. As a result, the Commission has always prioritized its activities to address a few key issues each year. Nevertheless, when viewed in its totality, the range of the issues it has addressed and its ability to bring national attention to issues in the increasingly important and rapidly changing environment of library and information science has been impressive.
Through the FY2007 and FY2008 Budgets, the President recommended that NCLIS be consolidated with IMLS. Since the Commission is a permanent, independent agency, such a consolidation could not occur except through enactment of enabling legislation by the U.S. Congress. Although the legislation governing the Commission has not been amended, the FY2008 appropriations bill (H.R. 2764, Public Law 110-161) provided funding only for close out activities of the Commission. That effort is now in process. Report language accompanying H.R. 2764 - Consolidated Appropriations Act, Fiscal Year 2008 gives the IMLS the authority and resources to carry out the mission of the Commission: “The Appropriations Committees concur with language included in the House report that gives the Institute of Museum and Library Services the authority and resources to carry out the mission of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science.”

In FY2007, while waiting for Congressional action on the proposal in the President's FY2008 Budget, the Commission recognized the need to summarize its work and document its accomplishments in anticipation of a change in its status. It also recognized its responsibility to continue to fulfill its legislative mandate by identifying a research agenda on information policy issues that affect the American public’s access to information even as it prepared for the contingency of a consolidation with IMLS.

To document its past contributions, the Commission contracted for an analysis of its policy recommendations, research initiatives, and other past actions in fulfillment of its mission. Looking to the future, the Commission sought to identify and prioritize the two or three information policy issues that should be addressed during FY2008, with the expectation that, should NCLIS remain an independent agency, it would address these issues to the best of its ability with the funds it received. If the consolidation with IMLS was authorized by Congress, NCLIS intended to utilize this information to advise IMLS on the need for action on these policy issues.

At this critical point in the history of the Commission, as it completes the complex activities necessary to close down a federal agency, documenting the significant contributions that it has made and identifying important issues that still need to be addressed are essential transition activities. This document, which will be the final publication of the Commission, serves both purposes. It provides a historical overview of the accomplishments of a small and modestly funded Commission with a large and vital responsibility to address the information needs of the American public, and it also summarizes the results of a survey of opinion leaders in the fields of library and information science, who offered their advice on the most important issues that should be addressed in the next twelve to eighteen months.
II. Historical Contributions of NCLIS

The key statutory functions of the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) can be summarized as follows:

- NCLIS discovers the needs of the people of the U.S. for library and information services.
- NCLIS translates those needs into recommended national policy to meet needs of the people of the U.S. for library and information services.
- NCLIS advises the President, the Congress, state and local governments, and others on implementation of national policy.

NCLIS is not a regulatory or operating agency. To carry out the above functions, it conducts studies, surveys, and analyses of the nation’s library and information needs, appraises the adequacies and deficiencies of the current library and information resources and research and development activities, conducts hearings, and issues publications. These activities support the development of policy advice and recommendations to the President, the Congress, and others.

This section summarizes the historical contributions of NCLIS to information policy and to information access and its supporting infrastructure, as well as the Commission’s role in the White House Conferences on Libraries and Information Services. It documents selected policy positions and actions of the Commission, as well as many of its hearings, studies, surveys, and other activities in fulfillment of its mission.

NCLIS Positions on Information Policy

Background

As an agency with a unique charge to advise both the President and the Congress on national and international library and information policies, it is not surprising that the NCLIS has focused on federal laws and policies relating to information throughout its history. It has paid special attention to laws and policies affecting public access to government information.

Created in 1970, the first Commissioners were appointed in May 1971, and the first employee was hired in August of that year. The first Commission meeting was held on September 20, 1971 in Washington, DC. In keeping with its statutory mandate, NCLIS established the pattern that would be repeated throughout the years. It identified problems and opportunities and recommended solutions, including the appropriate agencies or organizations to take action.
The First Decade: 1970-1979

One of its earliest resolutions urged that the need for appropriate documentation and bibliographical and other information resources should be recognized in federal programs and called for executive orders and other directives that would include such support. It also urged Congress to enact a Copyright Act revision that would resolve the issue of “fair use” by libraries and library users, an issue that has reasserted itself in the digital age.

In its second year of operation, the Commission also made several significant recommendations with respect to the Library of Congress (LC), including that the LC expand its role as the national lending library of last resort and seek to “acquire, catalog, and process for current and future use approximately eighty-five to ninety percent of the world output.” NCLIS recognized the importance of the emerging area of machine readable cataloging and recommended the LC expand its coverage to “substantially all languages of … materials being acquired” by the Library and make these records available through “online communication.” NCLIS also identified the need for improved access to state and local government publications and suggested that the LC could assist in the development of policies and programs to make such publications “of greater benefit to various governmental bodies of the nation and to the people served by those governments.”

The Commission achieved a significant milestone in 1974 with the publication of its official program document, Toward a National Program for Library and Information Services: Goals for Action. After two years of preparation, including broad consultation with and input from “institutions, associations and individuals from every segment of the library and information community, as well as from executives, administrators, legislators and members of the general public of all ages, from many walks of life, and from all over the country,” NCLIS released the report that “lays the foundation and provides a framework for a balanced evolutionary approach to achieving adequate library and information services for all.” The five assumptions, articulated in the report and summarized in the Annual Report of the Commission for fiscal year 1974-1975, indeed established fundamental guidance that informed the future work of the Commission:

First, that the total library and information resource in the United States is a national resource which should be strengthened, organized, and made available to the maximum degree possible in the public interest. This national resource is the cumulated and growing record of much of our nation’s and, indeed, the world’s total cultural experience — intellectual, social, technological, and spiritual.

Second, that all people of the United States have the right, according to their individual needs, to realistic and convenient access to this national resource for their personal enrichment and achievement, and thereby for the progress of society.

Third, that with the help of new technology and with national resolve, the disparate and discrete collections of recorded information in the United States can become, in due course, an integrated nationwide network.
Fourth, that the rights and interests of authors, publishers, and other providers of information be recognized in the national program in ways that maintain their economic and competitive viability.

Fifth, that legislation derived for the coherent development of library and information services will not undermine constitutionally-protected rights of personal privacy and intellectual freedom and will preserve local, state, and regional autonomy.

These assumptions led the Commission to develop two major program objectives: (1) to strengthen or create, where needed, the human and material resources that are supportive of high quality library and information services; and (2) to join together the library and information facilities in the country, through a common pattern of organization, uniform standards, and shared communications, for forming a nationwide network. The Commission recognized that the national library and information services were not yet organized in a manner that met the needs of the nation as a whole and that the changes would come about gradually, but it was satisfied that the library and information communities were prepared to work together on these objectives. From this point forward, many of the Commission’s initiatives can be viewed through the prism of these assumptions and objectives.

In 1974, the Commission also joined with the U.S. Copyright Office to sponsor a Conference on the Resolution of Copyright Issues. The conference brought together representatives of “virtually every conceivable constituency with an interest in copyright” to seek a resolution to the differences between librarians and publishers on library photocopying of copyrighted material. In 1975, this group identified the need for more complete and accurate data on library photocopying. With financial assistance from the National Science Foundation (NSF), NCLIS sponsored a study, which also included a “feasibility test” for a “royalty payment mechanism.” This study, completed in 1977, was the basis for the creation of the Copyright Clearance Center. Once again, in 1976, the Commission found itself advising Congress on copyright legislation. This time the Commission urged Congress to prepare to update the Copyright Act to reflect technological changes and address library photocopying, but advised Congress to refrain from acting until the results for the NCLIS study were published.

In fiscal year 1975-1976, NCLIS urged the U.S. Office of Education to increase the effectiveness of its Office of Libraries and Learning Resources and to provide “leadership training and technical assistance” to state library agencies. It also supported extension of the Library Services and Construction Act and the Medical Library Assistance Act. The Commission supported improved federal government stewardship of scientific and technical information (STI) through federal legislation to have the President’s Science Advisor survey “ways and means for improving federal effort in scientific research and information-handling and in the use thereof.” In the same resolution, the Commission urged that the National Science Foundation undertake research and development “to improve intersectoral coordination, management, information interchange, raise the performance of information services, rationalize the establishment of interactive networks, and such other actions that will contribute to the progress of science and technology.”
That same year NCLIS addressed Governors and Chief State School Officers on the critical importance of including school library and media expertise on the state advisory councils for implementation of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. The Commission also established a task force to develop plans for a national system for provision of periodicals, which recommended, among other things, establishing a National Periodicals Center to compliment state and regional networks of libraries that would share their collections and ensure access to historical journals.

In 1976, the President’s Domestic Policy Council was given six months to undertake a comprehensive study of emerging information policy issues regarding the rapidly growing information sector of the U.S. economy, the impact of computers and related technology, the relationship between privacy and freedom of information, and access to information. To assist the Council in meeting its deadline, NCLIS organized the Committee on the Right to Privacy and held an intense two-day conference at which 40 representatives from various sectors of the information community, both public and private, for-profit and not-for profit, “assembled to identify, categorize and analyze the critical information issues from a variety of viewpoints.” The results were a valuable source of information for the National Information Policy Report to the President, which NCLIS published on behalf of the outgoing administration in January of 1977. Among the recommendations in the report were that the U.S. set as a goal the development of a coordinated national information policy and that an Office of Information Policy be established in the Executive Office of the President, supported by an advisory committee “representative of the private sector, state government, and the academic and professional disciplines concerned with the information policy issues discussed in the report” and an interagency Council on Information Policy of high-level federal agency representatives.

As is clear from its efforts to bring together diverse groups with widely varying interests to address copyright and other information policy issues, the Commission has not shied away from difficult or controversial issues. In 1977, it tackled the issue of intellectual freedom, speaking out against the prevailing trend to use “local community standards” to exclude materials from libraries and even to prosecute individuals associated with nationally distributed materials that were locally objectionable. Asserting the importance of protecting “materials which are critical of accepted values or otherwise unpopular,” NCLIS condemned the use of “local community standards” as a “threat to each citizen’s full exercise of the rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments.”

In 1978, NCLIS issued The Role of the Library of Congress in the Evolving National Network, addressing the need for specifications for the telecommunications and computer architecture to support the “distributed computer processing system required by the national network.” It also encouraged the LC to provide online access to its cataloging records and in-process files and to provide other online services.

The Second Decade: 1980-1989

In 1980, NCLIS created an international cooperation planning group that in turn recommended establishment of a task force on international relations to foster library and
information works it relates to the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); provide a forum for discussion of mutual concerns between countries engaged in international information cooperation; serve as a clearinghouse for all U.S. public and private agencies interested in international information cooperation; and recommend to the Department of State policies that represent the best interests of the U.S. in the information age. (NCLIS continued to serve as the secretariat until it formally withdrew from UNESCO in December of 1984, but it continued a similar role through the International Contributions for Scientific, Educational and Cultural Activities (ISECA) until the United States rejoined UNESCO in 2003.)

The following year, this intensification of interest in international information led NCLIS to accept a request from the Department of State to serve as the secretariat of the U.S. National Committee for the UNESCO General Information Program. The Commission also agreed to coordinate the participation of U.S. representatives to international meetings concerned with library and information topics. A decade later, in 1991, the enabling legislation for the Commission was amended to expand its responsibility to “promote research and development activities which will extend and improve the nation’s library and information-handling capability as essential links in the national communications and cooperative networks” to “national and international communications and cooperative networks” (20 USC 1504(a)(6)).

In Fiscal Year 1981-1982, the Commission assisted then Representative (later Senator) Paul Simon with Congressional hearings on the reauthorization of the 25-year-old Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA). NCLIS helped identify witnesses who could testify to the value of LSCA and make substantive recommendations for its improvement. These included recognition of the potential value of library automation and the need to include funding for automation in the scope of the LSCA. The importance of addressing the information needs of rural populations, the elderly, Indians, illiterate adults, and other special populations was also highlighted. Congress was advised to allocate LSCA funds so that states could take a larger role in determining the most urgent library and information needs of their citizens. Once the hearings were completed, Representative Simon asked the Commission to prepare specifications for the legislative amendments. Working with the American Library Association (ALA), the Chief Operating Officers of State Library Agencies (COSLA), and others, the Commission prepared and submitted a list of recommended changes that were the basis for the legislation that was subsequently enacted.

At its November 1982 meeting, the Commission addressed pending legislation for a jobs creation program and urged the Congress and the President to include library and information service occupations among the occupations to be funded, saying: “…our economy’s future growth lies in large part in technological, information and service enterprises. The need to strengthen the nation’s physical infrastructure must be joined by a commitment to healthy ‘information infrastructure.’ ” When the bill became law, it included $50 million for public library construction, to be distributed to the states through Title II of the LSCA. This was the first time that Title II had been funded since 1972.
In 1982, NCLIS published another major report on information policy, *Public Sector / Private Sector Interaction in Providing Information Services*. The report was reissued twenty years later, when many of the same issues about the appropriate roles for the public and private sector were being debated anew as the Internet provided substantial new means for information dissemination and altered the balance within and between the public and private sectors. A review of the report in the context of the new environment created by enormous changes in technology reaffirmed, rather than altered, the recommendations that the Commission had made two decades earlier.

The report identified issues of national concern and stressed the need for an environment that would enhance private sector competition and stimulate innovation. It also affirmed the applicability of the First Amendment to information products and services and encouraged Congress to be consistent in language and principles relating to information products and services when it formulated legislation and exercised oversight. It recommended a periodic economic assessment of the impact of federal government information products and services and encouraged research and gathering of statistics to provide data necessary to address information policy issues.

With respect to the publishing activities of the federal government, the report encouraged agencies to regard dissemination of information as a high priority responsibility and to use the most efficient information technology available to fulfill their information dissemination missions. The report cautioned that concerns about competition with the private sector should not arbitrarily restrict the federal government from enhancing its information products and services, even as it asked agencies to announce publishing plans sufficiently far in advance to allow private sector involvement, evaluate the impact of agency publishing plans on the private sector, and periodically review the desirability of continuing an information product or service. Agencies were also advised to support libraries as an active means for public access to government information and to provide incentives for libraries, bookstores, and others to expand their participation in the dissemination of government information.

The report encouraged both sectors to participate in developing voluntary standards that would enhance, not inhibit, further development of innovative information products and services.

The private sector was asked to support educational programs that would provide the skills needed to develop information as an economic and social resource, as well as basic and applied research in library and information science. It was encouraged to “add value” to government information and asked to identify and help eliminate legal and regulatory barriers to the introduction of new information products and services.

In 1983, the Commission sought to raise awareness of the importance of community information and referral services (CI&R). It urged that CI&R be included in current federal library legislation and national information policies and recommended that libraries be included in CI&R options in other federal, state, and local legislation.
In 1983, at the White House Conference on Productivity, President Regan said, “The challenge of greater productivity growth is of supreme importance to America’s future.” NCLIS had assisted with the planning and coordination of the White House Conference and provided a briefing paper on the information component of productivity to all pre-conference and conference participants. Following the President’s call for action, NCLIS cosponsored a bilateral U.S. / U.K. seminar on “Information and Productivity—Implications for Education and Training.” One of the themes of the seminar was national and international information policy. Among its major recommendations was that a completely new approach to the school curriculum be adopted “whereby children, in addition to learning computer skills from an early age, learn to use libraries and how to find and use information effectively.” This set of skills was identified as the “fourth R” and deemed as essential in life long learning. Another key recommendation was that “employers and educators work together to identify the crucial competencies needed in the emerging information sector” and to determine the best way to teach them.

In 1984, the LSCA reauthorization was finally enacted, as was another piece of legislation for which NCLIS had provided technical assistance, the Older Americans Act (OAA). The Commission provided information about its own program related to information services for the aging as well as the results of a COSLA survey on library services for the elderly. Testimony by the NCLIS Vice Chair urged Congress to specify that public libraries could receive OAA grants, and this was supported by the Commissioner of the Administration on Aging. During the floor debate on the legislation, several senators acknowledged the important services libraries were already providing to older Americans and encouraged them to expand their services under the Act.

Copyright was once again on the Commissions agenda as the NCLIS executive director participated in a February 1984 Congressional symposium on copyright and technology. One result of the symposium was that the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) initiated a study on Intellectual Property Rights in an Age of Electronics and Information in which NCLIS participated. That same year, OTA also began a two-year study on Federal Government Information Technology: Administrative Processes and Civil Liberties, again with NCLIS participation. The Commission found the area of greatest interest to be public and private sector roles in access and dissemination of government information, noting that “the lack of laws, regulations and executive orders governing the dissemination of federal information” underscores the need to provide guidance in this area. The next year, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued a draft circular on Management of Federal Information Resources, and NCLIS provided its comments.

The National Technical Information Service (NTIS) is an agency within the Department of Commerce that is charged with collecting, organizing, announcing, and marketing the results of government-sponsored research. In 1985-1986, the Department of Commerce began to explore the possibility of privatizing NTIS and other options for its management. The Commission monitored the matter closely, met with Commerce and NTIS officials, and when legislation relating to privatization was introduced in 1987, NCLIS supported language that would prevent privatization. NCLIS was to play a role in saving NTIS again in 2000 when the Department of Commerce proposed closing the agency.
In 1987, the Commission also convened hearings on National Security Directive 145, which introduced the controversial new category of “sensitive but not classified” government information and published a report on its findings and recommendations in 1998.

During 1986 and 1987, the Commission cosponsored and participated in three trilateral conferences on the role of information in the economy. Leaders from industry, academia, and government in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom met to promote public policy agendas at the national and international levels on the use and effects of information resources on the economy and on the quality of life. The first conference, held in May 1986, focused on the relationship between the growth of the information sector and that of the national economies. In November 1987, the second conference addressed the place of the public sector in the information infrastructure, particularly the role of libraries and governments in creating and distributing information. The final conference was held in May 1987 and resulted in the Glenerin Declaration, which made recommendations on ways to identify and measure the contributions of information to the economy, as well as ways to gather, share, and disseminate relevant data and reports. Two important recommendations were (1) to identify a coherent framework for the development of information policy in each country and (2) to maintain awareness of government responsibility for the creation and provision of certain types of information and to ensure accessibility regardless of the means of the user.

At its meeting in January 1988, the Commission discussed the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s controversial Library Awareness Program, which attempted to monitor patrons’ reading habits and obtain personal information about library users. As a result of the discussion, NCLIS adopted a resolution reaffirming its commitments to open access to information and the right of privacy for library users, as well as unequivocal support for First Amendment rights.

In March 1988, the Commission convened an invitational conference of information providers and researchers to discuss how public libraries could provide information to support local officials and citizen groups. It authorized the production and distribution of a video tape that presented exemplary community information programs as a means to advise local officials and citizens groups about the role the public library could play in support of their local governance.

A proposed supplement to OMB Circular A-130 was published in the Federal Register in January 1989. The Commission suggested a revision that would allow federal agencies greater discretion in adding value to information they disseminate, taking into account prior use of that information by the private sector. NCLIS also requested clarification of role of the Federal Depository Library Program (FDLP) in disseminating federal information in electronic form. In the revised Circular, OMB acknowledged that it was good public policy to include electronic government information in the FDLP, while noting that it was not required by law.
MEETING THE INFORMATION NEEDS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

In April 1989, the Commission joined with the American Association of School Librarians to hold a symposium on “Information Literacy and Education for the 21st Century: Toward an Agenda for Action.” Attendees represented leading organizations of teachers, educators, and librarians. The symposium resulted in the unanimous adoption of 40 priority recommendations in five topic areas: the way teachers are educated; the way teachers teach; the way schools are administered; the way schools are funded; and the way school library media programs are organized and implemented. NCLIS asked each of the represented organizations to include the recommendations in their own action agendas.

The Commission conducted a hearing on the Office of Technology Assessment’s report, Informing the Nation, in July 1989. This resulted in a series of activities focusing on the principles that are necessary to address information policies in a pluralistic society, including a public forum, held in October 1989, to discuss three topics: (1) Technology and Proprietary Rights – Using Public Domain Information in the Private Sector; (2) Technology and Public Access to Information; and (3) Identification of Information Policy Issues. As a result of this forum, NCLIS concluded that the most important contribution it could make at that time was to attempt to “develop a consensus among all interested parties as to the basic underlying principles that should shape all decisions in and out of government regarding information policies, procedures, and practices.”

The Third Decade: 1990-1999

In June 1990, the Commission published its Principles of Public Information (see page 20). Like assumptions presented in its 1974 official program document, Toward a National Program for Library and Information Services: Goals for Action, the Principles articulated long held views of the Commission and established criteria that would be touchstones for all its future positions on policy related to public access to government information. These principles were also used by others in the library and information community to support their advocacy for broad public access to government information. In its 2000 report, A Comprehensive Assessment of Public Information Dissemination, the Commission restated these principles, and their influence is clearly present in many of the recommendations. What is clear in the Principles is both the public’s right of access to public information and the government affirmative obligations to disseminate its information and to ensure its integrity and preservation.

The High Performance Computing Act (Public Law 102-194; 15 USC 5511) became law in December 1991. It called for the establishment of the National Research and Education Network (NREN) to “provide users with appropriate access to high-performance computing systems, electronic information resources, other research facilities, and libraries.” Furthermore, the legislation stated that “The Network shall provide access, to the extent practicable, to electronic information resources maintained by libraries, research facilities, publishers, and affiliated organizations.” During 1988 and 1989, the Commission had coordinated comments on the legislation from the library and information services community. In 1992, it hosted an open forum on the role of libraries and information services in NREN, and the findings were shared with the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy and others.
There were a number of other significant legislative initiatives of interest to the Commission in 1993, including copyright reform, and a number of education proposals, the Electronic Libraries Act, the Government Reform and Savings Act, the National Information Infrastructure Act, and the Paperwork Reduction Act.

In May, September, and December 1993, the Commission sponsored forums of the status, needs, and visions for services to children and youth through school library media centers and public libraries. One goal of the forums was to identify federal government roles and responsibilities in providing such services to inform the Commission’s advice to Congress and the Administration.

In the spring of 1994, the Commission testified at a congressional hearing on libraries and the National Information Infrastructure (NII). The Commission also submitted comments on individual privacy rights in an era of electronic technology to the Senate. Comments were also made on intellectual property and libraries in the NII for the draft Information Infrastructure Task Force report, *Putting the Information Infrastructure to Work*. Comments were also submitted to OMB on principles for providing and using personal information.

In September, the Commission hosted a briefing for representatives from Congress and the Administration on the federal role relating to libraries in the information super highway based on the findings of its report, *Public Libraries and the Internet*.

In 1995, as it celebrated its 25th anniversary, the Commission played a key role in the transformation of the Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA) into the Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) and the creation of the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS). In the legislation, the Commission received new responsibilities for advising IMLS, and the director of IMLS became an ex officio member of the Commission.

In May 1996, the Commission and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducted a forum on library and information services policy on the *Impact of Information Technology and Special Programming on Library Services to Special Populations*. A similar forum was held in 1997 on the *Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) State Grant Program: Implications for Use of and Additions to National Library Data*. The objective of this series of forums was to ensure that statistics about libraries and information services meet the needs of policy-makers at various levels of government, as well as to guide the development of related public policies.

In 1997 and again in 2001, NCLIS contracted for reports on *Policy Issues & Strategies Affecting Public Libraries in the National Networked Environment*. The 1997 report, with the subtitle *Moving Beyond Connectivity*, raises pertinent questions about the unequal distribution of Internet connectivity, costs and provision of services in public library systems at the time, and the need for the federal government to act to level the playing field. The report also noted the need to move beyond identifying the number of libraries with Internet access and begin to assess the speed and quality of the services available. The findings of the 2000 study, with the subtitle *Setting Agendas and Extending Research*, reflected significant
In the face of rising pressure for national legislation to mandate filtering and other protections for children using the Internet in schools and libraries, the Commission conducted a hearing on *Kids and the Internet: The Problems and the Perils* at the Freedom Forum in Arlington, Virginia in November 1998. As a result of the hearing, the Commission determined that a broad national approach to protecting children by limiting their access to the Internet in public libraries and schools was inappropriate and, very likely, unworkable, and that it was the responsibility of local governing authorities to address these issues and establish policies for the public and school libraries in their jurisdictions. The Commission determined that facilitating the development of appropriate local policies through the preparation and dissemination of practical guidelines, designed to assist librarians and library trustees (or other governing bodies) in their efforts to evaluate and respond to the promise and the perils of Internet access for children, was the best approach, so it prepared and widely disseminated such guidelines. These practical guidelines, offering balance and compromise, outlined the promise, the perils, policy issues, and potential solutions for librarians and library trustees and for school librarians and school administrators.

In July 1999, the Commission conducted a hearing on *Library and Information Services for Individuals with Disabilities* at the Kellogg Conference Center of the Gallaudet University, one of the nation's foremost educational facilities for the deaf. The Commission concluded that recent advances in information technology have improved conditions for some people with disabilities while presenting new challenges to others. Computer technology facilitates the production of talking books and closed captioning. Electronic text can be manipulated in ways that print cannot to assist persons with disabilities. Information technology can also help eliminate distance and physical barriers. However, a mouse-driven graphical interface can present problems for a sightless person. Moreover, certain intellectual property restrictions stifle the easy production of information in alternative formats for the disabled.

In 1999, the Commission released the *Report on the Assessment of Electronic Government Information Products*, which was funded by the Government Printing Office (GPO) to gather information for the administration of the Federal Depository Library Program (FDLP). As anticipated, the report confirmed that there was an overall lack of government information policy guiding electronic publishing, dissemination, permanent public access, or information life cycle management, exacerbated by a lack of overall coordination of these initiatives at the governmental, branch, or even agency level. The report documented that responsibility for electronic publishing within agencies was decentralized, diffuse, and unclear, resulting in a lack of specific planning for product development and technological migration. The concept of permanent public access was not well understood, and there was a lack of understanding of what ensuring authenticity entailed and a lack of planning for, or consideration of, ensuring authenticity of electronic government information products.
The Final Years: 2000-2008

As noted above, the Commission had previously intervened when privatization of NTIS was considered by the Commerce Department. In 1999-2000, it once again acted to address a proposal to close NTIS. The Commission’s recommendations addressed the broader information policy issues as well as the specific plan for closure in its report issued in 2000. First, it asked that the Commerce Department commit to retaining NTIS at least through FY2001. It also recommended that Congress appropriate funds to cover the legislative mandated activities of NTIS that are inherently governmental, allowing the other services to remain self-sustaining. Once an appropriation for its inherently governmental functions was in place, the Commission advocated that NTIS be required to abide by existing federal information resource management policies and procedures by (1) setting user charges to recover the incremental cost of its sales, excluding all costs financed by appropriations; (2) cease improperly restrictive practices such as charging fees or royalties for the reuse, resale or re-dissemination of government information products and services; and (3) ensure that decisions to introduce new products or services are made only after careful consideration of the capabilities of the private sector to create commercial products through public-private partnerships or independent efforts. The Commission urged a one-time appropriation to defray the costs of setting up a mechanism to provide free and permanent public access to current NTIS materials and future acquisitions through the Federal Depository Library Program in electronic form and to ensure that retrospective materials converted to electronic formats are also made available for permanent public access through the FDLP. The commission also suggested that the broader government information policy issues should be addressed through an in-depth study.

This is, in fact, exactly what occurred. In the summer of 2000, the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation requested that NCLIS undertake a comprehensive assessment of the federal government’s information dissemination policies and practices, including the need for new or revised laws, rules, regulations, and policies; the need to consolidate, streamline or simplify missions and functions; and the need to strengthen other components of the overall federal information dissemination infrastructure. This was to be accompanied by recommendations on the future of NTIS that would be consistent with any overall federal government information dissemination recommendations that NCLIS would provide. The Commission was asked to consider whether NTIS could be a fully electronic repository of federal scientific and technical information, accessible via the Internet. NCLIS immediately convened four panels of experts to assist with the assessment. The panels were to address the NTIS business model, internal government reforms, external user needs, and public-private sector partnerships.

Although the result of this call for a comprehensive assessment was a multi-volume report with three dozen specific recommendations, the Commission’s principle recommendation was that the United States government formally recognize and affirm the concept that public information is a strategic national resource with an importance similar to that accorded to land, labor, and capital. The Commission believed that this recommendation, if adopted, would dramatically alter federal information policy and lead to implementation of many of its other recommendations.
In this report, *A Comprehensive Assessment of Public Information Dissemination*, the Commission reaffirmed that public ownership of information created by the federal government is an essential right that not only allows individuals to fulfill their civic responsibilities, but also contributes to an overall improvement in their quality of life. The Commission acknowledged that current information technology not only brings with it expanded opportunities for using government information but also a number of difficulties, including adequacy of finding tools, technological incompatibilities, and sometimes just the overwhelming amount of information. Furthermore, the Commission noted that not all needed information is available on the Internet nor do users of public information necessarily have the professional skills to use what is available in any format, and also that government information made available electronically can disappear as quickly as it has appeared.

Through this report, the Commission called attention to the absence of policy for long term or permanent public access to web-based public information, as well as the fact that special populations, especially individuals with disabilities, but also those who, for whatever reason, find it difficult to use computers and computer networks, existed throughout the nation. The Commission noted that such populations clearly could benefit from information technology, but special efforts need to be taken to guarantee the availability to them of appropriate information technology and government information content.

This is how the Commission summarized the then current situation:

The federal government has a critical role in formulating and overseeing public information dissemination policy. Hundreds of laws establish the requirement and authority of agencies to disseminate public information, but there is little distinction made between “passive dissemination” and “proactive dissemination.” Moreover, the authority of agencies differs widely in terms of how broadly they are permitted to disseminate information to the public. It is evident that there are costs involved in managing and disseminating public information resources, but the manner of paying these costs is inconsistent and, at times, invisible across government. There are existing central service agencies, such as GPO, NTIS, and the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), who, in partnership with individual agencies, play a crucial role in information dissemination. However, there is no effective enforcement mechanism to use when these partnerships fail.

There will always remain a strong need for central information service agencies, but these agencies need new business models that reflect the realities of the Internet and the World Wide Web. Overlap and competition among these agencies is unnecessary and wasteful. There are efforts to improve coordination—for example, through interagency committees—and these efforts should be continued and strengthened.

The Commission also indicated that everything that it learned about problems and opportunities affecting federal government information was likely to apply to public
information at the state and local government levels and urged again that the inconsistencies and incompatibilities among programs at the different levels of government need to be eliminated.

This is how the Commission summarized the recommendations found in the report:

**Strategic Recommendations**

1. Adopt the national goal that public information is a strategic resource.
2. Establish the Public Information Resources Administration (PIRA).
3. Include broad, explicit public information dissemination authority in all agencies’ missions.
4. Implement an Information Dissemination Budget.
6. Establish the Congressional Information Resources Office (CIRO).
7. Establish the Judicial Information Resources Office (JIRO).
8. Extend key provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act to the Legislative and Judicial Branches.
9. Encourage state, local, and tribal governments to adopt comparable policies and programs for their public information resources.
10. Retain, temporarily, the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) in the Commerce Department.
11. Provide funding for the public good functions of NTIS and other comparable information service agencies.
12. Update the NTIS business model.
13. Partner with the private sector, both for–profit and not for-profit, to perform public information disseminations functions.
14. Remove barriers to public information for individuals with disabilities and for other special populations.
15. Coordinate the information dissemination activities among the Legislative, Judicial, and Executive Branches.
16. Improve training of librarians and other information professionals to better assist users of public information.

**Other Recommendations**

17. Implement recommendations regarding NTIS in the Commerce Department.
18. Improve Congressional oversight of public information dissemination laws.
19. Review and harmonize all laws that deal with public information resources.
20. Strengthen cooperative efforts to promote public information sharing.
21. Improve “Government Information Life-Cycle Planning and Management.”
22. Modernize current awareness systems for public information.
23. Make consistent federal identifiers for information across all agencies.
24. Harmonize information identifiers at all levels of government—federal, state, local, and tribal.
26. Develop guidelines regarding the availability of public information by branch and level of government.
27. Develop a comprehensive inventory and database of public information resources.
28. Specify the metadata by which agencies classify records prior to archival retention or disposal.
29. Partner broadly, in and outside government, to ensure permanent public availability of public information resources.
30. Identify the public’s most critical unmet requirements for public information resources.
31. Identify the federal government’s most critical requirement for technologies to manage public information resources.
32. Involve the Office of Science and Technology Policy in the effective management of scientific and technical information.
33. Monitor cooperation between PIRA and the National Archives and Records Administration.
34. Require that data elements set forth in the Government Paperwork Elimination Act be reported in XML (Extensive Markup Language) and review the impact of this requirement regularly.
35. Ensure the availability of a trained federal workforce with skills in Internet Age technologies.
36. Advance the recommendations of this Assessment report to other nations worldwide.

In April 2001, the Commission held a hearing in Cincinnati on School Librarians: Knowledge Navigators Through Troubled Times. In calling for participation in the hearing, the Commission noted that: “The role of the school librarian or the school library media center must not be understated. The school library is the place where students develop the skills necessary to become effective information users capable of locating, interpreting, analyzing and evaluating information. The skills for life-long learning must begin at an early age through adequate, credible and up-to-date information, therefore, we must be certain that funds for school media center personnel and material are not diverted to other projects.” At the hearing, the Commission heard testimony on the role of the school librarian in student performance, curriculum, and literacy. The resulting information supported the Commission’s continued advocacy for improved federal government support for school libraries and media centers.

Shortly after the tragic events of September 11, 2001, the Commission began to look at the role of libraries in preparing for and responding to disasters. The result was Trust and Terror: New Demands for Crisis Information Dissemination and Management. The Commission proposed to expand the role of U.S. libraries in crisis information dissemination and management. The DVD, narrated by Walter Cronkite, noted that the nation's more than 16,000 public libraries already form an extensive network of resources that can be
empowered to meet this need for crisis information. The Commission recommended that federal, state, and local government agencies put systems in place—and use them—to distribute essential, up-to-date information to public libraries. It further recommended that this be done in cooperation with the state libraries which can efficiently channel the information to appropriate libraries and library systems in each state and that the libraries and librarians, in turn, prepare to disseminate the information to people when and where it is needed. The Commission acknowledged that funding must be allocated from federal, state, and local emergency sources so that libraries can remain open for extended hours during crises—even 24 hours-a-day, 7 days-a-week, when necessary.

In 2005, the Commission once again turned its attention to international information policy issues, issuing a report on *The Role of Libraries in HIV/AIDS Information Dissemination in Sub-Saharan Africa.* The goal of this NCLIS study was to explore how information is being disseminated in the part of the world where the impact of HIV / AIDS has been by far the greatest, and the resources of the countries impacted have been the smallest—Sub-Saharan Africa. In particular, the Commission examined the role of libraries—and library-like institutions—as change-agents in developing countries that must deal with high rates of illiteracy, how levels of information technology infrastructure, multiple languages, and cultural attitudes and practices were better suited for centuries past, not for the 21st century, anticipating that lessons learned from this investigation will be useful in the U.S. and elsewhere, wherever there are pockets of poverty, illiteracy, poor information technology infrastructure, and lack of sufficient libraries and information institutions to meet the needs of the people.

*Mass Digitization: Implications for Information Policy* is a report from a symposium on “Scholarship and Libraries in Transition: A Dialogue about the Impacts of Mass Digitization Projects” held at the University of Michigan in March 2006. Among the recommendations in this report is a call for updating the Copyright Act to address the digital world. The report urged greater cooperation among libraries to avoid duplication of effort and make available their unique and rare materials. It called for greater attention to quality, authentication, and preservation and acknowledged the need for standards for interoperability and cross searching of digital repositories. Alternatives to the advertiser supported model, such as the open source model, need to be explored, especially with regard to sustainability. The information literacy skills of students and scholars need to be improved, and understanding changing user needs and preferences requires ongoing assessment and market research.

Not all NCLIS information policy initiatives are in the form of studies, reports, and hearings. In many instances, NCLIS responds to inquiries or initiates correspondence, consultations or resolutions in order to provide a rapid response to an emerging situation, particularly pending legislation. For example, in May 2005, NCLIS called on the President and Congress to support libraries as health information distribution centers, noting that this would position libraries as the central resource for providing citizens with consumer health information. The Commission noted that this was particularly important when they require health information in a critical or unusual situation and for helping citizens learn how to live a healthy lifestyle.
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In November 2006, the Commission advised Congress to take action to ensure net neutrality and preclude legislation or regulations that would create tiered services on the Internet. Quoting from a 1992 hearing on allowing commercial traffic on the Internet, NCLIS reminded Congress that “It is essential as the network is structured that all commercial providers of network services receive equal treatment and that government policy in managing the network not favor any provider or set of providers over others.” The Commission also supported four principles adopted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in 2005 to “encourage broadband deployment and preserve and promote the open and interconnected nature of [the] public Internet,” noting that tiered service is contrary to those principles.

NCLIS also recommended that Congress refrain from changes to the e-rate (the familiar program name of the extension of Universal Service authorized by Congress in the Telecommunication Act of 1996) to require all schools and libraries that receive federal funds to restrict access to digital social networking tools and online communities. Noting that proposed legislation is overly broad, the Commission expressed concern its passage could mean that “wikis, blogs, and even Amazon.com and Wikipedia could be construed as ‘social networking sites,’ a situation which would have negative effects on learning and the access to information and knowledge required for learning.”

In 2007, noting that “a critical part of the comprehensive and renewed strategy to ensure that students learn to read and are effective users of information and ideas, is the requirement that every school have a school library and that school libraries be staffed by highly qualified, state certified school library media specialists,” the Commission urged Congress to provide adequate resources in the No Child Left Behind Act reauthorization to support school libraries and media centers. Later that year, NCLIS urged Congress to make permanent a moratorium on Internet access taxes. “To tax citizens for Internet access in order to fill out tax forms and Medicare forms required by the government is patently unfair and an economic burden for our citizens,” the Commission asserted, adding “If the government is increasingly requiring citizens to use the Internet, then federal, state or local taxes on its use should be prohibited.”

While many voices are raised in the discussion of pending federal legislation and other information policy initiatives, the Commission has served a unique and important role as a permanent, independent agency within the federal government with the mandate to advise the President and Congress on national and international library and information policies, to appraise and assess the adequacies and deficiencies of library and information resources and services, and to develop overall plans for meeting national library and information needs. Though its mission is broad, addressing issues that affect every American, the resources available to the Commission are modest. Only twice since its inception has its annual appropriation exceeded $1 million. As a result, the Commission has always prioritized its activities to address a few key issues each year. Yet NCLIS has, since its inception, been a consistent voice for recognition that U.S. library and information resources are a national resource that should be strengthened, organized, and made available to the maximum degree possible in the public interest and remained dedicated to the assumptions so well articulated in its 1974 official program document, Toward a National Program for Library and Information Services: Goals for Action.
Principles of Public Information

Preamble
From the birth of our nation, open and uninhibited access to public information has ensured good government and a free society. Public information helps to educate our people, stimulate our progress and solve our most complex economic, scientific and social problems. With the coming of the Information Age and its many new technologies, however, public information has expanded so quickly that basic principles regarding its creation, use and dissemination are in danger of being neglected and even forgotten.

The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science, therefore, reaffirms that the information policies of the U.S. government are based on the freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution, and on the recognition of public information as a national resource to be developed and preserved in the public interest. We define public information as information created, compiled and or maintained by the Federal Government. We assert that public information is information owned by the people, held in trust by their government, and should be available to the people except where restricted by law. It is in this spirit of public ownership and public trust that we offer the following Principles of Public Information.

Principles
1. The public has the right of access to public information.
Government agencies should guarantee open, timely and uninhibited access to public information except where restricted by law. People should be able to access public information, regardless of its format, without any special training or expertise.

2. The Federal Government should guarantee the integrity and preservation of public information, regardless of its format.
By maintaining public information in the face of changing times and technologies, government agencies assure the government's accountability and the accessibility of the government's business to the public.

3. The Federal Government should guarantee the dissemination, reproduction, and redistribution of public information.
Any restriction of dissemination or any other function dealing with public information must be strictly defined by law.
4. The Federal Government should safeguard the privacy of persons who use or request information, as well as persons about whom information exists in government records.

5. The Federal Government should ensure a wide diversity of sources of access, private as well as governmental, to public information.

Although sources of access may change over time and because of advances in technology, government agencies have an obligation to the public to encourage diversity.

6. The Federal Government should not allow cost to obstruct the people's access to public information.

Costs incurred by creating, collecting and processing information for the government's own purposes should not be passed on to people who wish to utilize public information.

7. The Federal Government should ensure that information about government information is easily available and in a single index accessible in a variety of formats.

The government index of public information should be in addition to inventories of information kept within individual government agencies.

8. The Federal Government should guarantee the public's access to public information, regardless of where they live and work, through national networks and programs like the Depository Library Program.

Government agencies should periodically review such programs as well as the emerging technology to ensure that access to public information remains inexpensive and convenient to the public.

Conclusion

The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science offers these Principles of Public Information as a foundation for the decisions made throughout the Federal Government and the nation regarding issues of public information. We urge all branches of the Federal Government, state and local governments and the private sector to utilize these principles in the development of information policies and in the creation, use, dissemination and preservation of public information. We believe that in so acting, they will serve the best interests of the nation and the people in the Information Age.

Adopted by the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science
June 29, 1990
Information Access for the American Public

Background

When the Commission was created by the U.S. Congress in 1970, access to information was very different than it is in 2008. During the ensuing 38 years that NCLIS has been advising the Congress and the President on policy issues, the world of information has been transformed from one where information is fixed in a particular geographic location (a physical library) to one that is global and known as the world wide web; from one that was paper-based to digital-based information and research accessible via a variety of electronic devices; from one that relied upon the collections of a particular institution to one where libraries throw open their collections on the Internet; from one that ‘relied’ on unreliable room-size computers, to one where multiple books are carried on a flash drive the size of a child’s finger.

Through these vast changes NCLIS has appraised library and information services on behalf of the American people, reported its findings to the Congress and the President, and made recommendations to benefit the American public’s access to information. While their legislative mandate did not include advising libraries, the Commission has not been shy about recommending changes to better serve the American public, has in fact brokered some of the changes, and has applauded and recognized outstanding work, in the hope of encouraging other libraries to follow the honored peer.

This section looks at the emphasis NCLIS has placed on assuring access to information and in realization that libraries created an informal network spread across the country, the Commission encouraged libraries and library systems to undertake the development of activities that would strengthen the infrastructure of libraries and information providers to provide better access to information services. Along the way, NCLIS strongly encouraged the Congress to amend legislation to include technology and its applications as a library service eligible for federal funding.

The First Decade: 1970-1979

In its first annual report NCLIS established the primacy of access as its focus, declaring that ‘national equality of access to information is as important as equality in education.’ It immediately delved into copyright issues noting that a legal framework for resolving fair use issues in libraries and by library users needed a legislative solution and called on the Congress to enact a revision to the Copyright Act.

During the early 1970s, NCLIS issued several recommendations concerning the Library of Congress (LC or the Library) and identified the role of the congressional and de facto national library in building a national library infrastructure accessible by libraries nationwide on behalf of their users. NCLIS urged the LC to seek to acquire 85 to 90% of the world’s output of written materials, noting that if materials were held at the LC, the country would derive broad national benefits, and research libraries alone would save $66 million. The
Commission urged the Library to make its holdings available as the ‘library of final resort’ by lending them to other libraries, by purchasing additional copies for this particular purpose, and by creating microfilm copies as a preservation medium. The Commission further urged the Library of Congress to create a mechanism to distribute its cataloging data to other research libraries and information organizations and to expand its reference service to support a national system of bibliographic service. The reuse of cataloging data created by the LC would provide a standard way for a library user to access information in any library and would save local jurisdictions millions of dollars since the work would not have to be replicated in every local and academic library.

Ensuring access to information about the federal government, the Commission noted that appropriate documentation, bibliographical and other information resources should be recognized in federal programs and that support for them should be included in Executive Orders and other implementing directives.

In 1974, NCLIS, with a coalition of information advocates, brought about the enactment of PL93-58 which authorized a White House Conference on Library and Information Services, a conference that would focus on the importance of libraries and information in American society.

In 1974 and 1975, NCLIS sponsored two conferences that focused on continuing education for librarians. CLENE, Continuing Library Education and Networking Exchange, emerged from the conferences and continues today as a principal organization in the development and delivery of continuing professional education for librarians and information professionals.

During these same years, NCLIS focused on the very concrete portion of the national infrastructure, urging Congress to extend the Library Services and Construction Act which provided federal funds for local construction, the law mandating the distribution of federal funds for certain library services, and the development of a technology infrastructure at the local level.

NCLIS in 1976 was riveted on federal information policy issues. It published the National Information Policy Report, urging that the U.S. set as a goal the development of a coordinated National Information Policy; recommending that the Executive Office of the President establish an Office of Information Policy and that an interagency federal Council on Information Policy be created.

In FY1977, the Commission focused again on access to information in several of its actions. It recommended a staged process to increase state and federal funding for public libraries, recommending a formula of 20% federal funds, 50% state funds, and 30% local funds. It urged that the Library Services and Construction Act be amended with a new title that would provide financial assistance to large urban public libraries.

Directly rebutting those who would censor access based on community standards, the Commission issued its condemnation of the use of ‘local community standards,’ identifying
such use as a threat to accessibility and to citizens’ full exercise of rights guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments.

Access to periodical literature featured prominently in the same year with the publication of The Role of the Library of Congress in the Evolving National Network. The report called for establishing a national periodicals center and an Advisory Committee to develop policy for coordinating the center and charged the Library of Congress to design plans for the center. Envisioned to serve individual libraries, the Commission was looking for an appropriate organization to assure access for the libraries and their users. An Advisory Committee developed a draft amendment to Title II of the Higher Education Act, but Congress took no action.

Another broad access initiative was the 1979 NCLIS Conference on Libraries and Literacy which resulted in recommendations for libraries and for the Commission. Seeing the utility of using public libraries across the country for literacy efforts, the Conference recommended that libraries act as clearing houses and community resource centers to coordinate community-based literacy programs and that the libraries should develop plans to reach the non-literate members of the community who are not regular library users. For NCLIS, the recommendations included an expanded mission—to embrace a National Commission on Literacy and to create a national resource center for local and state literacy programs. Recommendations further sought federal legislation and funding that would identify local libraries as alternative education agencies and designate them to receive funds to conduct literacy programs; on their part, the libraries were to take the initiative to conduct local assessments of community needs and resources for improving literacy.

The Second Decade: 1980-1989

The White House Conference on Libraries Report issued in 1980 carried multiple recommendations affirming NCLIS’ continued interest in access to information and the need to build a strong infrastructure for libraries. It called for new legislation to replace LSCA and increased budgetary support for resource sharing among libraries and the innovative application of technologies to public and research libraries and argued for Federal Information Centers to be placed within libraries. The role of NCLIS as the Secretariat for the Conference and the recommendations produced by the Conference are covered in detail later in this report.

In 1980, the Commission made several broad recommendations to the President and the Congress that would affect positively conditions of access to information. The Committee on the Right to Privacy recommended that the U.S. set a goal of coordinating a national information policy, establish an Office of Information Policy in the Executive Office of the President, create an interagency Council on Information Policy chaired by the Director of the recommended Office of Information Policy, and create an Advisory Committee to the Office. In the same year the Commission recommended establishing a task force on international cooperation to foster library and information science work related to UNESCO, provide a forum for discussion with countries involved in mutual cooperation, provide a clearinghouse for all U.S. public and private agencies interested in international information cooperation
and recommend policies to the Department of State. The following year NCLIS became the Secretariat for the UN National Commission for UNESCO General Information Program.

In fiscal year 1981-82, the Commission worked with the American Library Association, the Council of State Library Agencies, and a congressional delegation recommending changes to the Library Services and Construction Act that would better deal with issues of library automation, the needs of special populations, and the problems of illiteracy. The Commission created its own specialization in special populations by establishing the National Rural Information Services Development Program—a population to which the Commission would continue to address its efforts for the next 20 years.

During the same year the Nairobi Protocol was ratified by the Congress. Under this international instrument, signatories agreed to dismantle customs barriers for imported books; works of art; audiovisual material of educational, scientific, and cultural nature; and scientific equipment, appliances, and materials for the blind. The instrument also states that convertible currencies and import licenses should be granted for the purchase of books to be used in public libraries.

The Florence Agreement, originally reached in 1950, was updated in 1976 through the adoption of the Nairobi Protocol which extended the free circulation principles to other cultural goods, particularly those using the technologies developed at that time, such as audiovisual materials. This international agreement particularly improved access for special populations to materials from abroad and improved access for all Americans to materials produced outside the U.S., and in turn made U.S.-produced cultural and educational materials more widely available abroad.

The Commission partnered with the Special Library Association to create a task force which examined and produced a report on *The Role of the Special Library in Networks and Cooperatives: Executive Summary and Recommendations*. Ever mindful of the citizen searching for information, the Commission realized that many private and corporate organizations have libraries for their own business purposes. One of the myths was that the general public could not benefit directly from these libraries. Through this joint effort, the services and the collections of special libraries would become more widely known and more easily accessed.

The Commission demonstrated its continuing focus on access to information for Americans in the 1990s through a series of recommendations that encompassed literacy training, technology demonstration programs, access to government produced information, and higher levels of funding for school library and media centers. Citing the prominent role that access to information has on citizens’ participation in the democratic process, the Commission recommended that Congress mandate open access to information through legislation that would require open access for public use to information received by the federal government or information that was created at public expense. They urged that uniform policies and standards for the management, preservation, and access to this information for the public be developed. These recommendations in FY1992 presage the debate that continues today to guarantee public access to research and information created at tax-payer expense.
They recommended that the President and the Congress recognize and affirm that literacy for all remains a national priority by funding school library service through categorical grants administered by the Department of Education, that a national, library-based literacy training model be developed, and that funds be appropriated for demonstration grants.

In similar fashion, they urged libraries to develop and support the use of a nationwide multi-type library network that would improve resource sharing among libraries, thereby providing better access to information for the public, particularly in areas with little or no access. Noting the importance of local information to decision making, libraries were urged to collaborate closely with community service providers to distribute local information to their communities.

The Commission’s recognition of the development of technology and networks to deliver information to the public was seen in their recommendation to the Congress to support and fund the National Research and Education Network (NREN) so that it would be available in all libraries and other information repositories and to provide additional funding through the Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA) for libraries in rural areas to acquire NREN access terminals and other networking needs.

For school library services the Commission recommended that the Department of Education establish its own office responsible for leadership of school media programs, and the Commission recommended federal incentive programs for states to assure that school media centers would be fully staffed by professional school library media personnel.

Over the next couple of years the Commission continued to focus on literacy and library services for children and youth, advocating for demonstration grants for services to children offered by public libraries and parent / family education projects for early childhood services that also involved childhood support agencies. Libraries were encouraged to become partners with day care centers and other early childhood providers by offering the centers deposit collections and training in the use of library resources. For youth the Commission supported demonstration grants for services for young adults, demonstration grants to provide outreach services for at-risk youth by providing partnership with community youth-serving agencies for young adults on the verge of risky behavior and those already in crisis. Public and school libraries were encouraged to form partnership programs to provide comprehensive services to youth and teens, with the libraries developing an agenda for research to document and evaluate how children and young adults develop abilities that make them information literate. They further encouraged school and public libraries to develop intergenerational demonstration programs that provide meaningful services to children and teens.

The Third Decade: 1990-1999

In 1992, the Commission issued its report, *Pathways to Excellence: A Report on Improving Library and Information Services for Native American Peoples*. The Report’s recommendations dealt with both access to library and information services and
strengthening the information infrastructure to support enhanced access. The Report called for adequate and consistent funding at all governmental levels to improve library and information services. The Report recommended a study that would document library and information services provided to Native Americans and the establishment of a national information policy for library and information services to the communities and recommended amending the Community Services Act to provide family literacy programs and culturally based programs that incorporate the oral tradition and Native American cultural materials. Other recommendations called for funds for a basic program of library and information technologies, including specialized training for Native American library and information services personnel.

The Commission paid particular attention to the aged population in 1994-96 in preparation for its participation in the White House Conference on Aging. The Commission sought to define the priorities for library services needed by aging Americans. The Commission used the opportunity to make recommendations to the President and the Congress and to the library and information community. NCLIS recommended funding the expansion of traditional library development to include new technology centers to support lifelong learning, economic training, and senior-related information. The Commission called for public policy for a multi-agency approach to develop training modules for seniors, further recommending participation by geriatric associations and centers, university research centers, library associations, and federal, state, and local agencies. They recommended preferential rates be provided to libraries and similar institutions in telecommunications services.

To libraries the Commission recommended expanding their role in electronic technology to heighten attention to the continuum of lifespan, to develop separate units to focus on services to the deaf community, and to offer programs drawing on the talents of all ages with the goal of strengthening society’s value for the contributions made by all generations. Libraries were further urged to make facilities accessible and to provide collections that were more accessible to the aged with disabilities.

The 1996 National Survey of Public Libraries and the Internet: Progress and Issues recommended developing, operationalizing, and validating a range of performance measures that would be essential if public libraries intended to determine which networked information resources are effective for their users. The data could also be used to make known to federal, state, and local policy makers information and issues describing how public library involvement in the Internet affects the public good—beginning, albeit indirectly, to address the new role of public libraries to provide access across the digital divide. The Commission also recommended that the data could be analyzed to better plan and design public library involvement to best benefit the public.

On September 30, 1996, the President signed into law the Library Services and Technology Act (PL 104-208), changing markedly the direction and distribution of federally funded library initiatives. The change from Library Services and Construction Act to the Library Services and Technology Act affirmed the increasing role of technology in delivering library services and providing access to information for the public. It brought to fruition a federal law change the Commission had recommended years before. The 1997 report on Public
Libraries and the Internet looked at the prominent role technology had already taken in the public library, and it explored specific actions that the federal government should take to ensure that access to government-produced and government-collected information was available to the public via their libraries.

NCLIS remained focused on the Internet and its effect on information access for the public and in 1999 issued its report, Kids and the Internet. In its report, it again made recommendations to the government and to libraries. For the government, in this case local government bodies, the Commission recommended “that the governing body of school and public libraries, in order to meet its trustee responsibilities, should establish, formally approve, and periodically review a written acceptable use policy statement on Internet access.” While the commission stopped short of recommending filters to the governing bodies, they did suggest that “software – which can be turned on or off – and that restricts access to designated web site for specific Internet functions could be considered as a measure to protect children while they are using the Internet.” The Commission noted that libraries can provide Internet training and education and other awareness programs to parents, guardians, and teachers and alert them to both the promise and the perils of the Internet for children.

The Final Decade: 2000-2008

The Commission explored the convergence of the Public Sector and Private Sector in Information Services. In their 2000 recommendations they affirmed the applicability of the First Amendment to information products and services. They encouraged government agencies to utilize the most efficient information technologies, encouraged the setting and use of voluntary standards that will not inhibit further development of innovative information products and services, and supported statistical programs and research to provide the data needed to deal with information policy issues. They supported the use of libraries as active means for access to governmental information by the public. To further ensure public access to information, they recommended that the government not assert any federal government copyrights on information made available domestically, and they recommended using the nation’s libraries as information centers for distributing government information rather than creating new governmental units.

In 2000, the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), an arm of the Department of Commerce, was to be closed due to insufficient funding. The information service was a principal distribution point for non-classified technical information to the public. In response to this possibility of diminished public access, the Commission called for appropriations to enable the agency to continue functioning while seeking an alternative business model to finance its operations. The Commission sought assurances that materials were not discarded and asked that reports on NTIS operations be submitted to the congressional oversight and appropriation committees and to NCLIS.

Recognizing the strong relationship between school library media programs and student achievement and the importance of information literacy, the Commission returned to the
topic of school libraries in 2001. The Commission invited public comment at its hearing in Cincinnati, OH examining five questions:

1. How is student performance affected by having a well-equipped library and professionally trained staff?
2. How does the school librarian’s involvement in the development and active participation in the curriculum influence student success?
3. How do school librarians help students develop the ability to identify, access, and evaluate information resources for problem solving, i.e., to move from literacy to information literacy?
4. How do school librarians address, promote, and sustain literacy?
5. What is the impact of federal government support for school libraries, and how should future needs be met?

In September 2001, the Commission turned its attention to the role of information access in emergency preparedness and recovery. Following the attacks on the World Trade Center in New York City and the Pentagon in Washington, the Commission, like other federal agencies, reviewed its mandate in light of the tragedy. Through its earlier work with libraries and state library agencies, the Commission was keenly aware that the U.S.’s 16,000 public libraries form an unofficial nationwide distribution system for information needed and wanted by the public. The Commission’s first publication on the topic was *Trust and Terror: A Proposal to Expand the Role of US Libraries in Crisis Information Dissemination and Management*. The CD presentation was shown broadly to audiences of librarians to heighten their awareness of the pivotal role they could fulfill for vital and accurate information during a disaster. The Commission continued its work with other federal agencies, bringing this already-made network to the attention of Homeland Security and Emergency Management officials. NCLIS took a three-prong approach to link libraries and emergency preparedness: (1) bringing together stakeholders in emergency response and apprising them of the role libraries can play; (2) persuading library and information service leaders to identify the distribution of emergency preparedness information as a critical and natural role for libraries; and (3) promoting to the general public the idea that libraries can be the place to find the information they need for critical emergencies. During the subsequent floods from Hurricanes Rita and Katrina, libraries were the centers for information and were among the first public services restored.

In organizing its activities, the Commission was guided by its strategic plan of April 2004. In the plan, the Commission established three goals for its work:

- To appraise library and information services provided for the American people
- To strengthen the relevance of libraries and information science in the lives of the American people
- To promote research and development for extending and improving library and information services for the American people
To achieve its goals, the Commission undertook several strategic initiatives. Each initiative in turn resulted in specific policy advice or comment delivered to the President and to Congress as required by law.

- The NCLIS initiative on health communication and the role of libraries in distributing consumer health information and in promoting healthy lifestyles for all Americans was designed to mobilize the resources of libraries to help solve a critical national problem: unhealthy lifestyles. Many citizens do not know where to go to find consumer health information and this initiative sought to expand the role of libraries in addressing this problem. The Commission’s goal was to enable libraries to play a key role in encouraging the development of healthy lifestyles and raise the standard of health literacy for all American citizens. The overarching objectives of the initiative were to identify methodologies and strategic partners to working with the Commission, to ensure that all libraries in America were empowered to respond to citizens’ health communication needs.

Specific activities supporting this initiative were the 2004 NCLIS Blue Ribbon Consumer Health Information Recognition Awards for Libraries and the 2006 NCLIS Health Information Awards for Libraries and the Libraries and Health Information Forum, May 3, 2006. At the forum, the keynote speaker was Dr. J. Edward Hill, President, American Medical Association, and representatives of each of the ten finalists for the award described their specific programs in detail. These recognition activities were developed in partnership with the Chief Officers of State Library Agencies (COSLA), the National Library of Medicine / National Institutes of Health, the American Medical Association, The Henderson Foundation, Commissioner Mary H. (“Mitzi”) Perdue in memory of Frank Perdue, Thomson Gale, and the ProQuest Company.

In its report to the President and the Congress, the Commission gave extensive examples of programs through the U.S. that provided excellent health information to their communities.

- By 2005, information available on the Internet from commercial and private sources was augmented significantly as agencies and educational institutions began digitizing their holdings, particularly their special collections. This work was epitomized by the American Memory project by the Library of Congress and its many university partners. An announcement by Google that it had partnered with five major research libraries to digitize the millions of books in their general book collections changed dramatically the landscape for research information available via the Internet. That partnership was followed shortly by another collaboration spearheaded by the Internet Archive with other academic partners called the Open Content Alliance. While the Commission cannot endorse any specific commercial activity, Commission members were quick to applaud the concept and the participants. “ seldom has there been an occasion that so clearly brings together the mutual interests of readers, researchers, and library managers.”
MEETING THE INFORMATION NEEDS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

The Commission’s work on digitization was realized in a 2006 symposium offered in partnership with the University of Michigan Library. The symposium, “Scholarship and Libraries in Transition: A Dialogue about the Impacts of Mass Digitization Projects,” was planned and organized by the University of Michigan Library staff and funded mainly by the University of Michigan and brought together some 300 interested participants to discuss large-scale digitization and its implications for society.

This NCLIS initiative in looking at the mass digitization of books and other materials for the purposes of preserving them for future generations and making them available to a much wider audience underscores its continuing emphasis on access to information. They sought to inform American citizens about the legal, social, economic, and other impacts of large-scale digitization and to identify opportunities for research and the development of policy recommendations as large-scale digitization is implemented through the larger field of library and information science.

- NCLIS renewed its emphasis on the importance of early literacy by again looking at the role of school libraries and student achievement. This NCLIS initiative sought to identify how school libraries affect the achievement of excellence in learning in order to disseminate the information to community organizations, school administrators, local politicians with funding authority, the media, the larger educational community, and to all others who have an interest in the role of libraries in educational achievement. The purpose of the initiative was to raise the awareness of community leaders and other influential citizens about the value of school libraries and to provide them with scientific-based evidence that could be used as they address funding issues. Specific activities focus on identifying excellence in school library service delivery, including school library programs which support literacy efforts for students.

- Related to the student achievement, the Commission made a commitment to support literacy efforts, especially in terms of reading initiatives, adult literacy (for those who cannot read enough to carry out basic life skills), and health literacy. This NCLIS initiative focused on a review and report on activities and programs that support adult literacy and family literacy (in which children and parents learn together the educational values of literacy across generations, with both children and parents pursuing educational goals). In connection with this initiative, the Commission partnered with Borders Books, Scholastic, the Chicago Public Schools Department of Libraries, the Quills Literacy Foundation, and Rotary International to expand local and regional “Battle of the Books” activities, with particular attention to the role of school libraries as the focus for these efforts.

In 2006 the Commission devoted much of its time to focusing on two policy issues before the Congress: proposed changes to Internet neutrality and to legislation that would restrict eligibility for e-rate funding. In November, the Commission published a statement of its position on Internet neutrality (“net neutrality”), then being debated in the Congress. The Commission took the position that—with respect to Internet neutrality—Congress should
take action to assure that tiered access is prevented. In fact, according to a study done under contract for the Commission, the government has already taken a stand. In 1992, when Congress permitted commercial traffic on the Internet, the Committee report on the legislation noted that the change did not alter the “goals or characteristics” of the network. Congressman Rick Boucher, the Chairman of the House subcommittee that developed the legislation, explained during a hearing on the legislation: “It is essential as the network is structured that all commercial providers of network services receive equal treatment and that Government policy in managing the network not favor any provider or set of providers over others.”

The Commission also referred to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as it has been suggested that the FCC handle net neutrality in a regulatory manner, but a position has been taken by the FCC. However, in August 2005, the FCC adopted and published four principles “to encourage broadband deployment and preserve and promote the open and interconnected nature of [the] public Internet.” While the principles have no legal force and have not been codified, the FCC Chairman stated at the time that these principles will be incorporated into the policymaking activities of the FCC. The four principles are:

1. Consumers are entitled to access the lawful Internet content of their choice.
2. Consumers are entitled to run applications and services of their choice (subject to the needs of law enforcement).
3. Consumers are entitled to connect their choice of legal devices that do not harm the network.
4. Consumers are entitled to competition among network providers, application and service providers, and content providers.

With respect to content on the Internet, NCLIS supported these principles in full and in its statement the Commission encouraged Congress to reiterate strongly the position it took when legislation permitting commercial traffic on the Internet was developed, noting that equal treatment of content is important to all information seekers.

The Commission continued to monitor the e-rate program, as its implementation and any threats to its continuation or attempts to curtail the program would seriously affect public schools and libraries. The funding provided by the program enables libraries and schools to have access to telephone and Internet services, and without the program, that service would be seriously hampered. In November, 2006 the Commission published a statement of concern about proposed legislation that, if enacted, would require all schools and libraries which receive federal funds to restrict access to digital social networking tools and online communities. Particularly affected would be schools and libraries receiving discounted telecommunication services under the e-rate (the familiar program name of Universal Services, Section 245 of the Telecommunication Act of 1966).

This overview of its work throughout its history makes clear the broad scope of the NCLIS mission. It has been the only government agency given the statutory responsibility that is the Commission’s very reason for being, to provide policy advice to the President and the Congress with respect to libraries and information science. In much of its work the
Commission has involved others in its deliberations and collaborations. While its specific purpose and mission has been to advise the President and the Congress, the Commission has shared its recommendations broadly for the common good of the American people.

**Measuring Libraries and Access to Information**

Through most of its history NCLIS has been involved in library statistics and conducting surveys of libraries. To the Commissioners the statistics were important tools in evaluating how libraries were serving the public, how schools benefited from connecting students to the school libraries, and in measuring the effects of federal funding programs on library services. The benefits to libraries were equally valuable. Every library has to justify its funding request often in competition with other needed municipal services. The statistics allow libraries to compare and contrast their services and budgets with other comparable libraries, academic to academic libraries, public libraries to those of similar size or population, and school media centers to those of other districts. The statistics told the Commissioners how many volumes there are in the collections of academic libraries, how many branches were operated by public libraries, what the nationwide attendance was at children’s story hours, and how expenditures for school library media centers relate to total school expenditures, the answer to each question a data point in assessing the quality of library service and the access Americans had to information resources.

In 1867, the U.S. Office of Education was established with the specific responsibility to collect statistics that would aid the people of the United States to establish and maintain an effective education system and promote the cause of education. Within nine years the agency had produced its first report on public libraries.

Many attribute the passage of the Library Services Act in 1956 to the facts revealed by the statistics that library services in the rural areas of the nation were appalling. Stronger reporting by the states led to greater commonality in the definition of services measured and a better way of collecting information at the federal level. The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) was established in 1965 with the responsibility for collection, analysis, and reporting of all education statistics.

NCLIS has been a partner of NCES in collecting statistical information about libraries. The Commission’s role has been to serve as the liaison to the library community; to design, organize, and conduct training workshops for the state personnel involved in data collections; to provide technical assistance and monitor trends; and to provide advice to NCES on policy matters. Training programs, with appropriate instructions, manuals, meetings, etc., were essential to the national statistics program, both at the State and local levels for general understanding, accuracy or returns and compliance. The development of the Federal-State cooperative to collect library statistics and data has served many purposes. Local libraries need statistical data about their own operations to assess their current status and to plan for future enhancements. The statistics support budget requests and inform policy making at the local level.

The final report of *The Cooperative System for Public Library Data Collection: A Pilot Project* in 1987 specifically addressed the Commission’s need for library-related data in
policy making: “legislative and administrative groups in the federal government will use them (the statistics) to plan, administer and monitor federal programs related to libraries.” Statistics are needed, for example, to support periodic review of legislation such as the Library Services and Construction Act. Statistics are also needed to help shape recommendations of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science and to assist governmental and congressional officials in planning such programs as postal services legislation and telecommunications regulations—programs which have a substantial though indirect impact on library services.

NCLIS Role in the White House Conferences on Libraries and Information Science

The First White House Conference on Library and Information Services, 1979

For decades White House Conferences were a mechanism to bring together experts and advocates to develop policy recommendations on an important topic. White House Conferences have been held on topics as diverse as aging, productivity, and travel and tourism. The first proposal for a White House Conference on Library and Information Services (WHCLIS) was in 1957. It took more than twenty years of advocacy to obtain the authorization for the first White House Conference on Library and Information Services, which took place on November 15–19, 1979.

Public Law 93-568 stated the goal of the conference as the development of recommendations “for the further improvement of the nation’s libraries and information centers and their use by the public,” and set seven considerations for the conference participants to address:

1. Access to information and ideas is indispensable to the development of human potential, the advancement of civilization, and the continuance of enlightened self-government.
2. The preservation and the dissemination of information and ideas are the primary purpose and function of libraries and information centers.
3. The growth and augmentation of the nation’s libraries and information centers are essential if all Americans are to have reasonable access to adequate services of libraries and information centers.
4. New achievements in technology offer a potential for enabling libraries and information centers to serve the public more fully, expeditiously, and economically.
5. Maximum realization of the potential inherent in the use of advanced technology by libraries and information centers requires cooperation through planning for, and coordination of, the services of libraries and information centers.
6. The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science is developing plans for meeting national needs for library and information services and for coordinating activities to meet those needs.
7. Productive recommendations for expanding access to libraries and information centers will require public understanding and support as well as that of public and private libraries and information centers.
MEETING THE INFORMATION NEEDS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

The legislation authorizing WHCLIS named NCLIS as the agency responsible for coordination and management of the process and administration of the federal funding, and it was a complex, multi-year process. State conferences began in September of 1977 and were held in nearly all of the states and many U.S. territories. Delegates included librarians, of course, but also many state and local officials, community and business leaders, educators and students, and other individuals reflective of the diverse population of library users and supporters. Each state conference developed its own resolutions and selected its own delegates to take those resolutions to Washington for WHCLIS.

Several other pre-conferences were held. For example, there was a specific pre-conference for Native Americans living on or near reservations and another for the federal library community. In addition there were five themed conferences, one for federal funding of patterns for library and information services; another for library network structure and governance; a third on libraries and literacy; a fourth on international information exchange; and a fifth on citizen access to new communication and information technology.

These state and themed pre-conferences resulted in thousands of resolutions that were analyzed and sorted into five theme areas under which the issues would be discussed at the conference. These were library and information services for meeting personal needs; enhancing life-long learning; improving organizations and the professions; effectively governing our society; and increasing international understanding and cooperation.

WHCLIS passed 64 resolutions, 25 by voice vote and 39 by paper ballot, seeking a national information policy ensuring full access to publicly funded information, access to library positions and boards for deaf and disabled people, expansion of books and documents available in a machine-readable form, an omnibus bill addressing library services for Native Americans, and no-fee access to information in publicly supported libraries, among other topics. These resolutions were reviewed and approved for publication by the Committee of the Conference at its meeting in Chicago on January 5, 1980 and contained in the Final Report of the White House Conference. Also included in the Final Report was an outline for a proposed National Library and Information Services Act to implement many of the recommendations.

The NCLIS Annual Report for 1979-1980 described the results of the White House Conference as follows:

The resolutions call for changes of many kinds, and they also set some major goals: to reshape library and information services to serve the people in more useful and convenient ways, to maintain local control of these services, and to obtain greater economy and accountability from the institutions and organizations that provide the services.

Resolutions urge libraries to take an increased role in literacy training; in improving access to information for all, including ethnic minority groups, blind persons, physically handicapped persons, and others who are not adequately served. They favor increased activity by the United States to encourage the free flow of information among nations. Many endorse the idea of the library as both a
total community information center and as an independent learning center. Generally, the resolutions support the concept of the library as essential to a civilized society, a concern the government must view with high priority in the decision-making process. Delegates to the Conference also emphasized the importance of technology and considered ways this nation can use it to improve library and information services. They discussed and refined such concepts as the linking of public telecommunications and the Postal Service with a new, expanded role for libraries.

As complex and time-consuming as it was to hold the conference, in its aftermath there were many resolutions to address and initiatives to manage. The Commission began its follow-up on WHCLIS late in fiscal year 1980. One of its first actions was to implement the two resolutions of the WHCLIS calling for the convening of an Ad Hoc Committee on Implementation of the Resolutions of the White House Conference, and that meeting was held in Minneapolis in October. In addition to the work sessions at which they began the task of setting priorities, the Ad Hoc Committee organized itself as a continuing independent body, the White House Conference on Library and Information Services Taskforce (WHCLIST). After an intensive three days and nights in Minneapolis, WHCLIST agreed upon a governance structure consisting of four officers, chosen at large, and five public members with five alternates who are in the profession, elected on a regional basis. This group is called the Steering Committee. The delegates reviewed the 64 resolutions from the WHCLIS and made recommendations on the tasks to be undertaken to accomplish the goals of each resolution, on which groups should act as agents for the tasks, and on what time frame should be established for each task.

Having completed its function as a catalyst to the organization of WHCLIST, and respecting WHCLIST’s desire to function as a separate, independent group, the Commission turned its attention to its own activities in response to various resolutions of the White House Conference. Three new task forces were established: Task Force on Community Information and Referral Services; Task Force on the Role of the Special Library in Nationwide Networks and Cooperative Programs; and Task Force on Library and Information Services to Cultural Minorities.

The need for a Task Force on Community Information and Referral Services was based on the premise that, if the library is to become the first place in the community to which people turn when seeking information services to meet their needs, it must provide the library user at all socio-economic and cultural levels with information and, where appropriate, referral to sources (e.g., governmental, community, neighborhood or voluntary organizations) that can provide answers and assistance. The Task Force defined appropriate roles for libraries in the provision of such services and to define ways in which libraries can more effectively fulfill those roles. Its recommendations were used to support improvements in the Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA).

The Task Force on the Role of the Special Library in Nationwide Networks and Cooperative Programs was established to examine ways of making the under-utilized and often inaccessible resources of the nation's special libraries available to emerging nationwide...
networks and making the resources of networks available to the special libraries. By helping to bring this very large constituency (more than 10,000 special libraries in the United States) into the mainstream of networking and cooperative programs, the Task Force sought to make a major contribution to improving the effectiveness of the nation's use of its knowledge resources.

The Task Force on Library and Information Services to Cultural Minorities was established to explore the development of programs that would encourage ethnic groups in local communities to cooperate in the planning, delivery, and evaluation of library programs, community information, and cultural and educational centers. The Task Force was also asked to identify the means for determining the strength of existing collections and develop criteria and methods for expanding and improving cultural minority materials for library and information services such as bilingual materials and foreign language books, films, and tapes.

Also as a result of WHCLIS, NCLIS joined with the Library of Congress and the Federal Library Committee in a study of governmental (federal, state, and local) library resources and services around the United States in order to identify ways to improve coordination among government libraries and information services to meet both national and local needs.

**The Second White House Conference on Library and Information Services, 1991**

At the first conference there was a call for a second conference within a decade. This time the advocates were successful in obtaining Congressional support and in 1988 the President signed Public Law 100-382, authorizing the second White House Conference on Libraries and Information Science, which took place on July 9-13, 1991. The three conference themes were library and information services for literacy, democracy, and productivity.

Once again the legislation authorizing WHCLIS named NCLIS as the agency responsible for coordination and management of the process and administration of the federal funding, and it launched a complex, multi-year process.

State pre-conferences began in 1990 and were held in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and many U.S. territories. Special themed pre-conferences were again held on library services for Native Americans, federal libraries, and networking. More than 100,000 pre-conference delegates once again represented a broad array of librarians, other state and local officials, community and business leaders, educators and students, and other individuals reflective of the diverse population of library users and supporters. Each state conference developed its own resolutions and selected its own delegates to take over 2,500 resolutions to Washington for WHCLIS. There were 984 delegates and alternates and close to 1,000 honorary delegates, international guests, and observers at the national conference.

*Information 2000: Library and Information Services for the 21st Century* was the final report of the 1991 White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services. The letter transmitting the final report to the President stated: “This Nation stands with the world at a major crossroads. Technological advances present dramatic new information challenges
created by the emergence of the Information Age. Decisions made this decade will shape the
global information culture into the next century and, to a great extent, the nature of the
society in which we live.” The President, George H.W. Bush, in turn, transmitted the report
to Congress, saying:

The Conference Report makes it clear that library and information services are
changing rapidly in response to an increasingly complex and global society. As
we strive for a more literate citizenry, increased productivity, and stronger
democracy, we must make certain that our libraries and information services will
be there to assist us as we lead the revolution for education reform. As I stated in
my speech at the White House Conference, “Libraries and information services
stand at the center of this revolution.”

The WHCLIS delegates approved 95 recommendations, with two top priorities being on
services for children and youth and the information superhighway. In December 1991, the
White House Conference and the American Library Association supported a national
teleconference on “Library and Information Services Action Agenda” to review the
resolutions and plan for their implementation. In its 1991-1992 Annual Report the
Commission synthesized the priority recommendations from WHCLIS as follows:

1. Availability and Access to Information (Theme: Democracy)

That the President and Congress support increased appropriations for all types of library
and information services under existing law.

That NCLIS conduct a forum to develop a coordinated national research and
development agenda for information technologies to generate innovative approaches to
meet the information needs of all potential library and information service users.

That Congress mandate open access to information through federal legislation, including
public information received by the federal government or created at public expense,
regardless of format. That uniform policies and standards for management, preservation,
and access to such public information be developed.

2. Education Services for Diverse Needs (Theme: Literacy)

That the President and the Congress invigorate student learning and literacy through
legislation to support and fund:

- School library services (through categorical aid administered through a dedicated
  office and program at the Department of Education);
- Public library children and young adult services (including partnerships with relevant
  organizations and library-based salaried Kids Corps projects);
- Research public and school library partnerships, participation in the nation-wide
  network, and education for service to children and young adults.
That appropriate demonstration grants and technology also be funded.

That literacy for all remains a national priority, with emphasis on training for culturally disadvantaged rural and urban minorities and access to training for the disabled. That the Congress support and fund library literacy programs; development of a national library-based literacy training model, new technologies and equipment, and quality literacy materials; and administration of LSCA Titles 6 and 8 as non-discretionary programs through the States.

3. Information Networks Through Technology (Theme: Productivity)

That libraries develop and support the use of a nationwide multi-type library network for improved resource sharing, including interlibrary loan, particularly among small, low-density population, rural, tribal, and urban libraries. That local libraries also collaborate with community service providers for universal access to community information and referral services.

That Congress enact legislation creating and funding the National Research and Education Network (NREN), the information superhighway which should be available in all libraries and other information repositories and whose governance structure should represent all interested constituencies. That the Congress provide additional LSCA funding for NREN access terminals and other networking needs of small and rural libraries.

As it had after the 1979 conference, NCLIS focused its own activities in response to various WHCLIS resolutions. For example, in the early 1990s, the Commission held three hearings on library and information services for young people, surveying selected school libraries and working toward school library media provisions in the reauthorized Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Other meetings and discussions led to the Commission’s 1994 sponsorship of research into public libraries and the Internet, also related to a WHCLIS priority.

Calls for a third White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services did not gain traction. The time and costs necessary to hold a traditional White House Conference seemed an insurmountable barrier, and the White House itself moved toward a different model for White House Conferences, as smaller and shorter meetings of experts without the complex pre-conferences and delegate selection and as Internet-based meetings allowing broad, simultaneous participation without long lead times and statutory authorization.
III. Future Research Agenda

NCLIS Future Research Topics

Introduction

Identification of issues for action by the Commission may come from the Commissioners themselves, through a request from Congress, the White House or a federal agency, or as recommendations from other individuals and organizations. In this instance, the Commission initiated a contract to seek the views of opinion leaders in the fields of library and information science, representing various types of libraries, as well as for-profit and not-for-profit providers of information resources and services. The contractor was asked to identify at least 50 such individuals and consult with them to determine their views on the major information policy issues that need to be addressed in the next 12 to 18 months, obtaining at least 35 responses. The contractor was also asked to develop a set of questions and distribute them to selected organizations for additional input. The contractor was asked to evaluate the data gathered through this process and select two or three research / policy initiatives for the Commission or its successor to implement. This report presents the prioritized responses, based on both importance of the issue and the feasibility for meaningful action by the Commission or its successor.

Methodology

The library and information community is a broad one – composed of librarians, vendors, educators, researchers and scholars, technology specialists, supporting associations and research institutes, funding sources both private and public, and library users and information seekers. For this research, the authors conducted interviews with leaders from:

- Research, college, law, corporate, public, and school libraries
- Technology companies and publishing houses
- Library associations
- University faculty
- Scholars and information industry leaders
- Intellectual property specialists and attorneys
- Open access proponents
- Organizations representing rights’ holders
- Organizations and libraries deeply involved in creating and managing digital intellectual assets
- State libraries
- Foreign national libraries and U.S. national libraries
- Foundations which have provided support for library activities
- Library and information science educators

Each interviewee was asked to make two or three recommendations—from their perspective—of how NCLIS could develop an agenda that addresses problems or issues the
interviewee faces on a regular basis with information policy, access to information, or using information technology as an integral part of professional life. The foreign interviewees were not asked to make specific recommendations for changes in U.S. policy; rather, they were asked to reflect on policy issues encountered in working on collaborative international projects that were simple for them to handle but vexing for their U.S. colleagues.

From the interviews, conducted via email, in person, and by telephone, the results were compiled, and the authors, each familiar with NCLIS operations and research, have chosen the four topics that are recommended to the Commission. The remaining topics recommended by the interviewees could also be the subject of research, and they will be briefly addressed at the end of this paper.

**Topic for Research: Public Libraries, Their Changing Role in U.S. Society and Measuring Their Societal Value**

Specific requests were made for a model to describe who is using public libraries and for what purpose. What are the usage models? Are these models sustainable into the future? Are they affordable? Are they understood by the library professionals? Are they understood and accepted by funding entities? Are the same services available at all public libraries, urban, suburban, rural or tribal? Should the services be the same? Without access to libraries with similar collections and services, has the library community permitted, albeit unintentionally, a tiered level of service to develop that privileges some and continues to disenfranchise others, comparatively speaking? Is there a baseline of services, collections, and access that all public libraries must meet? Should the Commission establish a threshold or baseline of service that is a ‘national right’? Can a ‘good public library’ be defined?

Data from a recent study released by the American Library Association looks at connectivity and broadband access in public libraries. As user demand for video streaming, social networking, and the need to download complex applications increases, libraries have to provide high speed connectivity and broadband access. The survey shows that 62% of public libraries have broadband, but more than one-third of public libraries have much slower connectivity. The Congress has spent some time looking at broadband policy options, but their discussion has not been informed from the perspective of the library community, particularly public libraries, which are sometimes a user’s only connection to the information highway. NCLIS could lead the discussions, documenting the need for a policy that recognizes the important role libraries perform, and would seek an accommodation for them in the policy. Broadband policy and Internet neutrality are examples of information policy respondents expect NCLIS to address. Multiple respondents believe that the single most important thing the Commission could do, is to coordinate and bring coherence to information policy that is developed across various government agencies having now no central agency charged with its coordination.

What has been the impact on public libraries that new media has had as it is or is not added to the collections? What have libraries chosen to exclude from the collections, not to censor information, but because the budget only stretches so far? With web-based information widely available, electronic games in every handheld device, and on-demand TV structured...
to an individual’s taste and schedule, what role does the public library have—or should the library have—in such a personalized information world?

Rural public libraries raise additional issues to be addressed. While several library scholars have spent their careers studying rural libraries, no national level agency has a clear mandate or mission to address and coordinate their needs or to establish priorities for their programs of service or support them at a threshold level. Interviewees espouse a need for a champion at the national level. Census data tracking the retirement migration of ‘baby boomers’ predict a higher demand as they retire from urban areas with high expectations for extensive, high quality library service.

Even at their current level of service, rural libraries often suffer from a lack of professional leadership and staff trained in technology and automation. Many of the libraries cannot sustain public access computing for communities most in need of such access. They do not have sufficient automation resources to participate in state- or region-wide consortia, statewide networks or resource sharing programs. Many suffer from a lack of affordable access to broadband connectivity or have none.

Documenting the needs, identifying programmatic needs and funding support, and setting a priority agenda in critical and priority areas are ripe areas for NCLIS research and collaboration with others.

**Value of a Public Library**

The last ten years have seen several models produced that measure the value of a public library to a community. Measuring the value of access to information and technology through public libraries as a critical gateway in ensuring that the economic, educational, health, and other benefits that come with such access can undergird requests for national and local funding. Several studies have examined libraries in specific cities; others have recommended a methodology for calculating state-wide benefits. But interview respondents requested that NCLIS commission a methodology that can be applied broadly by each of the segments of the library community, resulting in methodologies for public libraries, for school libraries, and for academic libraries. With an agreed upon set of models for use by the various kinds of libraries, work could be done to establish the value of a single library to its community, of a state network of public libraries to the state economy, and of the state’s school libraries to the educational performance standards.

If such studies were performed across the country, we could as a nation begin to calculate the value of libraries to the national economy. Library advocates would indeed welcome a validated, replicable methodology to use as a basis for measuring a library’s impact on the economy, the educational attainment, and the quality of life. Having data to refute the conjecture that a library represents only overhead to its community is needed. Library advocates would then have a firmer foundation for championing additional financial support from funding bodies to maintain services when threatened or establish new libraries or expanded programs of service.
In addition to the replicable methodology, respondents asked that the resulting measures also document the value of libraries through success stories and anecdotes that celebrate the impact they have in supporting local small businesses, families, recreation, and the community.

A particular focus requested for research is the impact of e-government on public libraries. One of the reasons that governments—federal, state, and local—distribute information via the Internet is to get wider dissemination and deeper penetration of their community. But most librarians accustomed to working with government publications will usually concur that government information—the piece a user wants and needs today—is not always readily found. Libraries of all types rely heavily on access to government information for satisfying a variety of user needs: census data, small business loans, grants, scholarships, and keeping track of the local jurisdiction. For those without access to the Internet at home and for those lost in the trail of documents, the public library is the place they go. Local governments frequently require that job and benefits applications be filed online—the user seeks help with the format and the inputting.

The converse is also worth research. As governmental units remove information from the Internet, users are denied access to information that can affect their research, personal health, or financial condition. Examples of the challenges are the roles of libraries and information services in addressing 21st century global challenges with the need for constant access to reliable research information on such issues as: global climate change research, assured access to clean water and sanitation, development of systematic treatments for and prevention of infectious diseases common to animals and humans, and scientific knowledge and information on nutrition, food quality, and safety.

**Topic for Research: Digital Libraries**

A considerable number of recommendations focused attention on the library in the digital world. If one approaches studying the library from a functional perspective rather than as a concentration of content, the entire proposition of what is a library can be rethought. How does one define the community served by a digital library? What is its mission, and what are the services? How are these linked to a specific community as contrasted to the larger worldwide circle of people who might want to use the library or its collections? What has been the impact of Google as a search engine on the library’s traditional role of finding answers to questions? What has been and what is likely to be future impacts on libraries of Google and other mass digitization efforts?

Interviews repeatedly turned to the role of libraries in a digital world and the role of librarians in that milieu. Increasingly the products of our culture are digital—born in digital form, accessible only electronically, and available for preservation only in digital form. Recent statistics estimate that 161 exabytes of digital information was produced just last year. The amount of digital information produced in a single year exceeds that in all of the written texts produced throughout human history.
The explosion in use and availability of digital information has the advantage of increasing access and lowering the conventional barriers of time and location. The global knowledge economy is driven by access to digital information. But there are disadvantages. Unlike clay tablets, papyrus, and even paper, digital preservation media are extremely fragile and require an active—not passive—preservation strategy. Digital technologies change constantly and many digital objects are rendered inaccessible as the underlying technologies are outdated. Many digital objects are being preserved without sufficient metadata to provide the contextual information necessary to understand the full meaning of the object. Interviewees thought that this is primarily because the responsibility for providing and preserving the necessary metadata typically has not been assigned to or assumed by any particular individual or organization. Others interviewees concluded that after years of testing and applying various schemas for digital preservation, there remains a lack of a viable, sustainable, replicable economic model.

The questions posed for NCLIS to explore in future research revolve around the role(s) of libraries and librarians in preserving digital cultural products for use by future generations. Will libraries have a role in preserving digital objects other than published text? What roles should libraries play in the collection, access, archiving, and managing of data and data sets in the U.S. in support of scientific research? What is the role libraries should play in the evolution of cyber infrastructure developments? Are there viable economic models for digital preservation organizations that will allow both the organizations and the materials to persist over multiple decades? What partnerships are necessary between librarians and archivists as one set, and computer, data, and cyber infrastructure scientists and technologists as the other? What unique skills and capabilities do librarians bring to the issues involved in complex preservation situations? What types of institutions and organizations are needed to provide reliable, decade’s long digital preservation?

These intense questions can be illustrated by a historian’s frustration working actively in producing ground breaking digital scholarship. The biggest issue digital scholars face is the longevity of their work. If one produces scholarship as a book, it gets printed and shelved as a book somewhere in a library even if in just the largest of the research libraries. But a digital work that is more than a PDF file, a work that requires the processing power of a system of hardware and software, one that uses any form of client / server queries presents obstacles to its longevity. The complex arrangement of hardware, software, and middleware and its tailoring to a particular research problem or question requires ongoing maintenance and engagement by some institution to assure that it works as originally designed even as the technology changes around it. And scholars often use a suite of systems to produce a work—each of them has to be kept in synch, creating more demand for constant and persistent management and systems coordination. Scholars are per force becoming technologists, some enthusiastically, some reluctantly. Without active preservation, the work will become inaccessible to future students and scholars, a particular issue in disciplines where issues are researched longitudinally.

Other interviews focusing on digital libraries posed more fundamental questions worthy of deep research. If a purely digital library were constructed, what would its mission be? How would its community be defined, and what services would it offer? What people would be
needed, and how would needed skill sets be defined? What are the characteristics that make an online library a ‘real’ library as opposed to just a website? Are more or other types of virtual libraries likely to develop in the future? For purposes of copyright, should they be treated as libraries under Section 108 of the Copyright Act?

Others suggest that the roles and functions of American libraries in a Google-dominant future should be researched. Questions suggested are: How should libraries position (or reposition) their resources, missions, and services given the increasing dominance of Google's commercial search service? Can libraries take advantage of this trend to reinvigorate and revitalize the roles they play in our institutions, communities, and nation? What are the implications of the public and private sector roles in this increasingly complex arena?

Others postulate that without a policy framework for libraries, considered as a public good, the legal framework for the music and movie industries is likely to prevail. Specific recommendations to NCLIS are to conduct a series of national summits on topics that are part of a policy framework. Others call for the establishment of a national open lab in information research, conducting studies that look at the cognitive edge in learning in a digital environment, that speed the diffusion of innovations, and that create a culture for change.

If one looks at the globalization of information, other policy implications emerge: What are the economic, social, and policy implications for the creation, exchange, and use of information content and services in an increasingly global and interconnected world? How can the United States develop information policies and alliances needed to balance our freedom, security, and prosperity?

Others suggest that privacy is an important component of information policy, particularly as it interplays with information security. What constraints threaten information privacy and security in a world increasingly dependent on global networked information technologies? What government policies are needed to balance competing interests in this complex mix of conflicting needs?

**Topic for Research: Building and Sharing Collections**

While the primary purpose of building an academic library collection is to support the teaching and research programs of the university, much of their collections are duplicated across many institutions—in the same state or even in the same city. Yet there are no institutional mechanisms for sharing collections, digital, digitized or print. Further, they assert, copyright law prevents the academic libraries from making optimal use of digital technology, and they are looking for an accommodation with rights holders, especially for out of print works that provide little or no revenue stream to the rights holders but are an integral part of academic scholarship. They attribute the decrease in institutional buying power and the subsequent decline in the breadth and richness of collections to the commoditization of information.
Academic administrators suggested other areas for information policy research that affect college and university campuses. They say that the changes in copyright laws that occurred during the 1990s have resulted such that a teacher or student who wishes to comply fully with the law now faces severely restricted access or often has a significant financial burden. They recommend that research on the ‘real cost’ of access to library materials would cut through stereotypes and might lead to a sustainable, market-based system of scholarly communication.

Specifically, they recommend that NCLIS analyze copyright law and fair use in the 21st century, especially the copyright laws' impact on restraining the development of truly rich, participatory, engaged, and example-rich research (including historical, sociological, political, and scientific research in the academic and nonprofit sector). The current copyright regime, developed from the mindset of a print-centric world of information scarcity, is now providing a functional monopoly on content (audio, video, text), effectively precluding, for example, analysis of the historical development of the civil rights movement (most footage, news photographs, stories, and other material being “owned” and operationally impossible to obtain, for a university-based historian). The same argument can be made about nearly anything that happened between 1923 and today. The impact on libraries is fairly self-evident, in hampering access and re-use by the public. A radical proposal from an interviewee included a seven-year absolute copyright, followed by a nonprofit exemption for scholarly / academic / public work.

**Topic for Research: Disaster Planning and Relief Efforts**

Until the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina in 2005, not too many people thought of the public library as an important player in disaster preparation or relief efforts. Some respondents recommended that NCLIS focus in this area—in addition to the work that was done on *Trust and Terror*, a publication NCLIS released soon after the terrorist attacks in 2001. Many libraries are already equipped with broadband public access computers and staff with expertise in finding information and guiding others through the process. While each public library is a part of a local jurisdiction, looked at geographically, they form a network across the country. To many users, they are already a third place—not home and not work or school, but a community gathering space in which all are welcome. The libraries that stayed in business or immediately set up shop after the hurricanes were the principal source of access to accurate information about the disaster and relief possibilities and a link to worried families and friends. If organized in advance, and with training in advance, the library can be a center for improving community resilience.

**Other Areas of Research Recommended to the Commission**

**Copyright**

Issues in intellectual property were raised by most respondents to the survey for this study, including some that, if clarified, could form the basis of discussions among parties on various sides of the copyright debate. Questions arising from the Library of Congress Section 108
Study Group suggest research in the application of Technological Protection Measures (TPMs), trends in licensing and e-reserves, copying for use in Inter-Library Loans (ILL), and the development of totally virtual libraries.

**Internet**

Research recommended to be undertaken related to the pervasiveness of the Internet includes: how the manner and style of information and communication exchange has been affected by the Internet. The impact of the Internet on learning styles and information delivery in the classroom has been the focus of research in various sectors of the educational communities, but no study has sought to integrate them from kindergarten to post-graduate studies.

The global village is a phrase attributed to the way the Internet has streamlined and speeded communication around the world—but some wonder how the Internet has changed information delivered in the local village. Has the Internet replaced or supplanted the traditional neighborhood or community newsletter? Is the information packaged now for the random reader or for the intended consumer?

**Library Education**

Library educators point to the dual requirements for educating students to work in a paper-based library environment and in a digital environment. Several schools have focused all their curricula on the requirement for educating digital-savvy librarians, leaving a smaller number to train children’s librarians and school librarians. With the forward looking focus on the digital world, doctoral students are avoiding research in traditional library scholarship. The lack of Ph.D. level teaching faculty for traditional library subject matter now hampers offering the curricula needed to produce the number of children’s librarians or school librarians needed nationwide.

**Current Model of Scholarly Publishing – Is It Sustainable?**

Respondents likened the consortia model for purchasing scholarly serials to the development of ‘scholarly Sam’s Clubs’ which has lowered the unit price for a serial, but because of the publishers’ techniques of bundling a package of serials, academic libraries are too often collecting material they do not really want or need for the institution’s collections. The net result is that the libraries have had to prune serials from their collections. They suggest looking to the recording industry for a prediction of the future. One used to be able to buy 45s (print journal subscriptions), the industry then moved to albums (prepackaged collections of journals), and now the preferred method is to buy individual tunes via the Internet. Some predict that limited funds will push libraries in this direction, and usage will be the factor that determines what survives the shift. Even if the long tail theory is applied, niche markets create demand, can that hold true for esoteric journals for which there is a very limited audience at best? If one follows that argument through the life cycle of library materials, the role of preservation and the role of building deep and rich research collections are abandoned to the marketplace. Respondents asked if leaving the U.S. scholarly output in the hands of the private sector is in the long term national interest.
They recommended an analysis of the library's and public sector's roles in supporting scholarly, academic, and nonprofit publishers and their infrastructures. The current predominant model of “free market” is clearly failing for content that is scholarly / academic, especially in fields that have limited markets—often traditionally produced by university presses and specialty scholarly publishing houses. Because “the market” cannot support these kinds of publications does not mean that the value of the material, especially in a library context, is low—just that the value may be more long-term (humanities, social sciences).

And some recommended a new role for academic libraries in scholarly communications—acting less as “concentrations of content” and more as arbiters of scholarly, academic, and societal significance and value. Perhaps libraries, universities, and scholarly societies could perform in a “good housekeeping seal of approval” model, identifying valued or valuable content, both traditionally published and openly published in digital venues.

**School Libraries**

In the rapidly developing electronic information world, how does society balance the continuing need for physical public and school libraries with the need to support electronic access? This is a problem not limited to public and school libraries, as libraries on all academic campuses are concerned with the question of “the library as place.” However, on most campuses there is still an acceptance of the need for physical libraries—even if their function is changing. Is there a strong social mission that requires the continued investment in physical structures, people, and collections, while also offering and instructing on electronic access to information sources? Given the tightness of local government budgets, how are decisions made and how should they be made on choices between bricks and bytes?
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An Act

To establish a National Commission on Libraries and Information Science, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the “National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act”

STATEMENT OF POLICY
SEC. 2. The Congress hereby affirms that library and information services adequate to meet the needs of the people of the United States are essential to achieve national goals and to utilize most effectively the Nation’s educational resources and that the Federal Government will cooperate with State and local governments and public and private agencies in assuring optimum provision of such services.

COMMISSION ESTABLISHED
SEC. 3. There is hereby established as an independent agency within the executive branch, a National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (hereinafter referred to as the “Commission”).

CONTRIBUTIONS
SEC. 4. The Commission is authorized to, solicit, accept, hold, administer, invest in the name of the United States, and utilize gifts, bequests, and devises of services or property, both real and personal, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Commission. Gifts, bequests, and devises of money and proceeds from sales of other property received as gifts, bequests, or devises shall be deposited in the Treasury and shall be available for disbursements upon the order of the Commission.

FUNCTIONS
SEC. 5. (a) The Commission shall have the primary responsibility for developing or recommending overall plans for, and advising the appropriate governments and agencies on, the policy set forth in section 2. In carrying out that responsibility, the Commission shall—
(1) advise the President and the Congress on the implementation of national policy by such statements, presentations, and reports as it deems appropriate;
(2) conduct studies, surveys, and analyses of the library and informational needs of the Nation, including the special library and informational needs of rural areas, or economically, socially, or culturally deprived persons, and of elderly persons, and the means by which these needs may be met through information centers, through the libraries of elementary and secondary schools and institutions of higher education, and through public, research, special, and other types of libraries;
(3) appraise the adequacies and deficiencies of current library and information resources and services and evaluate the effectiveness of current library and information science programs;
MEETING THE INFORMATION NEEDS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

(4) develop overall plans for meeting national library and informational needs and for the coordination of activities at the Federal, State, and local levels, taking into consideration all of the library and informational resources of the Nation to meet those needs;
(5) be authorized to advise Federal, State, local, and private agencies regarding library and information sciences;
(6) promote research and development activities which will extend and improve the Nation’s library and information-handling capability as essential links in the national and international communications and cooperative networks;
(7) submit to the President and the Congress (not later than January 31 of each year) a report on its activities during the preceding fiscal year; and
(8) make and publish such additional reports as it deems to be necessary, including, but not limited to, reports of consultants, transcripts of testimony, summary reports, and reports of other Commission findings, studies, and recommendations.

(b) The Commission is authorized to contract with Federal agencies and other public and private agencies to carry out any of its functions under subsection (a) and to publish and disseminate such reports, findings, studies, and records as it deems appropriate.

(c) The Commission is further authorized to conduct such hearings at such times and places as it deems appropriate for carrying out the purposes of this Act.

(d) The heads of all Federal agencies are, to the extent not prohibited by law, directed to cooperate with the Commission in carrying out the purposes of this Act.

MEMBERSHIP

SEC. 6. (a) The Commission shall be composed of the Librarian of Congress, the Director of the Institute of Museum and Library Services (who shall serve as an ex officio, nonvoting member), and fourteen members appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. Five members of the Commission shall be professional librarians or information specialists, and the remainder shall be persons having special competence in or knowledge of the needs of our society for library and information services, at least one of whom shall be knowledgeable with respect to the technological aspects of library and information services and sciences, and at least one other of whom shall be knowledgeable with respect to the library and information service and science needs of the elderly. One of the appointive members of the Commission shall be designated by the President as Chairman of the Commission. A majority of members of the Commission who have taken office and are serving on the Commission shall constitute a quorum for conduct of business at official meetings of the Commission. The terms of office of the appointive members of the Commission shall be five years, except that—

(1) a member of the Commission appointed to fill a vacancy occurring prior to the expiration of the term for which the member's predecessor was appointed, shall be appointed only for the remainder of such term; and
(2) any member of the Commission may continue to serve after an expiration of the member's term of office until such member's successor is appointed, has taken office, and is serving on the Commission

(b) Members of the Commission who are not in the regular full-time employ of the United States shall, while attending meetings or conferences of the Commission or otherwise engaged in the business of the Commission, be entitled to receive compensation at a rate fixed by the Chairman, but not exceeding the daily equivalent of the maximum rate authorized for a position above grade GS-15 of the General Schedule under section 5108 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel-time) during which the members are engaged in the business of the Commission. While so serving on the business of the Commission away from their homes or regular places of business, they may be allowed travel
expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code, for persons employed intermittently in the Government Service.

(c) (1) The Commission is authorized to appoint, without regard to the provisions of title 5, United States Code, covering appointments in the competitive service, such professional and technical personnel as may be necessary to enable it to carry out its function under this Act.

(2) The Commission may procure, without regard to the civil service or classification laws, temporary and intermittent services of such personnel as is necessary to the extent authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, but at rates not to exceed the rate specified at time of such service for grade GS-18 in section 5332 of title 5, United States Code, including travel time, and while so serving on the business of the Commission away from their homes or regular places of business they may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code, for persons employed intermittently in the Government service.

**AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS**

SEC 7. There are authorized to be appropriated $911,000 for fiscal year 1992 and such sums as may be necessary for each succeeding fiscal year thereafter to carry out the provisions of this Act.

*The official source of statutes in effect as of a certain date is the United States Code. NCLIS legislation is in Title 20, Chapter 34, parts 1501-1506. Various editions of the US Code are available online. (The address is http://uscode.house.gov/title_20.htm.)*
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PUBLIC LAW 102-95—AUG. 14, 1991
102d Congress

An Act

To improve the operation and effectiveness of the United States National Commission on Libraries and Information Science, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SEC. 1. Short Title

This act may cited as the “National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act Amendments of 1991”.

SEC. 2. COMMISSION ESTABLISHED.

Subsection (b) of section 3 of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (hereafter in this Act referred to as the “Act”) (20 U.S.C. 1502(b)) is repealed.

SEC. 3. CONTRIBUTIONS

Section 4 of the Act (20 U.S.C. 1503) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 4. CONTRIBUTIONS.

“The Commission is authorized to accept, hold, administer, and utilize gifts, bequests, and devises of property, both real and personal, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Commission. Gifts, bequests, and devises of money and proceeds from sales of other property received as gifts, bequests, or devises shall be deposited in the Treasury and shall be available for disbursement upon the order of the Commission.”

“SEC. 4 FUNCTIONS.

Paragraph (6) of section 5(a) of the Act (20 U.S.C. 1504(a)(6)) is amended by striking “the national communications networks” and inserting “national and international communications and cooperatives networks”.

SEC. 5. MEMBERSHIP.

Subsection (a) of section 6 of the Act (20 U.S.C. 1505(a)) is amended—

(1) after the third sentence thereof, by inserting the following new sentence: “A majority of members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for conduct of business at official meetings of the Commission.”; and

(2) in the fourth sentence thereof by striking “(1) the terms of office” and all that follows through “time of appointment,” and inserting “(1) the term of office of any member of the Commission shall continue until the earlier of (A) the date on which the member’s successor has been appointed by the President; or (B) July 19 of the year succeeding the year in which the member’s appointed term of office shall expire.”.

SEC. 6. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Section 7 of the Act (20 U.S.C. 1506) is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 7. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

“There are authorized to be appropriated $911,000 for fiscal year 1992 and such sums as may be necessary for each succeeding fiscal year thereafter to carry out the provisions of this Act”.

SEC. 703 U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science

Public Law 104-208
Title II, Section 3
September 30, 1996

An Act

(a) FUNCTIONS.

Section 5 of the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1504) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) through (d) as subsections (d) through (f), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) The Commission shall have the responsibility to advise the Director of the Institute for Museum and Library Services on general policies with respect to the duties, powers and authority of the Institute of Museum and Library Services relating to library services, including—

“(1) general policies with respect to—

“(A) financial assistance awarded under the Museum and Library Services Act for library services; and

“(B) projects described in section 262(a)(4) of such Act; and

“(2) measures to ensure that the policies and activities of the Institute of Museum and Library Services are coordinated with other activities of the Federal Government.

“(c)(1) The Commission shall meet not less that 1 time each year in a joint meeting with the National Museum Services Board, convened for purposes of providing advice on general policy with respect to financial assistance for projects described in section 262(a)(4) of such Act.

“(2) All decisions by the Commission and the National Museum Services Board with respect to the advice on general policy described in paragraph (1) shall be made by a 2/3 majority vote of the total number of the members of the Commission and the National Museum Services Board who are present.

“(3) A majority of the members of the Commission and a majority of the members of the National Museum Services Board shall constitute a quorum for the conduct of business at official joint meetings of the Commission and the National Museum Services Board.”.
(b) MEMBERSHIP.

Section 6 of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1505) is amended-

(1) in subsection (a)-

(A) in the first sentence, by striking “Librarian of Congress” and inserting “Librarian of Congress, the Director of the Institute of Museum and Library Services (who shall serve as an ex officio, nonvoting member),”;

(B) in the second sentence—

(i) by striking “special competence or interest in” and inserting “special competence in or knowledge of; and

(ii) by inserting before the period the following: “and at least one other of whom shall be knowledgeable with respect to the library and information service and science needs of the elderly”;

(C) in the third sentence, by inserting “appointive” before “members”; and

(D) in the last sentence, by striking “term and at least” and all that follows and inserting “term.”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “the rate specified” and all that follows through “and while” and inserting “the daily equivalent of the maximum rate authorized for a position above grade GS-15 of the General Schedule under section 5108 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel-time) during which the members are engaged in the business of the Commission. While”.
SEC. 401. AMENDMENT TO CONTRIBUTIONS.
Section 4 of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1503) is amended by striking “accept, hold, administer, and utilize gifts, bequests, and devises of property,” and inserting “solicit, accept, hold, administer, invest in the name of the United States, and utilize gifts, bequests, and devises of services or property.”

SEC. 402 AMENDMENT TO MEMBERSHIP.
Section 6(a) of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1505(a)) is amended--
(1) in the second sentence, by striking `and at least one other of whom shall be knowledgeable with respect to the library and information service and science needs of the elderly';
(2) by striking the fourth sentence and inserting the following: `A majority of members of the Commission who have taken office and are serving on the Commission shall constitute a quorum for conduct of business at official meetings of the Commission'; and
(3) in the fifth sentence, by striking `five years, except that' and all that follows through the period and inserting `five years, except that--
(1) a member of the Commission appointed to fill a vacancy occurring prior to the expiration of the term for which the member's predecessor was appointed, shall be appointed only for the remainder of such term; and
(2) any member of the Commission may continue to serve after an expiration of the member's term of office until such member's successor is appointed, has taken office, and is serving on the Commission.'.

SEC. 505. REPEALS.
(a) NATIONAL COMMISSION ON LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION SCIENCE ACT- Section 5 of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1504) is amended--
(1) by striking subsections (b) and (c); and
(2) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and (f) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively
Appendix B. NCLIS Chairs
Mr. Burkhardt of Bennington, Vermont was the former Vice Chairman of the National Advisory Commission on Libraries (1966-68). Frederick Burkhardt was nominated to the Commission on July 15, 1971 by President Richard Nixon. Mr. Burkhardt was renominated on April 15, 1976 by President Ford and reappointed Chairman on August 2, 1976 with a term expiring on July 19, 1980. Mr. Burkhardt submitted his resignation on March 13, 1978 to pursue his writing and research commitments abroad.

Frederick Burkhardt
Chairman 1970-78
Chairman Emeritus

President Jimmy Carter nominated Charles Benton as a Member of the Commission on August 21, 1978. President Carter named Mr. Benton Chairman of NCLIS following his Senate confirmation of his nomination on October 11, 1978. At the time of his nomination Mr. Benton was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Films, Inc., Wilmette, Illinois. Mr. Benton was renominated to the Commission on July 31, 1980. Upon his confirmation of his reappointment by the Senate, Mr. Benton was redesignated Chairman. Mr. Benton is currently Chairman, Public Media, Inc. of Chicago, IL, and President of the Benton Foundation based in Washington, D.C. Mr. Benton's term expired in 1985.

Charles Benton
Chairman 1978-82
Chairman Emeritus
Elinor Hashim of Norwalk, CT, was confirmed by the Senate as Chairman of the Commission on October 1, 1982. At the time, Ms. Hashim was Supervisor of Reference and Technical Services, Perkin-Elmer Corporate Library in Norwalk, CT. Elinor Hashim was former OCLC Government Relations Officer in Newington, CT. Ms. Hashim served as NCLIS Acting Executive Director in 1986.

President Reagan nominated Kenneth Tomlinson as the fourth Chairman in October 1986. At the time of his nomination Mr. Tomlinson was Executive Editor, Reader's Digest, Pleasantville, New York. During his chairmanship the Commission celebrated 15 years of activities under Public Law 91-345. Mr. Tomlinson resigned from the Commission in May 1987. The President had assigned him to another post.
Jerald Newman was confirmed by the Senate as a Member of NCLIS on December 21, 1982. At the time of his appointment Mr. Newman was President & Chief Administrative Officer and Trustee of The Bowery Savings Bank in New York, New York. President Reagan named Mr. Newman NCLIS Chairman on May 13, 1987. Mr. Newman has over 40 years experience with computer and microform technologies and automated systems. Mr. Newman's term expired July 19, 1992.

Jerald C. Newman
Chairman 1987-90
Chairman Emeritus

Charles Reid of Ft. Lee, New Jersey, was nominated as NCLIS Chairman by President George Bush in February 1990. Mr. Reid had chaired NCLIS' Committee on Library and Information Services to Native Americans and Program Review Committee. Mr. Reid also served as Chair of NCLIS Ad hoc Committee on the Library of Congress, formed in December 1989. Charles Reid served as Chairman of the 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services (WHCLIS). The Charles E. Reid Branch Library was dedicated on June 25, 1994.

Charles E. Reid
Chairman 1990-92
Chairman Emeritus
J. Michael Farrell of Washington, D.C. was confirmed as a Member of NCLIS on October 15, 1990. President George Bush designated J. Michael Farrell Chairman of the Commission on March 11, 1992. At the time of his appointment, Mr. Farrell was a partner in the law firm of Manatt, Phelps, Phillips, & Kantor. Mr. Farrell served as General Counsel for the U.S. Department of Energy. In addition, he served as a Member on the Commission for the Study of Alternatives to the Panama Canal from 1988-1991. Mr. Farrell's term expired July 19, 1993.

In November 1993, Mrs. Simon was appointed by President Clinton as the Chairperson of the U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science. She was nominated to a second term on November 6, 1997. Mrs. Simon was a member of the District of Columbia and Illinois Bars. She belonged to several organizations, including The League of Women Voters, The American Library Association, the American Association University Women, the District of Columbia Bar Association, the Illinois Bar Association, and the Women's Bar Association of Illinois. In January 1997, Mrs. Simon was appointed Adjunct Professor of Library Affairs at Southern Illinois University in Carbondale, Illinois. Mrs. Simon died on Sunday, February 20, 2000 following her gallant struggle with cancer.
Martha Gould brought a wealth of experience gained through a distinguished career in both public and state libraries. Beginning her professional career as a children's librarian at the New York Public Library, from 1984 - 1995 she directed the Washoe County Library in Reno, Nevada, where she also held positions as Public Services Librarian and Assistant Director. Mrs. Gould is now a consultant. She was nominated to a second term on January 29, 1998. Mrs. Gould was designated Chairperson on Friday, March 3, 2000 and served until July 19, 2003.

Dr. Challinor serves as the Chairperson of the Advisory Committee of the Arthur and Elizabeth Schlesinger Library on the History of Women in America at Radcliffe College, and she is a member of the Madison Council at the Library of Congress. In addition, she is a Director of Knight-Ridder, Inc., a newspaper and electronic publisher responsible for 29 daily newspapers and a variety of business, financial, professional, science, and technology on-line retrieval, database, and CD ROM services. Mrs. Challinor was designated Chairperson on July 20, 2003 and served until January 28, 2004.
Since 1975, Dr. Fitzsimmons has provided a full range of information services for high tech companies. Her firm, Information Strategists, founded in 1987, features development of technical information centers, corporate information audits, electronic online and Internet information resources, database creation and maintenance, customized market research, and patent searches. From 1996-1999, Dr. Fitzsimmons was involved with several projects at the U.S. Patent and Trademark. From 1993-1996, she was associated with CENDI; Dr. Fitzsimmons served as the information specialist for 10 years at Aerodyne Research, Inc. Dr. Fitzsimmons also has served as the Chairman of the Depository Library Council to the U.S. Public Printer (1993-94) and received the Public Printer's Distinguished Service Award. She was a Presidential appointee to the Advisory Board of the 2nd White House Conference on Libraries and Information Services (1991) and based on her understanding of Information technology, chaired the conference's Technology Committee. She is also a member of the American Chemical Society (ACS), Special Libraries Association (SLA), and the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS).
Appendix C. Current Commissioners
Current Commission Members

C. Beth Fitzsimmons, Ph.D. (2004-)
*NCLIS Chairman (2004-2008); Chair, Technology Committee, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Advisory Committee Member, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Delegate, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services*, President, Information Strategists (Ann Arbor, MI)

Bridget L. Lamont (2004-)

José A. Aponte (2004-)
Director, San Diego County Library; Member, Advisory Council, the Laura Bush Foundation for America's Libraries Advisory Council (San Diego, CA)

Sandra F. Ashworth (2004-)
Director, Boundary County District Library; Recipient, 2002 National Award for Library Service; Recipient, 2003 Idaho's Brightest Star Award (Bonners Ferry, ID)

Edward L. Bertorelli (2004-)
Director, Benefits & Employee Programs, Massachusetts Highway Department; Member, Massachusetts Board of Library Commissioners (Vice-Chairman 1998-1999; Chairman in 2000-2002) (Milford, MA)

James H. Billington, Ph.D. (Ex-Officio, 1987-)
Librarian of Congress; Former Director, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (Arlington, VA)

Jan Cellucci (2005-)
Member, President’s Advisory Council on the University Library, University of British Columbia; Former Goodwill Ambassador, Canadian Association of Research Libraries; Former Associate University Librarian, Boston College (Hudson, MA)

Carol L. Diehl (2004-)
Former Director, Board of Education, Manawa School District; Former Director of Library Media Services and Grant Consultant, School District of New London, Wisconsin; *Delegate, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services* (Appleton, WI)

Allison Druin, Ph.D. (2004-)
Associate Professor, College of Information Studies and Member, Human-Computer Interaction Lab, University of Maryland; Research Leader, International Children's Digital Library (Chevy Chase, MD)
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Patricia M. Hines (2004-)
Former Executive Director, National Council on Education Research; former Chief of Staff, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy; former Deputy Director, Office of Policy Development, Executive Office of the President (Mayesville, SC)

Colleen E. Huebner, Ph.D. (2004-)
Associate Professor of Health Services, Director, Maternal and Child Health Program, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Washington (Seattle, WA)

Stephen M. Kennedy (2004-)
Former Director, State and Local Government Marketing, Honeywell-Bull Information Systems; Former CFO/CIO, State of New Hampshire (Concord, NH)

Deanna Marcum, Ph.D. (Alternate for the Librarian of Congress, 2006-)
Associate Librarian for Library Services, Library of Congress; former president of the Council on Library and Information Resources (CLIR) (Arlington VA)

Mary H. Perdue (2004-)
Founder, Healthy U of Delmarva; Author and Columnist; Past President, American Agri-Women; recipient, [Maryland] Governor's Citation for Outstanding Service (Salisbury, MD)

Anne-Imelda Radice, Ph.D. (Ex-Officio, 2006-)
Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS); former acting assistant chairman for programs, National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH); former acting chairman, National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (Washington, DC)

S. Diane Rivers, Ph.D. (2005-)
President and CEO, Quality Educational Systems, Inc.; Developer, QuESSt – Quality Educational Systems: Tools for Transformation, American Society for Quality (Birmingham, AL)

Herman L. Totten, Ph.D. (2004-)
Regents Professor and Dean (2005-2007), School of Library and Information Sciences, University of North Texas; Past President, Texas Library Association (TLA); recipient, 2001 Melvil Dewey Award, American Library Association (ALA) (Denton TX)
Appendix D. Former Commissioners
Former Commission Members 1970 – Present

Each name is followed by dates in parentheses indicating the years of service as a Commissioner. Positions held with the Commission, such as Chairman and Vice Chairman, are listed below the name in italics with the years of service noted. The professional affiliation during the time of service on the Commission is indicated. Where known, the current assignment and place of residence or year of death is provided in parentheses.

Founding and current Trustee of the Missoula Public Library Foundation (Missoula, MT)

**SHIRLEY GRAY ADAMOVICH (1992-1996)**
Commissioner, Department of Cultural Affairs, and New Hampshire State Librarian (Durham, NH)

**ANDREW A. AINES (1971-1976)**
*Acting NCLIS Executive Director (1980)* (Deceased 1996)

**HELMUT A. ALPERS (1980-1984)**
Vice President, General Bookbinding Company (Gates Mills, OH)

**GORDON M. AMBACH (1980-1985)**
*Advisory Committee Member, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Member, Board of Trustees, The CNA Corporation; Former Executive Director, Council of Chief State School Officers; Former President, University of the State of New York and Commissioner of Education (Washington, DC)*

**WALTER ANDERSON (1995-2001)**
Chairman, CEO and Publisher, Parade Publications; member, National Advisory Board, Literacy Volunteers of America; member, Board of Advisors, National Center for Family Literacy (New York, NY)

Former President, Bell Telephone Laboratories (Morristown, NJ)

Member, Executive Board, American Research Institute (Dearborn Heights, MI)

**JOSEPH BECKER (1971-1974; 1974-1979)**
President, Becker and Hayes, Inc. (Deceased 1995)

*NCLIS Chairman (1978-1982); Chairman Emeritus (1982- ); Chairman, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Chairman, Public Media, Inc. (Chair and President, Benton Foundation; Chicago, IL)*
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Retired Director, School Library Media Services, Jefferson County Public Schools in Louisville, KY; former President of the American Association of School Librarians (Louisville, KY)

DANIEL J. BOORSTIN (1975-1987)
Librarian of Congress (Librarian Emeritus, Library of Congress) (Deceased 2004)

NCLIS Chairman (1971-78); Chairman Emeritus (1978- ); Vice Chairman, National Advisory Committee on Libraries (1966-1968); President, American Council of Learned Societies (historian and author; Bennington, VT) (Deceased 2007)

Assistant Director of Libraries for Research Services, Colorado State University (Fort Collins, CO)

LEVAR BURTON (1995-2001)
Actor, producer, director and author; host and co-executive producer of “Reading Rainbow” (Los Angeles, CA)

Acting NCLIS Executive Director (1988); President, Daniel Carter Consulting (Deceased 2001)

Advisory Committee Member, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; communications executive (Deceased 1995)

NCLIS Chairperson (2003-2004); NCLIS Vice Chairman (2000-2003); Chairperson, Advisory Committee, Schlesinger Library on the History of Women in America, Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study, Harvard University (Washington, DC)

President, Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees (Deceased 1986)

President, Cuadra Associates; former General Manager, SDC Service (Los Angeles, CA)

Dean for Academic Services, Roger Williams University (Director of Libraries, Zayed University; East Greenwich, RI)
LESLIE W. DUNLAP (1971-1975)
Dean, Library Administration, The University of Iowa Libraries (Iowa City, IA)

LEE EDWARDS (1986-1990)
NCLIS Vice Chairman (1988-1990); Delegate-at-Large, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Director, Institute on Political Journalism, Georgetown University; former Senior Editor, *The World & I* (Alexandria, VA)

J. MICHAEL FARRELL (1990-1993)
NCLIS Chairman (1992-93); Member, Rules Committee, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Attorney at Law (Washington, DC)

Former School Librarian; former Member, South Carolina Commission on Higher Education (Columbia, SC)

DIANE B. FRANKEL (1996-1999)
*Ex-officio*; Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) (San Francisco, CA)

MARY S. FURLONG (1994-2000)
CEO and founder, Third Age Media, Inc. and Senior Net (San Francisco, CA)

FRANK GANNON (1986–1990)
President, Frank Gannon Productions; former Editor, *Saturday Review* (New York, NY)

MARTIN GOLAND (1971-1977)
President, Southwest Research Institute (Deceased 1997)

NCLIS Vice Chairman (1994-2000), NCLIS Chairman (2000-2003), Retired Director, Washoe County Library System, named Nevada Librarian of the “Years” in 1993, (Reno, NV)

Dean and Professor, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Information & Library Science; a nationally and internationally known information scientist, researcher and teacher (Chapel Hill, NC)

JOAN H. GROSS (1978-1982)
Psychotherapist; former Assistant for Public Affairs, New York City, Department of Housing, Preservation and Development (Northampton, MA)
ELINOR H. HASHIM (1981-1986)
NCLIS Chairman (1982-1986); Chairman Emeritus (1986); Interim Executive Director (1986); Government Relations Officer and Program Director, Special Libraries, OCLC, Inc. (Newington, CT)

JACK E. HIGHTOWER (1999-2005)
NCLIS Vice Chairman (2003-2004); Counsel, Hilgers and Watkins, Austin (Austin, TX)

Deputy Judicial Administrator for Public Information, Louisiana Supreme Court (New Orleans, LA)

CLARA STANTON JONES (1978-1982)
Former Director, Detroit Public Library; Former President, American Library Association (Oakland, CA)

JOHN E. JUERGENSMYEYER (1982-1987)
Attorney, Juergensmeyer and Associates (Elgin, IL)

President, Universal Financial Services (Davenport, IA) (Deceased 2002)

JOHN KEMENY (1971-1973)
President, Dartmouth College (Deceased 1992)

FRANCIS KEPPEL (1979-1983)
Director, Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies (Deceased 1990)

BYRON LEEDS (1982-1986)
Vice President, Post Graphics, Inc. (Flander, NJ)

MARIAN P. LEITH (1976-1980)
Assistant Director and Federal Program Director, North Carolina State Library (Salinas, CA)

Publisher, Lerner Home Newspapers (Deceased 1985)

Professor of Management and Information Science, Chicago State University (Lisle, IL)

JOHN G. LORENZ (1971-1975 while representing the Librarian of Congress)
Acting NCLIS Executive Director (1990); Coordinator, NCLIS Library Statistics Program (1987-1997); Deputy Librarian of Congress; Acting Librarian of Congress (Cranberry Township, PA)
FRANK J. LUCCHINO (1994-1999)
Controller, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (Pittsburgh, PA)

JAMES E. LYONS (1991-1993)
Publisher, University Press of America, Inc. (President, University Press of America; Washington, DC)

ROBERT S. MARTIN (2001-2005)
Ex-officio; former Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) (Denton, TX)

MARILYN G. MASON (2000-2001)
Retired, Director of the Cleveland Public Library; former Director of the White House Conference on Library and Information Service (Seattle, WA)

NCLIS Vice Chairman (1972-1988); NCLIS Vice Chairman Emeritus (1988- ); Vice Chair, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Advisory Committee Member, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Former Executive Director, State Council on Economic Education (Deceased 1995)

L. QUINCY MUMFORD (1971-1975)
Librarian of Congress (Deceased 1982)

FRANCES H. NAFTALIN (1978-1982)
President, Minneapolis Public Library Board (Minneapolis, MN)

GEORGE H. NASH (1987-1990)
Author, historian, and biographer of Herbert Hoover (South Hadley, MA)

NCLIS Chairman (1987-1990); Advisory Committee Member, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; President, Transnational Commerce Corporation (North Woodmere, NY)

Executive Vice President, Hearst Magazines (New York, NY)

MARGARET PHELAN (1985-1989)
Acting NCLIS Executive Director (1988); President, Phelan Business Research (Deceased 2005)

NCLIS Chairman (1990-1992); Chairman Emeritus (1992); Vice Chairman, 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Senior Vice President, Prodevco Group (Ft. Lee, NJ)
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RALPH A. RENICK (1975-1977)
Vice President / News Director, WTVJ News (Deceased 1991)

Political activist; Board Member, Adams County Public Library System (Northglenn, CO)

BOBBY ROBERTS (1993-2004)
Director, Central Arkansas Library System (Little Rock, AR)

DONALD L. ROBINSON (2000-2001)
Director of the Washington Internship Program of Boston University; Adjunct Professor at Boston University, the University of Houston and Texas Southern University; co-founder of the Congressional Internship Program; President of Robinson Associates, Inc. (Wellfleet, MA)

CATHERINE D. SCOTT (1971-1976)
NCLIS Vice Chairman (1971-1972); Librarian, Air and Space Museum, Smithsonian Institution (Washington, DC)

BEVERLY SHEPPARD (1999-2001)
Ex-officio; former Acting Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) (Washington, DC)

JEAN HURLEY SIMON (1993-2000)

PHILIP A. SPRAGUE (1979-1983)
Advisory Committee Member, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Associate Administrator for Management Assistance, Small Business Administration (Deceased 1999)

GARY NEIL SUDDUTH (1993-1997)
President and CEO, Minneapolis Urban League (Deceased 1997)

NCLIS Vice Chair (1991-1993); Chairman, North Carolina State Library Commission (Salisbury, NC)

Georgia State Senator and Executive Director, Georgia Association of Educators (Deceased 2002)

Librarian General, Daughters of the American Revolution (Deceased 2002)
KENNETH Y. TOMLINSON (1986-1987)
NCLIS Chairman (1986-1987); Executive Editor, Reader's Digest (Middleburg, VA)

JOEL D. VALDEZ (1994-1999)
Senior Vice President for Business Affairs, University of Arizona (Tucson, AZ)

SALLY JO VASICKO (1987-1989)
Chairperson and Professor, Political Science Department, Ball State University (Assistant Dean, University College, Ball State University; Muncie, IN)

JOHN E. VELDE, JR. (1971-1974; 1974-1979)
Advisory Committee Member, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Velde, Roelfs and Company (Deceased 2002)

MARGARET S. WARDEN (1980-1984)
Advisory Committee Member, 1979 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Member, Montana State Advisory Council for Libraries; former Montana State Senator (Deceased 2005)

WILLIAM A. WELSH (1976-1988 while representing the Librarian of Congress)
Deputy Librarian of Congress (Bethesda, MD)

Executive Director (1998-2004); Acting NCLIS Executive Director (1998); At-Large Delegate to the 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services; Group Facilitator, 1979 White House Conference; former Vice President, Government Relations, Lawyers Cooperative Publishing (University Park, MD)

Head Librarian, Virgil Junior High School and President, Board of Directors, Los Angeles Community College District (Los Angeles, CA)

MILDRED E. YOUNGER (1976-1980)
Member, Board of Directors, Los Angeles Library Association (Beverly Hills, CA)

ALFRED R. ZIPF (1971-1973)
Executive Vice President, Bank of America (Deceased 2000)
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History of Executive Directors, Deputy Directors, Associate Executive Director and Office Locations

Executive Directors

Madeleine C. McCain (acting) – June 2006-current
Trudy Bellardo Hahn – May 2005-May 2006
Trudi Bellardo Hahn (interim) – November 2004-April 2005
Madeleine C. McCain (acting) – March 2004-October 2004
Jane Williams (acting) – June 1997-January 1998
Peter R. Young – August 1990-May 1997
John G. Lorenz (acting) – July-August 1990
Susan K. Martin– August 1988-June 1990
Margaret Phelan (acting) – August 1988
Daniel Carter (acting) – February-July 1988
Elinor M. Hashim (acting) – September-October 1986
Toni Carbo Bearman– November 1980-August 1986
Andrew A. Aines (acting) – July-November 1980
Alphonse L. Trezza– November 1974-June 1980
Roderick G. Swartz (acting) – October 1974
Charles H. Stevens – January 1972-September 1974

Deputy Directors

Judith C. Russell – August 1998-December 2002
David Hoyt – 1987
Sarah G. Bishop – June 1983-February 1987
Douglas S. Price – March 1975-April 1983
Roderick G. Swartz – 1971-December 1974

Associate Deputy Director, Associate Director, Associate Executive Director

Mary Alice Hedge, 1971-1998

NCLIS Offices

(all in Washington, DC)
1800 M Street, NW; Suite 350 North Tower – March 2005-Current
1110 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 820 – April 1993-February 2005
1111 18th Street, NW, Suite 310 – 1987-March 1993
7th & D Streets, SW, Suite 3122 – February 1983-1986
1717 K Street, NW, Suite 601 – 1971-January 1983
Appendix F. NCLIS Publications


Toward a National Program for Library and Information Services: Goals for Action. 1975.


National Information Policy. Report to the President of the United States submitted by the staff of the Domestic Council Committee on the Right to Privacy. Published by NCLIS. 1976.
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Public Sector / Private Sector Interaction in Providing Information Services: Report to the NCLIS from the Public Sector / Private Sector Task Force. October 2000.


This CD-ROM describes the proposal of NCLIS to expand the role of libraries as an essential component of U.S. crisis information dissemination and management. The CD-ROM (with brochure included) of this presentation is available free while supplies last by contacting the NCLIS office (email info@nclis.gov; telephone 202-606-9200). Brochures without the CD-ROM are also available free while supplies last.


**Other Publications, Reports (1978-2006)**

*Information for Successful Living: Programs That Work for Third Agers and Beyond.* (no date – probably in the early 1970s)


*Principles of Public Information, Preamble.* June 29, 1990.

*The United States Commission on Libraries and Information Science.* (no date, probably in the early 1990s)

The U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science.  (draft 1/15/91)


FSCS Brochure (Federal-State Cooperative System for Public Library Data), Prepared by the FSCS Steering Committee.  November 2005.


NCLIS Annual Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLIS Annual Report – 1974-1975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Appendix G. NCLIS Timeline
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>NCLIS established by Public Law 91-345.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>First Commission Meeting; Commission advocates all Federal program funding support appropriate documentation and bibliographical information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Commission recommends expanded role for Library of Congress; studies application of new technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Commission conducts hearings to develop a national program for library and information services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Commission publishes Toward a National Program for Library and Information Services: Goals for Action; Commission joint sponsor of conference on copyright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>NCLIS sponsors 1st of three trilateral conferences with UK and Canada on the role of information in the economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>NCLIS examines the role of school libraries in a national network; evaluates standardization efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts oversight hearings on LSCA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>NCLIS publishes its analysis of Public Sector / Private Sector Interaction in Providing Information Services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>NCLIS publishes the results of its studies on library and information services for cultural minorities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>NCLIS begins partnership with State Department on international library, information, and archival programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>NCLIS issues report on policy implications of archiving satellite data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Commission coordinates Congressional hearing on changing information needs of rural America.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>NCLIS sponsors 1st of three trilateral conferences with UK and Canada on the role of information in the economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Adoption of trilateral Glenerin Declaration on the role of information in the economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>NCES and NCLIS establish the Federal-State Cooperative Statistics program for public library data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>NCLIS co-sponsors symposium on Information Literacy and Education for the 21st Century.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>NCLIS adopts its Principles of Public Information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>NCLIS completes investigation of library and information services for Native Americans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>NCLIS leads forums on library and information services for children and young adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 1st study on public libraries and the Internet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 2nd study on public libraries and the Internet; advises Congress on changes to LSCA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 3rd study on public libraries and the Internet; conducts hearing on Libraries, Interactive Services, and the Information Superhighway; LSTA becomes law, creating IMLS and new responsibilities for NCLIS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 4th study on public libraries and the Internet; begins study on Federal agency publishing practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 4th study on public libraries and the Internet; passes resolution on Important Role of Libraries in the Lives of America's Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts hearings on Libraries and Information Services for Individuals with Disabilities and Kids and the Internet: The Promise and the Perils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>NCLIS conducts 4th study on public libraries and the Internet; advises against the closure of NTIS; begins comprehensive assessment of government information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Congress rejects Executive Branch attempt to close NCLIS; Agency is funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>NCLIS, the National Forum on Information Literacy and UNESCO sponsor “Towards An Information Literate Society” conference in Prague.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>NCLIS establishes Blue Ribbon Award for health information; adopts a new strategic plan for the Commission's work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Commission reports on Role of Libraries in HIV/AIDS Information Dissemination in Sub-Saharan Africa; urges Congress to support libraries as health information distribution centers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Commission co-sponsors symposium on Mass Digitization: Implications for Information Policy; advises Congress to enact legislation to ensure net neutrality and to refrain from adding restrictions to obtain e-rate funding for technology in libraries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NCLIS Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>NCLIS advocates for support for school libraries as part of the No Child Left Behind reauthorization; Public Law 119-161 provides funding for NCLIS to close.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>NCLIS publishes its final report: Meeting the Information Needs of the American People; Commission office closes March 30.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>