□ Visit □ Review □ Follow-up

Instructions: Sponsors of new rural non-congregate sites are required to conduct a site visit within the first two weeks of operation and a full review within the first four weeks of operation. Like congregate site monitoring, the sponsor may conduct the initial site visit and full meal service review at the same time, but within the first two weeks of operation. In that instance, another full review would not be required unless follow-up was needed to correct issues that were identified.

Full Review Requirements: Observe the entire meal service starting with the point in which meals are being packaged for distribution, through the end of meal distribution, return of leftovers to the kitchen, and clean-up.

Sponsor N	ame				Site Name/Location				
Visit/Review Date			Arrival Time:		Site Supervisor				
			Depart Time:						
Approved	Site Typ	oe (circl	e one):		Approved Dates of Operation				
open, conc	litional r	non-con	gregate site		Start Date	End Date			
Meals app	roved fo	or (circl	e):		Approved Meal Service T	imes:			
			ch, PM Snack,	, Supper					
Meal(s) Be Breakfast,				□ Not Approved for OVS	Actual Meal Service Time	s (if different from above):			
Snack, Sup				□ Approved for OVS					
YES	NO		ACCURACY	OF SITE APPLICATION – Attac	h Approved Site Application a	t Time of Visit/Review			
			. Is the name of the Site Supervisor accurate? The Site Supervisor is the individual on site for the duration of meal service, who has been trained and is responsible for the overall SFSP operation at the site.						
		2. Is t	2. Is the staffing pattern (# of staff/volunteers working at site during meal service) accurate?						
		3. Is t	3. Is the site operating according to the approved site type?						
		4. Are	4. Are the operational start and end dates correct?						
		5. Are	5. Are the meal service times correct?						
		6. Is t	6. Is the site approved for the meal types currently being served?						
		7. Is t	7. Is the site approved for all the days of the week in which meals are being provided?						
		8. ls t	8. Is the site serving meals according to the OVS provision that is approved for (i.e., OVS or No OVS)?						
		9. ls t	9. Is the site capacity accurate?						
YES	NO	N/A							
				s meet meal pattern requiremen tern the site is approved to use.	ts? Check the approved site ap	plication to determine which			
			11. Is a menu and instructions for storage (if needed) provided with the meals distributed?						
					The sponsor has obtained consent from each household receiving meals and ber of children living in the household in which meals are delivered.				
				me Delivery Model: Meals are only left at households in which there is a person available to accept e meals. This is required unless all the required components are shelf stable.					
					e allowed to pick up meals on behalf of children in their e household has been verified by the sponsor.				
				Model: If parents/guardians are a Id, the site only distributes meal	allowed to pick up meals on behalf of children in their Is to the parent/guardian.				
					s providing non-congregate meals, the site is following the documented it duplicate meals are not distributed to the same child/household.				

		É		f distributing meals for multiple days, is the site following the procedures approved by DPI (i.e., number of approved days, requirements for bulk items, etc.)?					
		-	.8. Is the site	ne site following procedures established by the sponsor to make meal order adjustments?					
		-		Production Records, Child Nutrition Labels, Production Formulation Statements, and/or ndardized Recipes available for items served on file at the Production Kitchen?					
		2		ood stored, prepared, served, in a safe and sanitary manner prior to and during the meal tribution period?					
		2	1. If require	ed, is there a restaurant lice	ense and health i	nspection repor	rt available for revi	ew?	
		2	2. Are reco maintain	ords required by the sponsor, such as daily meal count forms, inventory and delivery slips ned?					
		2		rating as a Conditional Non-Congregate Site, does the site only provide meals to children that igible for free and reduced-price meals?					
		2	4. Did all ch	Did all children receive a reimbursable meal within the approved meal service time?					
		2	25. Are accurate counts taken of meals served, at the point of service?						
		2	26. If served, are meal counts for Program and Non-Program Adults recorded correctly?						
		2	27. Are leftover meals/items stored and/or discarded per the sponsor's policy?						
		2	28. Has the site supervisor received SFSP and Civil Rights training?						
		2	29. Has at least one person in attendance at the site today received SFSP and Civil Rights training?						
		3	30. Is there adequate staffing to handle meal distribution and taking the point of service meal count?						
		3	31. Is the Justice for All poster on display in a prominent place and visible to public?						
		3	32. Does the site make accommodations for special dietary needs and have the appropriate information from the sponsor available that describes the modifications required?						
		3	33. Are meals served to all attending children regardless of the child's race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability?						
		3	34. Do all children have equal access to services and facilities at the site regardless of the child's race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability?						
		3	35. Is informational material concerning the availability and nutritional benefits of the Program available in appropriate translations?						
	RECORI	D DETAIL	OF MEAL C	DBSERVED OR ATTACH C	OPY OF PRODU	ICTION RECO	RD OR DELIVERY	SLIP	
Meal Count – Day of Visit/Review				Menu Item	Planned Portion Size	# Servings Prepared	Contribution to Meal Pattern	Quantity Leftover/ Discarded	
# Meals Deli	vered								
# Meals Left	over -Prio	r Day							
Total Meals Available									
#1 st Meals Served									
# Prog Adult Meals									
# Non-Prog Adult Meals									
# Disallowed Meals				Comments					
# Total Meals Served									
# Meals Leftover									

FINDINGS, CORRECTIVE ACTION AND FOLLOW-UP						
No Findings 🔲 Finding(s) – Corrective Action Required 🔲 Update SFSP Site Application						
Findings - Findings in these key I Inaccurate or no point of ser Meals served outside of app Meal pattern not met Non-reimbursable meals ser Records not maintained Site staff not trained Sanitation/Food Safety Issue Health or Safety of Children Civil Rights – equal opportu	rvice meal count proved meal servi rved es compromised (Other Findings And Justice for All Poster not displayed or not visible to public Meal service dates/times posted are not current Special dietary needs documentation not available Other				
Describe Finding	Check if Repeat Finding	Required Corre	ective Action	Corrective Action Due Date OR Date Corrected		
Additional Comments:						
I certify that the above information is correct:						
Monitor's Signature Date Site Supervisor's Signature Date						
Monitoring Assessment - Complete following site monitoring: The site must receive a site visit within the first 2 weeks of operation the following year if they failed to comply with multiple key responsibilities OR did not take action to comply with a key responsibility during the current summer (i.e., repeat findings). Sites that have facility issues must receive a pre-operational visit to ensure site is suitable for meal service the following year.						
	🗌 Follow-up Required - Current Year 🔲 Visit Required Next Summer 🔛 Pre-operational Visit - Next Spring					
InitialDate						