

## **Brief Guide to ESSA Identifications**

### Wisconsin's Long-Term Goals

School identifications under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) are part of a statewide accountability system mandated under <u>Title I. Part A of ESSA</u>. The accountability system, articulated in the Wisconsin Department of Instruction (DPI) <u>ESSA State Plan</u>, is designed to make progress toward three long-term goals:

<u>Academic Achievement Goal</u>: Cut the achievement gap in half for each student group within eight years. Specific goals for English Language Arts and Mathematics for each student group are listed on pages 21-22 of the ESSA State Plan.

**Graduation Rate Goal:** Cut the graduation gap in half within eight years. Specific goals for student groups are listed on pages 25-26 and 28 of the ESSA State Plan.

<u>English Language Proficiency Goal:</u> Achieve an 18% increase in the percentage of English learners on track to proficiency within eight years. This translates to a 3% annual increase. Details are provided on pages 29-34 of the ESSA State Plan.

Note: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the original six-year timeline for these goals was extended to June 2025.

# **Identifying Schools for Support and Improvement**

Using indicators based on the goals above, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) identifies the schools that need the most help in making progress. In general, the DPI identifies schools based on low graduation rates, low overall performance, and low performance of student groups. The calculations involved in identifications use multiple years of data (wherever possible) to ensure that identifications are meaningful and not overly influenced by year-to-year variability.

By identifying schools for support and improvement, and ensuring they develop and implement improvement plans based on the reasons for their identification, the DPI aims to make sure that Wisconsin's schools make steady progress toward achieving the long-term goals in the ESSA State Plan.

Districts are key to sustained school improvement, and the DPI encourages alignment of improvement efforts at the district and school level. This includes alignment of improvement efforts related to ESSA school-level identifications with any district-level efforts under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The tables below outline the basics of the three types of ESSA identifications, including associated responsibilities for schools, districts, and the DPI. More details are available on the Office of Educational Accountability (OEA) Federal Accountability (ESSA) webpage and the Continuous Improvement website.

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)** 

At minimum, schools remain identified for two years. A school making satisfactory progress will exit by the end of the following:  • Low Graduation Rate: Graduation rate less than or equal to 67%  • Lowest Performance: Overall performance score in the bottom 5% of schools receiving Title I, Part A funds  • Develop a comprehensive improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or professional development to address the issues that led to the CSI identification. This may be a new plan or modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.  At minimum, schools remain identified for two years. A school making satisfactory progress will exit by the end of the fourth year. Grant funding is available each year of identification. Required improvement activities:  • Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation. ESSA requires specific stakeholders to be involved.  • Complete a comprehensive needs assessment and root cause analysis. Root causes should be grounded in data and focused on educator practices contributing to the issues that led to identification.  • Review resource allocation for inequities, to be addressed in the improvement plan.  • Develop a comprehensive improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or professional development to address the issues that led to the CSI identification. This may be a new plan or modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.  • The plan must include evidence of the required activities above.  • The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in the	on 1: The school must not be eligible for identification in the current of Graduation Rate: The school's average four- and seven-year duation rate is at least 67 percent, OR (if a seven-year graduation e is not available) the four-year graduation rate is at least 67 reent.  West Performance: The current year's summary score for the students group is above the identification threshold for the reent year AND above the threshold for the year the school was it identified.
Schools may have two CSI identifications if they meet both low graduation rate and low performance thresholds.  Schools identified for CSI may also meet criteria for other identifications, but the CSI identification supersedes.  **A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal**  **A ction steps, timelines, and responsibilities**  **A dequate professional learning and coaching support**  **Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact**  **Improve outcomes, meeting Exit Criteria 1 and 2.**  **Improve outcomes, meeting Exit Criteria 1 and 2.**  **Inform schools of identification.**  **District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility**  **Inform schools of identification.**  **District/LEA decrease on school improvement teams as needed, and track school progress.**  **Review and approve improvement plans prior to submission for DPI approval. Support alignment of plans.**  **Pacilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports.**  **Review resource allocation at the LEA level for inequities and take action to address issues.**  **DPI Has Primary Responsibility**  **DPI Has Primary Responsibility*  **Prove and approve plans.**	on 2: The school must make sustained and sustainable improvement the statewide long-term goals.  W Graduation Rate: The school's four-year and seven-year graduation rates both exceed the initial rates that led to the school's identification in both of the most recent two years for which enough data are available, OR The school shows improvement in graduation rates in both of the two most recent intervals.  West Performance: The school's performance exceeds the initial performance that led to the school's identification in both of the most recent two years for which enough data are available, OR The school shows improvement in both of the two most recent intervals.  On 3: The school must demonstrate evidence of systems, structures, procedures that ensure sustained and sustainable high-quality ement planning and practices are in place.  Insultants determine progress to exit on Exit Criterion 3, based on the materials and evidence from monitoring. DPI's Office of ional Accountability calculates progress to exit for Exit Criteria 1 and includes it in the school's Progress-to-Exit Report.  Exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an ESSA cation."

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)

Why was my school identified?	Responsibilities	How can my school exit this identification?
School Identification Method	School Has Primary Responsibility	If a school has more than one ATSI identification, it must meet exit
	A school making satisfactory progress will exit the identification by the end of the sixth year. If the school	requirements for each.
In schools identified for ATSI, one or	does not exit within that time frame, it is identified for CSI. Required improvement activities:	
more of the student groups below are	<ul> <li>Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation.</li> </ul>	Criterion 1: The school must not be eligible for identification in the current
performing in the bottom 5% of	ESSA requires specific stakeholders to be involved.	year.
schools receiving Title I, Part A funds.	Review resource allocation for inequities, to be addressed in the improvement plan. This may	The student group has a summary score that is above the ATSI
Student everyon	include review at the LEA level. Inequities should be addressed in the improvement plan.	identification threshold in both the current year and the year of
Student groups:  • Black/African American	<ul> <li>Develop a targeted improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or professional development to address the issues that led to the identification. This may be a new plan or</li> </ul>	initial identification. (New thresholds are determined for each
Hispanic/Latino	modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.	identification cycle.)
American Indian/Alaska Native	<ul> <li>The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in</li> </ul>	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	the ESSA Accountability Report) <sup>1</sup> and must address each student group for which the school	Criterion 2: The school must make sustained and sustainable
Asian	has an identification.	improvement toward the statewide long-term goals.
White	<ul> <li>The plan must include one or more evidence-based improvement strategy that meet ESSA <u>Tiers</u></li> </ul>	The student group's performance score on each applicable
Two or More Races	of Evidence 1, 2, or 3.2 Strategies should address identified root cause(s) that are grounded in	long-term goal either shows improvement in both of the two most
<ul> <li>Students with Disabilities</li> </ul>	data and focused on educator practices contributing to the issues that led to identification.	recent intervals OR exceeds the identification-year score in both of
<ul> <li>Economically Disadvantaged</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To support successful implementation, the plan should include the following:</li> </ul>	the most recent two years for which enough data are available.
<ul> <li>English Learners</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Action steps, timelines, and responsibilities</li> </ul>	Criterion 3: The school must demonstrate evidence that sustained and
Identifications are normally made	<ul> <li>Adequate professional learning and coaching support</li> </ul>	sustainable high-quality improvement planning and practices, targeting
every three years.	<ul> <li>Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact</li> </ul>	the identified student group(s), are in place.
C L L L L L L ATCL	• Implement the improvement plan. This includes collecting educator practice data and student	
Schools may have multiple ATSI	outcome data for analysis and adjusting action steps and future plans accordingly.	Districts determine progress to exit on Exit Criterion 3, based on
identifications if they meet the thresholds for more than one student	• Improve outcomes, meeting Exit Criteria 1 and 2.	submitted materials and evidence from monitoring. DPI's Office of Educational Accountability calculates progress to exit for Exit Criteria
group.	• Ensure sustainable improvement practices targeting the identified group(s) are in place, meeting Exit Criterion 3.	1 and 2 and includes it in the school's Progress-to-Exit Report.
ι στουρ.	EXIT CITERIORS.	Tand 2 and includes it in the school's Progress-to-Exit Report.
	District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility	More exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an
	Inform schools of identification.	ESSA Identification."
	Participate on school improvement teams as needed.	
	Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports.	
	Review and approve plans. Support alignment of plans.	
	Monitor plan implementation.	
	<ul> <li>Review resource allocation at the district level for inequities and take action to address issues.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Assess progress toward meeting exit criteria to ensure exit within 6 years.</li> </ul>	
	Report Exit Criterion 3 status and other progress data to DPI as requested.	
	DDI Has Drimany Daspansikility	
	<ul> <li>DPI Has Primary Responsibility</li> <li>Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.</li> <li>Monitor LEAs for ATSI responsibilities through ESEA monitoring.</li> </ul>	
	• Monitor LEAS for ATSTTESPONSIBILITIES CHROUGH ESEA MONITORING.	

**Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)** 

Why was my school identified?	Responsibilities	How can my school exit this identification?
School Identification Method	School Has Primary Responsibility	Schools exit a TSI identification automatically if they are not
	A school making satisfactory progress will exit the identification within the number of years specified by	reidentified the next year.
In schools identified for TSI, one or	the LEA (LEA Plan Question 15.2). If it does not, the LEA takes additional action. Required improvement	
more of the student groups below are	activities:	More exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an
performing in the bottom 10% of all	Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation. ESSA	ESSA Identification."
students and in the bottom 10% of that	requires specific stakeholders to be involved.	
student group, statewide.	Develop and implement a targeted improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or	
	professional development to address the issues that led to the identification. This may be a new plan	
Student groups:	or modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.	
Black/African American	<ul> <li>The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in</li> </ul>	
Hispanic/Latino	the ESSA Accountability Report) <sup>1</sup> and must address each student group for which the school has	
American Indian/Alaska Native	an identification.	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	o The plan must include one or more evidence-based improvement strategy (practice, program, or	
Asian	strategy) that meet ESSA <u>Tiers of Evidence 1, 2, or 3</u> . <sup>2</sup> Strategies should address identified root	
White	cause(s), which should be grounded in data and focused on educator practices contributing to	
Two or More Races	the issues that led to identification.	
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>To support successful implementation, the plan should include the following:</li> </ul>	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal</li> </ul>	
English Learners	<ul> <li>Action steps, timelines, and responsibilities</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Adequate professional learning and coaching support</li> </ul>	
Identifications are normally made each	Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact	
year.	Improve outcomes, no longer meeting criteria for TSI identification.	
A school must meet the threshold for a	District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility	
group for two consecutive years to be	Inform schools of identification.	
identified.	Participate on school improvement teams as needed.	
	Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports.	
Schools may have multiple TSI	Review and approve plans. Support alignment of plans.	
identifications if they meet the	Monitor plan implementation.	
thresholds for more than one student	Assess progress toward exit and take additional action if a school does not exit within the number of	
group.	years specified by the LEA.	
	Report progress data to DPI as requested.	
	DPI Has Primary Responsibility	
	Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.	
	Monitor LEAs for TSI responsibilities through ESEA monitoring.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Each school's ESSA Accountability Report, available in <u>SAFE</u>, contains data on applicable indicators related to the statewide long-term goals. More information is available in the <u>ESSA Accountability Report Guide</u>.

<sup>2</sup>The tiers-of-evidence requirement is a 1003 funding-related requirement (20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(B)).

### **Stakeholders** (applies to all identification types)

Specific groups that must be included	Additional groups to include, depending on local context
<ul> <li>Teachers, including those for general and special education and English learners</li> <li>School administrators</li> <li>Other school staff</li> <li>Students (if age-appropriate)</li> <li>Families (must include representatives of specific student groups present in the school)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community health organizations</li> <li>Community-based organizations, including early childhood programs/providers and libraries</li> <li>Neighborhood representatives, including local businesses</li> <li>Local and relevant environmental organizations</li> <li>Tribal Government representatives, such as Chairs/Presidents (or designees), Tribal Council representatives, Education Directors and staff</li> <li>Government entities, including state agencies, counties, and municipalities</li> <li>Adjunct school services, such as before- and after-school programs/providers and community recreation centers</li> <li>Relevant institutions of higher education</li> <li>Workforce investment boards and other job-related agencies</li> <li>Faith-based communities</li> </ul>

(ESSA State Plan, p. 52)

### TSI/ATSI FAQs

#### For TSI and ATSI identifications, are there any required submissions to the DPI?

- TSI and ATSI Improvement plans are reviewed/approved by the LEA only. They are not submitted to the DPI for approval.
- The DPI may request data about identified schools periodically. For example, each year LEAs are asked to submit information regarding the progress of each school identified for ATSI on Exit Criteria 3. The information the LEA submits is included in the school's annual Progress-to-Exit Report.
- If an LEA is selected for ESEA monitoring, improvement plans must be submitted for each identified school.

#### What are the next steps for an LEA with one or more schools identified for TSI/ATSI?

- Notify schools of their identifications and provide access to the ESSA Accountability Report. Make sure schools are aware of the requirements for improvement plans for their specific identification(s). Please note requirements for stakeholder engagement in planning.
- Make sure you have any needed processes and deadlines in place for plan approval and implementation monitoring, and communicate these to the school(s). For TSI identifications, the LEA should determine the number of years after which, if the school does not exit a TSI identification, the LEA will take additional action.
- For ATSI identifications, the school is required to review resource allocation for inequities, and the LEA should consider conducting a district-level review as well.
- Find out about available supports and resources for improvement. You may be eligible for CESA-based supports for free. Ask any CESA for more information.