

Following are key points about the federal accountability process, which school districts can use internally as well as externally with families, school boards, and interested community members.

Overview

- The Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI) issues accountability reports to all districts under the two major federal education laws: the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).
- The purpose of issuing accountability reports for the two laws jointly is to help districts streamline continuous improvement efforts.
- Identification packets are produced each year.

Key ESSA Points

Intention of the Law, Purpose of the System

- The three goals of Wisconsin's accountability system under ESSA are to cut achievement gaps in half, cut graduation gaps in half, and improve English language proficiency by 18%, all within six years.
- Under the ESSA accountability system, the DPI identifies the schools most in need of support. These schools are supported to go through a continuous improvement process to address the issues leading to their identification.

ESSA School Identifications

- There are three types of identifications under ESSA:
 - Comprehensive Support & Improvement (CSI): Schools identified for Comprehensive Support have overall performance scores (summary scores) in the bottom 5% of Title I-participating schools in the state, have a graduation rate below 67%, or both. CSI schools are normally identified every three years.
 - Targeted Support & Improvement (TSI): Schools identified for Targeted Support
 have performance scores for one or more student groups that is in the bottom 10%
 of the state. This identification allows for particular focus on improving outcomes
 for specific groups of students in a school.
 - Additional Targeted Support & Improvement (ATSI): Schools identified for Additional Targeted Support have performance scores for one or more student groups that is in the bottom 5% of the state. This identification allows for particular focus on improving outcomes for specific groups of students in a school. ATSI schools are normally identified every three years.

Schools identified for Comprehensive or Additional Targeted Support have their first
opportunity to exit that identification status two years after the initial identification. DPI
provides these schools with an annual ESSA Progress-to-Exit Report containing
information about the school's performance relative to the exit criteria for their
identification(s).

ESSA Accountability Reports

- ESSA Accountability Reports were designed with school and district leaders in mind, to inform ongoing continuous improvement work and help schools and districts refine their plans to address specific achievement gaps.
- The reports are school-level reports, not district-level. Within the reports, the whole school (All-Students) and each student group are scored and ranked against the performance of all other public schools in the state. To protect student privacy, when there are less than 20 students in a group, their performance is not scored nor reported.
- While the reports are annual, two types of identification Comprehensive Support (CSI) and Additional Targeted Support (ATSI) occur only every three years.
- ESSA Accountability Reports and a statewide spreadsheet of identification data are posted to the DPI's Federal Accountability page and available via the WISEdash Public Portal.

Understanding More About the Data

- School/district staff who need assistance navigating accountability data can connect with an accountability trainer (a list by CESA and district is available on the <u>Accountability</u> <u>Resources page</u>) or contact the Office of Educational Accountability at oeamail@dpi.wi.gov.
- For an overview of the ESSA federal accountability system, visit the resource section of the Federal Accountability (ESSA) page.
- Wisconsin has two school-level accountability systems: federal ESSA accountability and the state accountability report cards.
 - The federal accountability system under ESSA is a ranking system in which summary scores lead to a percentile ranking.
 - The state accountability system (the report cards) is a rating system in which the overall score places schools into one of five rating categories.

To better understand the state and federal accountability systems, please refer to the Accountability Crosswalk, a resource available on the <u>Federal Accountability (ESSA) page</u>.

Key IDEA Points

Intention of the Law, Purpose of the System

• The intention of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is to provide students with disabilities a free appropriate public education. IDEA provides rights and protections to children with disabilities and their parents/guardians.

- IDEA requires the DPI to determine annually if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of IDEA Part B, and if not, whether the LEA needs assistance, intervention, or substantial intervention to meet those requirements.
- IDEA also requires the DPI to identify districts whose data indicate significant racial or ethnic disproportionality in identification, placement, and/or discipline of students with disabilities.
- Nationally, children of color are identified as students with disabilities at substantially
 higher rates than their peers. All children who require special education services should be
 appropriately identified and supported. At the same time, no child should be
 inappropriately identified for special education services, segregated from his or her peers,
 or disciplined more frequently or harshly simply because they are a student of color with a
 disability (U.S. Department of Education, 2016).

IDEA Determinations

- The categories for determinations are: "meets requirements," "needs assistance," "needs intervention," and "needs substantial intervention." The categories refer to the level of assistance (if any) needed to meet IDEA Part B requirements.
- LEAs that "need assistance" or "need intervention" may not reduce their maintenance of effort obligations, unless the reduction is by less than half of the increased amount (the "50% Adjustment" rule). LEAs that "need assistance" for two or more years will be asked to engage in continuous improvement that includes a goal related to the data in the determination. LEAs that "need intervention" will be supported through continuous improvement per the direction of DPI.
- When calculating the LEA determinations under IDEA, the department equally weights results indicators and compliance indicators. The department uses a points-based system when making LEA determinations.
 - Compliance indicators focus on racial disproportionality in special education identification and discipline, timely evaluation of students referred for special education, transition between birth-to-three programs and the LEA, post-high school transition planning for students with IEPs, correction of noncompliance with IDEA, and timely and accurate data. LEA noncompliance in these areas results in zero points per area.
 - Results indicators focus on rates (percentages) for students with IEPs in the areas
 of graduation, drop-out, mathematics, and English Language Arts participation
 proficiency, and educational environment. An LEA's calculated percentage for each
 indicator results in 0-2 points per indicator.

LEA Determination Report

 Due to the inclusion of unredacted data, the LEA Determination Report is considered sensitive and confidential. Therefore, this document should not be shared with the public and is not subject to open records requests. Redacted versions of the data used in this report are made available in DPI's public data files, the WISEdash Public Portal, and the Special Education Team's District Profile Application.

Racial Disproportionality in Special Education

Wisconsin stakeholders have set criteria for racial disproportionality that trigger local responsibilities. Following are the specific criteria, organized under the three subcategories of this identification.

- Significant disproportionality in special education identification or one or more specific disability categories:
 - Minimum of 10 students within special education or a specific disability category or categories for a given race/ethnicity; minimum of 30 students (total enrollment) for given race/ethnicity;
 - Risk ratio of 2.0 or greater for this racial/ethnic group for the special education/specific disability category or categories (compared to all other students within the LEA);
 - o Three consecutive years meeting above criteria; and
 - Failure to demonstrate reasonable progress in lowering the risk ratio for the group and category of analysis by 0.25 or more in each of the two prior consecutive years.
 Significant disproportionality in special education discipline and/or placement:
 - Minimum of 10 students within discipline category/environment code for a given race/ethnicity; minimum of 30 students with IEPs for given race/ethnicity;
 - Risk ratio of 2.0 or greater for this racial/ethnic group within the discipline category/environment code (compared to all other students with IEPs in the LEA/state);
 - Three consecutive years meeting criteria, above; and
 - Failure to demonstrate reasonable progress in lowering the risk ratio for the group and category of analysis by 0.25 or more in each of the two prior consecutive years.
- Significant discrepancy in special education discipline:
 - o In addition to the calculations for racial disproportionality in discipline detailed above, the DPI is also required to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days among children of color with disabilities. This calculation uses a much smaller minimum cell size (two), and the criteria is a risk for each racial/ethnic group that is two standard deviations above the statewide mean.

Racial Equity in Special Education Reports

- All local education agencies (LEAs) receive a "Racial Equity in Special Education" report to inform LEAs of racial disproportionality in special education based on the data they submitted to DPI. The report provides LEAs with information and resources to guide their improvement planning.
- LEAs that meet Wisconsin's criteria for local responsibility are informed of next steps, which includes, but is not limited to, reservation of IDEA funds and evidence of continuous improvement.

• Due to the inclusion of unredacted data, this report is considered sensitive and confidential. Therefore, this document should not be shared with the public and is not subject to open records requests.



Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction 125 S. Webster Street, P.O. Box 7841 Madison, WI 53707-7841 (608) 266-3390 dpi.wi.gov/continuous-improvement

February 2024

The Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction does not discriminate on the basis of sex, race, religion, age, national origin, ancestry, creed, pregnancy, marital or parental status, sexual orientation or physical, mental, emotional or learning disability.