

CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: Parts I and II

**for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001**

**For reporting on
School Year 2006-07**

WISCONSIN



**PART I DUE FRIDAY, DECEMBER 28, 2007
PART II DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2008**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, DC 20202**

INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended by the *No Child Left Behind Act* of 2001 (*NCLB*) provide to States the option of applying for and reporting on multiple *ESEA* programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on States, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of State, local, and *ESEA* programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the State will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple State and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies—State, local, and Federal—is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning.

The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following *ESEA* programs:

- Title I, Part A – *Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies*
- Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 – *William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs*
- Title I, Part C – *Education of Migratory Children*
- Title I, Part D – *Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk*
- Title I, Part F – *Comprehensive School Reform*
- Title II, Part A – *Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund)*
- Title II, Part D – *Enhancing Education through Technology*
- Title III, Part A – *English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act*
- Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants*
- Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program)*
- Title IV, Part B – *21st Century Community Learning Centers.*
- Title V, Part A – *Innovative Programs*
- Title VI, Section 6111 – *Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities*
- Title VI, Part B – *Rural Education Achievement Program*
- Title X, Part C – *Education for Homeless Children and Youths*

The *NCLB* Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) for school year (SY) 2006-07 consists of two information collections.

PART I

Part I of the CSPR requests information related to the five *ESEA* Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in Section 1111(h)(4) of the *ESEA*. The five *ESEA* Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are:

- **Performance Goal 1:** By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 2:** All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 3:** By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- **Performance Goal 4:** All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.
- **Performance Goal 5:** All students will graduate from high school.

Starting with SY 2005-06, collection of data for the Education of Homeless Children and Youths was added to Part I in order to provide timely data for the program's performance measures. This change allowed ED to retire OMB collection 1810-0650. For SY 2006-07, Migrant Education Program child count information that is used for funding purposes is now collected via Part I. This change allowed ED to retire OMB collection 1810-0519

PART II

Part II of the CSPR consists of information related to State activities and outcomes of specific *ESEA* programs. While the information requested varies from program to program, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria:

1. The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
2. The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations.
3. The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.
4. The CSPR is the best vehicle for collection of the data.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES

All States that received funding on the basis of the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2006-07 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, December 28, 2007**. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, February 22, 2008**. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2006-07, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report has changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission system is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome. Please see the following section on transmittal instructions for more information on how to submit this year's Consolidated State Performance Report.

TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2006-07 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data, by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2006-07 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN web site (<https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/>).

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0614. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 111 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimates(s) contact School Support and Technology Programs, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20202-6140. Questions about the new electronic CSPR submission process, should be directed to the EDEN Partner Support Center at 1-877-HLP-EDEN (1-877-457-3336).

OMB Number: 1810-0614	
Expiration Date: 10/31/2010	
<p style="text-align: center;">Consolidated State Performance Report For State Formula Grant Programs under the Elementary And Secondary Education Act as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001</p>	
Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting: <input type="checkbox"/> Part I, 2006-07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Part II, 2006-07	
Name of State Educational Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction	
Address: 125 South Webster Street, P.O. Box 7841 Madison, WI 53707-7841	
Person to contact about this report:	
Name: Mary Jo Parman	
Telephone: 608-266-2158	
Fax: 608-266-5188	
e-mail: maryjo.parman@dpi.state.wi.us	
Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type): Michael J. Thompson	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> Signature </div> <div> Friday, April 18, 2008, 12:53:22 PM Date </div> </div>	

This version (1.1) contains edits to the following sections: 2.3.2.1, 2.3.2.2, 2.3.2.3.2, 2.3.3.2.2, 2.3.3.2.4.4, 2.4.1.3, 2.4.1.4.2, 2.4.2.2, 2.4.2.3, 2.4.2.4.2, 2.4.2.6.2, 2.4.2.6.1

**CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:
PART II**

For reporting on
School Year 2006-07



PART II DUE FEBRUARY 22, 2008

2.1 IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)

This section collects data on Title I, Part A programs.

2.1.1 Student Achievement in Schools with Title I, Part A Programs

The following sections collect data on student academic achievement on the State's *NCLB* assessments in schools that receive Title I, Part A funds and operate either Schoolwide programs or Targeted Assistance programs.

2.1.1.1 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students in SWP schools who completed the assessment and for whom a performance level was reported, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of ESEA. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	12986	6917	53.3
4	12589	7348	58.4
5	12273	6596	53.7
6	8745	4306	49.2
7	8742	4560	52.2
8	8789	4232	48.2
High School	6623	2315	35.0
Total	70747	36274	51.3
Comments:			

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X075 that is data group 583. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.1.2 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment in SWP.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	12709	8552	67.3
4	12295	8361	68.0
5	12105	8475	70.0
6	8663	5914	68.3
7	8658	5637	65.1
8	8711	5983	68.7
High School	6576	2992	45.5
Total	69717	45914	65.9
Comments:			

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in files N/X076, N/X077, or N/X078 that are data group 584. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.1.3 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of students in TAS who completed the assessment and for whom a performance level was reported, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b) (3) of ESEA. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	29868	23354	78.2
4	29655	24006	81.0
5	27735	21751	78.4
6	16426	13164	80.1
7	12040	9889	82.1
8	11818	9353	79.1
High School	4632	3607	77.9
Total	132174	105124	79.5
Comments:			

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X075 that is data group 583. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.1.4 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.3. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment in TAS.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	29784	25120	84.3
4	29533	25222	85.4
5	27664	24105	87.1
6	16382	14523	88.7
7	12026	10578	88.0
8	11797	10314	87.4
High School	4630	3783	81.7
Total	131816	113645	86.2
Comments:			

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in files N/X076, N/X077, or N/X078 that are data group 584. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.2 Title I, Part A Student Participation

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

2.1.2.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I SWP or TAS programs at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	28742
Limited English proficient students	17864
Students who are homeless	3668
Migratory students	159
Comments: Number is correct according to EOY reports from districts. It is actually 15.32%	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X037 that is data group 538, category sets C-F. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.1.2.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either public Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Race/Ethnicity	# Students Served
American Indian or Alaska Native	4616
Asian or Pacific Islander	7494
Black, non-Hispanic	72686
Hispanic	32967
White, non-Hispanic	76699
Total	194462
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037, that is data group ID 548, category set B.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The total row is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.2.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public targeted assistance programs (Public TAS), Title I schoolwide programs (Public SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected). The totals column by type of program will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	Public TAS	Public SWP	Private	Local Neglected	Total
Age 0-2					
Age 3-5 (not Kindergarten)	782	9850	118		10750
K	5435	13942	413		19790
1	7735	13907	1085	9	22736
2	6949	13498	1006	10	21463
3	5315	13108	836	24	19283
4	4046	12672	723		17441
5	2850	12397	641		15888
6	1767	8961	500	7	11235
7	1588	8991	448	7	11034
8	1227	9079	350		10656
9	1167	10126	343		11636
10	894	7184	197		8275
11	894	6765	133		7792
12	705	5700	83		6488
Ungraded			52		52
TOTALS	41354	146180	6928	57	194519
Comments:					

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037, that is data group ID 548, category set A.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The percent of total column has been deleted for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.1.2.4 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services

The following sections request data about the participation of students in TAS.

2.1.2.4.1 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed instructional services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one instructional service. However, students should be reported only once for each instructional service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Mathematics	14732
Reading/language arts	42785
Science	2418
Social studies	2396
Vocational/career	663
Other instructional services	705
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036 that is data group ID 549, category set A.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.3.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.1.2.4.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Support Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed support services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one support service. However, students should be reported only once for each support service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Health, dental, and eye care	261
Supporting guidance/advocacy	2056
Other support services	304
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through *EDFacts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036 that is data group ID 549, category set B.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.3.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.1.3 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded by a Title I, Part A TAS in each of the staff categories. For staff who work with both TAS and SWP, report only the FTE attributable to their TAS responsibilities.

For paraprofessionals only, provide the percentage of paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)* as amended by the *No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)* of 2002.

See the FAQs following the table for additional information.

Staff Category	Staff FTE	Percentage Qualified
Teachers	917.5	
Paraprofessionals ¹	420.00	96.9
Other paraprofessionals (translators, parental involvement, computer assistance) ²	15.8	
Clerical support staff	144.2	
Administrators (non-clerical)	35.6	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The following changes have been made to this table for the SY 2006-07 CSPR: Instructional Paraprofessionals has been relabeled to paraprofessionals, Non-instructional paraprofessionals has been relabeled to other paraprofessionals(translators, parental involvement, computer assistance), Support staff (clerical and non-clerical) has been relabeled to Clerical support staff, Other (specify) has been deleted, and percentage qualified has been added.

FAQs on staff information

- a. *What is a "paraprofessional?"* An employee of an LEA who provides instructional support in a program supported with Title I, Part A funds. Instructional support includes the following activities:
 - (1) Providing one-on-one tutoring for eligible students, if the tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher;
 - (2) Providing assistance with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials;
 - (3) Providing assistance in a computer laboratory;
 - (4) Conducting parental involvement activities;
 - (5) Providing support in a library or media center;
 - (6) Acting as a translator; or
 - (7) Providing instructional services to students.
- b. *What is an "other paraprofessional?"* Paraprofessionals who do not provide instructional support, for example, paraprofessionals who are translators or who work with parental involvement or computer assistance.
- c. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional?* A paraprofessional who has (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and been able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d).) For more information on qualified paraprofessionals, please refer to the Title I paraprofessionals Guidance, available at: <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/paraguidance.doc>.

¹ Consistent with *ESEA* as amended by *NCLB*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

² Consistent with *ESEA* as amended by *NCLB*, Title I, Section 1119(e).

2.1.3.1 Paraprofessional Information for Title I, Part A Schoolwide Programs (formerly 1.5.4.)

In the table below, provide the number of FTE paraprofessionals who served in SWP and the percentage of these paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of ESEA. Use the additional guidance found below the previous table.

	Paraprofessionals FTE	Percentage Qualified
Paraprofessionals ³	1475.9	98.9
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 1.5.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the paraprofessional FTE count has been added to this data collection.

³ Consistent with *ESEA* as amended by *NCLB*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

2.2 WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAMS (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)**2.2.1 Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants**

For the reporting program year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007, please provide the following information:

2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgrants in the State

Number of federally funded Even Start subgrants	10
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool

2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating During the Year

In the table below, provide the number of participants for each of the groups listed below. The following terms apply:

1. "Participating" means enrolled and participating in all required core services.
2. "Adults" include teen parents.

The number of participating children will be calculated automatically.

	# Participants
1. Families participating	626
2. Adults participating	702
3. Adults participating who are limited English proficient (LEP)	394
4. Participating children	1021
a. Infants and toddlers (birth through 2 years)	380
b. Preschool age (age 3 through 5)	387
c. School age (age 6 through 8)	254
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: The participating children subcategories have been added to this data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.2.1.3 Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families at the Time of Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of families at the time of enrollment for each of the groups listed below. The term "newly enrolled family" means a family who enrolls for the first time in the Even Start project at any time during the year.

	#
1. Number of newly enrolled families	273
2. Number of newly enrolled adult participants	305
3. Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level	207
4. Number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment	260
5. Number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9 th grade	166
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, three new rows have been added: the number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level, the number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment, and the number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9th grade data collections have been changed from percent to number.

2.2.1.4 Retention of Families

In the table below, provide the number of families who are newly enrolled, those who exited the program during the year, and those continuing in the program. For families who have exited, count the time between the family's start date and exit date. For families still participating, count the time between the family's start date and the end of the reporting year (June 30, 2007).

Report each family only once in lines 1-4. The total number of families participating will be automatically calculated.

Time in Program	# Families
1. Number of families participating 3 months or less	69
2. Number of families participating more than 3 months and fewer than 6 months	90
3. Number of families participating more than 6 months and fewer than 12 months	114
4. Number of families participating 12 months or longer	353
5. Total families participating	626
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: The additional calculation of total families participating is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR. This data collection has been changed from collecting percent of families to collecting number of families for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

This section collects data about the federal Even Start Performance Indicators.

Describe your State's progress in meeting the federal performance indicators listed for Even Start participants. States should always provide an explanation if they are using measures that differ from what is specified.

Explanation 2.2.1.3 - Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families

#3. Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level.

Of the 273 newly enrolled families 207 (75%) were at or below the federal poverty index line (the figure commonly used to calculate free lunch). Additionally, 67 families were at or below 150% of the federal poverty index line (the figure commonly used to calculate reduced lunch) for a total of 260 or 95% of newly enrolled families. When directing projects to serve the most in financial need, Wisconsin uses the free and reduced lunch indicator (150% of the federal poverty index line). Clearly, Wisconsin is serving those most in financial need.

Explanation 2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

Significant Gain is an adult learner's achievement of one NRS level. There were 179 adults who were given both a pre and post Reading TABE test with 96 (54%) achieving a significant learning gain.

Wisconsin believes that literacy achievement is not limited to reading ability and therefore administers all three TABE subject tests (reading, mathematics, and writing). There were 308 adults enrolled in ABE/ASE and 230 (75%) were given both a pre and post TABE test. The Wisconsin Technical College System (WTCS), the ABE/ASE providers for the state, has a target that 30% to 40% of their adult learners will be pre and post tested. ESFL achieves a much higher rate of pre and post testing than the WTCS.

It is worthwhile to note that of those 230, 150 (65%) made an NRS level gain in one of the three subjects. It is of further significance to note that the WTCS have targets that range from 39% to 41% for adult learners to make NRS level gains with actual gains ranging from 41% to 54%.

Explanation 2.2.2.2 LEP Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

LEP adults were given the BEST Plus. Significant Gain is an adult learner's achievement of one NRS level. There were 394 adult learners enrolled in ESL and 394 (100%) were given both a pre and post test. Again, WTCS has a target that 30% to 40% of their adult learners will be pre and post tested. Of the 394 adults, 249 (63%) achieved a significant gain. Comparatively, WTCS has targets that range from 47% - 63% for adult learners to make NRS level gains with actual gains within that target.

Explanation 2.2.2.3 Adult Earning a High School Diploma or GED

Wisconsin had five school age adults who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma and five (100%) achieved that. Six school age adults who had a realistic goal of earning a GED and four (67%) achieved that. Wisconsin had 57 non-school age adults who had a realistic goal of earning a GED and 56 (98%) achieved that. Comparatively, WTCS had 59% of adults achieve a high school diploma or GED.

Explanation 2.2.2.4

A total of 79 children were given both a form A and form B of the PPVT III who met the service requirement. Of these, 48 (61%) achieved significant gain (an increase in the SS of 4 or more). Nine additional children were administered a pre and post PPVT who did not meet the service requirement and six (67%) achieved significant gain. Overall, Wisconsin pre and posted tested 88 of 106 (83%) age eligible children. Of the 88 who were pre and posted tested, 56 (64%) achieved a significant gain.

Missing Data 2.2.2.4

There were 106 age eligible children for the PPVT and 88 children were administered a pre and post test with 79 meeting the service requirement. These results are reported in section 2.2.2.4 and explained in the previous paragraph. Of the 18 remaining children, five were given a Form A but not a Form B and none of those 5 met the service requirement; six met the service requirement but were not tested, and seven were given neither a pre or post test and did not meet the service

requirement. Wisconsin recognizes the need to investigate why children may not be meeting the 6 month service requirement and/or why children were not administered both pre and post tests. This inquiry will be done by providing technical assistance (TA) to programs where there appear to be testing and significant attendance/retention concerns. The TA will examine attendance and retention practices, test administration protocol, and how those might be improved to better assist programs to prepare children as they enter Kindergarten.

Missing Data on 2.2.2.5

There were a total of 106 age eligible children for the PALS and 101 children were administered a spring assessment. Of the remaining five children, none met the service requirement and were not tested. Of the 101 children who were administered the spring PALS, 93 met the service requirement and are reported in 2.2.2.5. Of those 93, 76 (82%) were English Language learners (English was not their first language). Of the 8 remaining children who did not meet the service requirement, the Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average) was 14 and 6 (75%) were English Language learners.

Explanation 2.2.2.6

Wisconsin uses the Classroom Teacher Rating Scale (CTRS), replicated from the research conducted by Dr. Andrew Hayes for teachers to compare reading and nine other subscales of children with their peers for Wisconsin's longitudinal study. The assessment instrument used to measure reading on grade level is dependent on teacher-report and on families having met a minimum service requirement of 80 programming hours.

Additionally, some Wisconsin projects provide programming for 9 and 10 year olds (4th and 5th graders). Of these additional children, 17 fourth graders were administered the CTRS and 13 (76%) met the goal; 19 were in the fifth grade cohort and 16 (84%) met the goal.

Missing Data 2.2.2.6

Wisconsin served 254 school age children (K5 - 3rd grade, 5 - 8 year olds) and the combined cohort for 2.2.2.6 was 161. The reason for the missing children (93) is because some did not meet the service requirement and/or school teachers did not return some assessment instruments. To increase the amount of assessments returned, some programs in Wisconsin offer incentives to teachers to complete and submit the information.

Missing Data on 2.2.2.7

Wisconsin does not use the PEP for measuring family literacy behaviors. The Familia Inventory continues to be used to guide and inform family education curriculum and for use in local evaluations. Additionally, some projects are piloting Parenting for Academic Success.

Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading. To be counted under "pre- and post-test", an individual must have completed **both** the pre- and post-tests. Do not include LEP adults.

The definition of "significant learning gains" for adult education is determined by your State's adult education program in conjunction with the Department of Education's Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE).

These instructions/definitions apply to both 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	179	96	See text box for Performance Indicators (PI)
CASAS			
Other			
Comments: Explanation 2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading			
Significant Gain is an adult learner's achievement of one NRS level. There were 179 adults who were given both a pre and post Reading TABE test with 96 (54%) achieving a significant learning gain.			
Wisconsin believes that literacy achievement is not limited to reading ability and therefore administers all three TABE subject tests (reading, mathematics, and writing). There were 308 adults enrolled in ABE/ASE and 230 (75%) were given both a pre and post TABE test. The Wisconsin Technical College System (WTCS), the ABE/ASE providers for the state, has a target that 30% to 40% of their adult learners will be pre and post tested. ESFL achieves a much higher rate of pre and post testing than the WTCS.			
It is worthwhile to note that of those 230, 150 (65%) made an NRS level gain in one of the three subjects. It is of further significance to note that the WTCS have targets that range from 39% to 41% for adult learners to make NRS level gains with actual gains ranging from 41% to 54%.			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of adults pre- and post-tested has been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection requests the number of adults who showed significant gains. This is different from the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which requested the percentage of adults who showed significant gains.

2.2.2.2 LEP Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of LEP adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE			
CASAS			
Other	394	249	
Comments: Explanation 2.2.2.2 LEP Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading			
LEP adults were given the BEST Plus. Significant Gain is an adult learner's achievement of one NRS level. There were 394 adult learners enrolled in ESL and 394 (100%) were given both a pre and post test. Again, WTCS has a target that 30% to 40% of their adult learners will be pre and post tested. Of the 394 adults, 249 (63%) achieved a significant gain. Comparatively, WTCS has targets that range from 47% - 63% for adult learners to make NRS level gains with actual gains within that target.			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of adults pre- and post-tested has been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection requests the number of adults who showed significant gains. This is different from the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which requested the percentage of adults who showed significant gains.

2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

In the table below, provide the number of school-age adults who earned a high school diploma or GED.

The following terms apply:

1. "School-age adults" is defined as any parent attending an elementary or secondary school. This also includes those adults within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting, such as directly through the Even Start program.
2. "Non-school-age" adults are any adults who do not meet the definition of "school-age."
3. "Cohort" includes only those adult participants who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma or GED. Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by State, so you should include only those adult participants for whom attainment of a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

School-Age Adults	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	5	5	
GED	6	4	
Other			
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of school age adults earning a diploma or GED, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

Non-School-Age Adults	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma			
GED	57	56	
Other			
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of non-school age adults earning a diploma or GED, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the collection of diploma or GED data has been split into two rows, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it was collected together.

2.2.2.4 Children Entering Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development

In the table below, provide the number of children who are achieving significant learning gains on measures of language development.

The following terms apply to 2.2.2.4 through 2.2.2.7:

1. A "significant learning gain" is considered to be a standard score increase of 4 or more points with a minimum 6 months between pre- and post-test.
2. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are expected to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year.
3. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre- and post-test with at least 6 months of services in between.
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children exempted from testing due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-III	106	79	48	0	See text box for PI
Comments:					

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number age eligible, the number tested and the number exempted have been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection is requesting the number of children entering kindergarten who are achieving significant learning gains, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

2.2.2.5 The Average Number of Letters Children Can Identify as Measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask

In the table below, provide the average number of letters children can identify as measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask.

The term "average number of letters" includes the average score for the children in your State who participated in this assessment. This should be provided as a weighted average and rounded to one decimal.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average)	Explanation (if applicable)
PALS PreK Upper Case	106	93	15.0	See text box for PI
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number age eligible, the number tested and the average number of letters (weighted average) have been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection is requesting the average number of letters children can identify, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

In the table below, provide the number of school-age children who read on grade level. The source of these data is usually determined by the State and, in some cases, by school district. Please indicate the source(s) of the data in the "Explanation" field.

Grade	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (include source of data)
K	46	29	
1	50	32	
2	33	15	
3	32	21	
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of school-age children reading on grade level, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. The breakdown of grades K through 3rd is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In the table below, provide the number of parents who show improvement on measures of parental support for children's learning in the home, school environment, and through interactive learning activities.

While many states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please describe results and the source(s) of any non-PEP data in the "Other" field, with appropriate information in the Explanation field.

	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
PEP Scale I			
PEP Scale II			
PEP Scale III			
PEP Scale IV			
Other			

Comments: Missing Data on 2.2.2.7

Wisconsin does not use the PEP for measuring family literacy behaviors. The Familia Inventory continues to be used to guide and inform family education curriculum and for use in local evaluations. Additionally, some projects are piloting Parenting for Academic Success.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of parents who show improvement on measures of parental support, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. The breakdown of PEP scales is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.3 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (Title I, Part C) for the reporting period of September 1, 2006 through August 31, 2007. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migrant children;
- Academic data of eligible migrant students;
- Participation data – migrant children served during either the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year;
- School data;
- Project data;
- Personnel data.

Where the table collects data by age/grade, report children in the highest age/grade that they attained during the reporting period. For example, a child who turns 3 during the reporting period would only be reported in the "Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)" row.

FAQs at 1.10 contain definitions of out-of-school and ungraded that are used in this section.

2.3.1 Population Data

The following questions collect data on eligible migrant children.

2.3.1.1 Eligible Migrant Children

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children by age/grade. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Eligible Migrant Children
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	127
K	63
1	72
2	68
3	80
4	70
5	74
6	74
7	74
8	84
9	89
10	65
11	75
12	53
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	27
Total	1095
Comments:	

Source – All rows except for "age birth through 2" are populated with the data provided in Part I, Section 1.10, Question 1.10.1. Initially, the row "age birth through 2" is pre-populated by ED*Facts* file N/X121 that is data group 634, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.1.2 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children who have been classified as having "Priority for Services." The total is calculated automatically. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	29
1	43
2	41
3	41
4	37
5	37
6	38
7	41
8	59
9	48
10	43
11	47
12	39
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	5
Total	548
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having "priority for service?" Migratory children who are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet the State's challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, and whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.

2.3.1.3 Limited English Proficient

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children who are also limited English proficient (LEP). The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	21
K	42
1	46
2	30
3	51
4	39
5	39
6	42
7	37
8	43
9	37
10	32
11	44
12	28
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	531
Comments: this number is correct.	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set C. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.1.4 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children who are also Children with Disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	1
2	3
3	3
4	3
5	1
6	1
7	3
8	6
9	3
10	1
11	0
12	2
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	27
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set D. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.1.5 Last Qualifying Move

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children by when the last qualifying move occurred. The months are calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Last Qualifying Move Is within X months from the last day of the reporting period			
	12 Months	Previous 13 – 24 Months	Previous 25 – 36 Months	Previous 37 – 48 Months
Age birth through 2	95	14	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	87	28	18	13
K	41	12	2	8
1	40	12	9	11
2	35	12	6	14
3	47	10	9	14
4	35	10	10	15
5	38	21	3	13
6	42	11	9	11
7	39	16	10	10
8	43	18	11	12
9	47	21	12	9
10	39	12	6	8
11	44	18	6	7
12	13	27	3	10
Ungraded	0	0	0	0
Out-of-school	0	9	5	4
Total	685	251	119	159
Comments: data is correct				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. New for this data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR is the column requesting data on students whose qualifying move occurred in the previous 37-48 months and the date of August 31 as the last day of the reporting period.

2.3.1.6 Qualifying Move During Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant children with any qualifying move during the regular school year within the previous 36 months calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Move During Regular School Year
Age birth through 2	34
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	55
K	21
1	33
2	19
3	24
4	25
5	27
6	22
7	27
8	32
9	29
10	29
11	38
12	25
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	16
Total	456
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. New for this data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR is the date of August 31 as the last day of the reporting period.

2.3.2 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migrant students.

2.3.2.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Grade	Dropped Out
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Total	0
Comments: Data is not available at this time. April 18, 2008 - Additional comments per ED request for data verification: State data will be available May 2008.	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X032 that is data group 326, category set E. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQ on Dropouts:

How is "dropped out of school" defined? The term used for students, who, during the reporting period, were enrolled in a public or private school for at least one day, but who subsequently left school with no plans on returning to enroll in a school and continue toward a high school diploma. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2006-07 reporting period should be classified NOT as "dropped-out-of-school" but as "out-of-school youth."

2.3.2.2 GED

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant students who obtained a General Education Development (GED) Certificate in your state.

Obtained a GED in your state	0
Comments: not available April 18, 2008 - Additional comments per ED request for data verification: State data will be available May 2008.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.2.3 Participation in State NCLB Assessments

The following questions collect data about the participation of eligible migrant students in State NCLB Assessments.

2.3.2.3.1 Reading/Language Arts Participation

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **eligible** migrant students enrolled in school during the State testing window and tested by the State *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment by grade level. The totals are calculated automatically.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	70	63
4	57	36
5	68	62
6	70	63
7	44	40
8	49	44
9	0	0
10	49	48
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded	0	0
Total	407	356

Comments: data is correct. State assessments not available for grades 9, 11, and 12.

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X081 that includes data group 589, category set F. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.2.3.2 Mathematics Participation

This section is similar to 2.3.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on migrant students and the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessment.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	70	70
4	57	54
5	68	62
6	70	69
7	44	42
8	49	48
9	0	0
10	49	48
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded	0	0
Total	407	393

Comments: State assessments not available in grades 9, 11, and 12.

April 18, 2008 - Additional comments per ED request for data verification:

The data are correct.

Source – Same as 2.3.3.1.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3 MEP Participation Data

The following questions collect data about the participation of migrant students served during the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year.

Unless otherwise indicated, participating migrant children include:

- Children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.
- Children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children who continued to receive services (1) during the term their eligibility ended, (2) for one additional school year after their eligibility ended, if comparable services were not available through other programs, and (3) in secondary school after their eligibility ended, and served through credit accrual programs until graduation (e.g., children served under the continuation of services authority, Section 1304(e)(1–3)).

Do not include:

- Children who were served through a Title I SWP where MEP funds were consolidated with those of other programs.
- Children who were served by a "referred" service only.

2.3.3.1 MEP Participation – Regular School Year

The following questions collect data on migrant children who participated in the MEP during the **regular** school year. Do not include:

- Children who were only served during the summer/intersession term.

2.3.3.1.1 MEP Students Served During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the regular school year. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Regular School Year
Age Birth through 2	21
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	39
K	47
1	54
2	47
3	50
4	50
5	45
6	54
7	49
8	67
9	55
10	44
11	55
12	38
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	716
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED*Facts* file N/X123 that includes data group 636, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.1.2 Priority for Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the regular school year. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	0
K	29
1	33
2	41
3	36
4	37
5	30
6	37
7	37
8	55
9	41
10	36
11	43
12	36
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	491
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X123 that includes data group 636, category set A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.1.3 Continuation of Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the regular school year served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	2
7	0
8	0
9	3
10	3
11	4
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	13
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.1.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the regular school year.

FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are **NOT** considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.1.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the regular school year. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	25
1	31
2	30
3	35
4	20
5	21
6	17
7	15
8	23
9	52
10	42
11	51
12	36
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	5
Total	403
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.1.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the regular school year. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0	
K	14	8	
1	23	11	
2	14	10	
3	25	12	
4	9	7	
5	8	10	
6	8	6	
7	5	4	
8	15	13	
9	7	3	52
10	13	12	42
11	16	15	51
12	17	18	36
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0	1
Total	174	129	182
Comments: data is correct			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.1.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any MEP-funded support service during the regular school year. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the regular school year. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	21	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	39	7
K	46	24
1	53	28
2	47	28
3	50	31
4	50	34
5	45	18
6	54	36
7	49	37
8	67	51
9	55	35
10	44	35
11	55	41
12	37	34
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	1	0
Total	713	439
Comments: data is correct		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQs on Support Services:

- a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.
- b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.1.4.4 Referred Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who, during the regular school year, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	1
K	0
1	0
2	1
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	3
7	3
8	1
9	3
10	2
11	3
12	2
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	19
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2 MEP Participation – Summer/Intersession Term

The questions in this subsection are similar to the questions in the previous section. There are two differences. First, the questions in this subsection collect data on the **summer/intersession term** instead of the regular school year. The second is the source for the table on migrant students served during the summer/intersession is EDFacts file N/X124 that includes data group 637.

2.3.3.2.1 MEP Students Served During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Summer/Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	11
K	19
1	23
2	33
3	33
4	26
5	25
6	22
7	24
8	11
9	17
10	13
11	9
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	267
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X124 that includes data group 637, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2.2 Priority for Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	0
K	9
1	13
2	12
3	9
4	7
5	13
6	8
7	11
8	6
9	9
10	7
11	6
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	110
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X124 that includes data group 637, category set A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2.3 Continuation of Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	1
4	0
5	0
6	1
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	3
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the summer/intersession term.

FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are **NOT** considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.2.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	1
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	8
K	19
1	22
2	33
3	33
4	26
5	25
6	22
7	23
8	11
9	17
10	13
11	9
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	263
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	2	2	
K	11	11	
1	16	14	
2	24	21	
3	27	23	
4	18	16	
5	20	17	
6	12	12	
7	16	15	
8	5	5	
9	0	0	17
10	0	1	13
11	0	0	9
12	0	0	1
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0	0
Total	151	137	40
Comments: data is correct			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.2.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any MEP-funded support service during the summer/intersession term. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the summer/intersession term. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	1	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	11	0
K	19	9
1	23	13
2	31	14
3	32	15
4	24	14
5	25	11
6	22	7
7	24	14
8	11	6
9	17	10
10	13	9
11	9	5
12	1	1
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0
Total	263	128
Comments: data is correct		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQs on Support Services:

- a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.
- b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.2.4.4 Referred Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who, during the summer/intersession term, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
Comments: April 18, 2008 - Additional comments per ED request for data verification:	
The data values of "0" are correct.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.3.3 MEP Participation – Program Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the program year. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During the Program Year
Age Birth through 2	22
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	46
K	50
1	57
2	61
3	67
4	57
5	62
6	58
7	64
8	69
9	62
10	52
11	62
12	38
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	828
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X054 that includes data group 102, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.4 School Data

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migrant children in schools during the regular school year.

2.3.4.1 Schools and Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled **eligible** migrant children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	Number
Number of schools that enrolled eligible migrant children	107
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	904
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X102 that includes data group 110. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection has been changed to include public schools only.

2.3.4.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	Number
Number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in a schoolwide program	0
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	0
Comments: Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools is 0 because Wisconsin does not combine funds in schoolwides, it combines some services.	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X102 that includes data groups 110 and 514. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.3.5 MEP Project Data

The following questions collect data on MEP projects.

2.3.5.1 Type of MEP Project

In the table below, provide the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant and provides services directly to the migrant child. Do not include projects where MEP funds were consolidated in SWP.

Also, provide the number of migrant children **participating** in the projects. Since children may participate in more than one project, the number of children may include duplicates.

Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Type of MEP Project	Number of MEP Projects	Number of Migrant Children Participating in the Projects
1. Regular school year – school day only	10	326
2. Regular school year – school day/extended day	0	0
3. Summer/intersession only	2	64
4. Year round	13	705
Comments: data is correct		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQs on type of MEP project:

- a. *What is a project?* A project is any entity that receives MEP funds either as a subgrantee or from a subgrantee and provides services directly to migrant children in accordance with the State Service Delivery Plan and State approved subgrant applications. A project's services may be provided in one or more sites.
- b. *What are Regular School Year – School Day Only projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the school day during the regular school year.
- c. *What are Regular School Year – School Day/Extended Day projects?* Projects where some or all MEP services are provided during an extended day or week during the regular school year (e.g., some services are provided during the school day and some outside of the school day; e.g., all services are provided outside of the school day).
- d. *What are Summer/Intersession Only projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the summer/intersession term.
- e. *What are Year Round projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the regular school year and summer/intersession term.

2.3.6 MEP Personnel Data

The following questions collect data on MEP personnel data.

2.3.6.1 Key MEP Personnel

The following questions collect data about the key MEP personnel.

2.3.6.1.1 MEP State Director

In the table below, provide the FTE amount of time the State director performs MEP duties (regardless of whether the director is funded by State, MEP, or other funds) during the reporting period (e.g., September 1 through August 31). Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

State Director FTE	0.5
Comments: data is correct	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of MEP funded staff in the regular school year, the number of MEP funded staff in summer term/intersession and the FTE amount of time in summer term/intersession have been deleted.

FAQs on the MEP State director

- a. *How is the FTE calculated for the State director?* Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked for the MEP. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one *FTE* for the State director in your State for the reporting period. To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the State director worked for the MEP during the reporting period and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in the reporting period.
- b. *Who is the State director?* The manager within the SEA who administers the MEP on a statewide basis.

2.3.6.1.2 MEP Staff

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE by job classification of the staff funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Teachers	12	4.3	18	23.9
Counselors	0	0.00	0	0.00
All paraprofessionals	16	8.4	15	12.5
Recruiters	14	3.5	13	6.1
Records transfer staff	7	4.1	9	5.8
Comments: data is correct				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X065 that includes data groups 515 and 625, category A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQs on MEP staff:

- a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
 1. To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
 2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for each job classification in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. *Who is a teacher?* A classroom instructor who is licensed and meets any other teaching requirements in the State.
- c. *Who is a counselor?* A professional staff member who guides individuals, families, groups, and communities by assisting them in problem-solving, decision-making, discovering meaning, and articulating goals related to personal, educational, and career development.
- d. *Who is a paraprofessional?* An individual who: (1) provides one-on-one tutoring if such tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher; (2) assists with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials; (3) provides instructional assistance in a computer laboratory; (4) conducts parental involvement activities; (5) provides support in a library or media center; (6) acts as a translator; or (7) provides instructional support services under the direct supervision of a teacher (Title I, Section 1119(g)(2)). Because a paraprofessional provides instructional support, he/she should not be providing planned direct instruction or introducing to students new skills, concepts, or academic content. Individuals who work in food services, cafeteria or playground supervision, personal care services, non-instructional computer assistance, and similar positions are not considered paraprofessionals under Title I.
- e. *Who is a recruiter?* A staff person responsible for identifying and recruiting children as eligible for the MEP and documenting their eligibility on the Certificate of Eligibility.
- f. *Who is a record transfer staffer?* An individual who is responsible for entering, retrieving, or sending student records from or to another school or student records system.

2.3.6.1.3 Qualified Paraprofessionals

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE of the qualified paraprofessionals funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Qualified paraprofessionals	16	8.4	15	12.5
Comments: data is correct				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQs on qualified paraprofessionals:

- a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
 1. To calculate the FTE, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
 2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional?* A qualified paraprofessional must have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent and have (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d) of *ESEA*).

2.4 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
 - **Adult Corrections:** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
 - **At-Risk Programs:** Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
 - **Juvenile Corrections:** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
 - **Juvenile Detention Facilities:** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
 - **Multiple Purpose Facility:** An institution/facility/program that serves more than one programming purpose. For example, the same facility may run both a juvenile correction program and a juvenile detention program.
 - **Neglected Programs:** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
 - **Other:** Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

2.4.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

2.4.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
1. Neglected programs	0	0
2. Juvenile detention	0	0
3. Juvenile corrections	3	184
4. Adult corrections	7	209
5. Other	0	0
Total	10	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.4.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The unduplicated count of Neglected and Delinquent students has been moved for the SY 2006-07 CSPR. The additional calculation of total number of programs/facilities is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart I:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.1.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
1. Neglected Programs	0
2. Juvenile Detention	0
3. Juvenile Corrections	3
4. Adult Corrections	7
5. Other	0
Total	10
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.4.1.2 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 that are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served			1091	1360	
Long Term Students Served			654	1006	

Race/Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native			42	47	
Asian or Pacific Islander			16	42	
Black, non-Hispanic			590	871	
Hispanic			101	136	
White, non-Hispanic			342	264	
Total			1091	1360	

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Male			981	1328	
Female			110	32	
Total			1091	1360	

Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3 through 5			0	0	
6			0	0	
7			0	0	
8			0	0	
9			0	0	
10			0	0	
11			0	0	
12			0	0	
13			78	0	
14			123	0	
15			272	0	
16			200	35	
17			275	75	
18			114	70	
19			29	1070	
20			0	110	
21			0	0	
Total			1091	1360	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain.

Comments:

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X119 that is data group 656, category sets A, B, and C. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the age groupings that were present in the SY 2005-06 CSPR have been changed to collect data by each age year.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

Note: In the remaining tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column.

2.4.1.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

# Programs That	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Awarded high school course credit(s)	0	3	2	0
2. Awarded high school diploma(s)	0	3	2	0
3. Awarded GED(s)	0		4	0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Earned high school course credits	0	731	2	0
2. Enrolled in a GED program	0	276	4	0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.1.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in their local district school	0			0
2. Earned a GED	0		236	0
3. Obtained high school diploma	0	163	14	0
4. Were accepted into post-secondary education	0	6	152	0
5. Enrolled in post-secondary education	0		10	0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.1.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs		790	355	
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.1.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in external job training education		0	0	
2. Obtained employment		61	227	
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.1.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.1.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the format of the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2006, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories (rows 3 through 7). Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		654	536	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		637	131	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		188	3	
4. No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		58	16	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		60	9	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		67	30	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		264	73	
Comments:				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X113 that is data group 628, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQ on long-term students:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

2.4.1.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

This section is similar to 2.4.1.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		654	1006	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		600	447	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		175	2	
4. No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		40	13	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		60	84	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		68	117	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		257	231	
Comments:				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by *EDFacts* file N/X113 that is data group 628, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.2 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

2.4.2.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
1. At-risk programs	0	
2. Neglected programs	6	150
3. Juvenile detention	4	90
4. Juvenile corrections	16	160
5. Other	0	
Total	26	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.4.2.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the unduplicated count of neglected and delinquent children has been moved. The category At-risk or Other has been split into two separate categories for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

FAQ on average length of stay:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.2.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
1. At-risk programs	0
2. Neglected programs	5
3. Juvenile detention	4
4. Juvenile corrections	16
5. Other	
Total	25
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.4.2.2 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served		136	1643	3050	
Total Long Term Students Served		113	144	634	

Race/Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native		8	22	55	
Asian or Pacific Islander		2	34	25	
Black, non-Hispanic		60	1167	1224	
Hispanic		14	47	384	
White, non-Hispanic		52	373	1362	
Total		136	1643	3050	

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Male		96	1427	2409	
Female		40	216	641	
Total		136	1643	3050	

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
3-5		0	0	0	
6		0	0	0	
7		0	0	0	
8		0	0	0	
9		0	0	0	
10		2	2	13	
11		15	15	58	
12		11	66	125	
13		6	275	98	
14		26	125	230	
15		32	295	1169	
16		12	424	523	
17		21	285	486	
18		9	117	336	
19		2	39	12	
20		0	0	0	
21		0	0	0	
Total		136	1643	3050	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain.

Comments:

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: For this data collection, the age groupings that were present in the SY 2005-06 CSPR have been changed to collect data by each age year. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

Note: In the remaining tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column.

2.4.2.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

LEA Programs That	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention/Corrections	Other Programs
1. Awarded high school course credit(s)	0	2	13	0
2. Awarded high school diploma(s)	0	1	12	0
3. Awarded GED(s)	0		3	0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

2.4.2.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Earned high school course credits	0	7	804	0
2. Enrolled in a GED program	0	0	52	0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.4.2.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in their local district school	0	34	3927	0
2. Earned a GED	0	0	18	0
3. Obtained high school diploma	0	4	32	0
4. Were accepted into post-secondary education	0		16	0
5. Enrolled in post-secondary education	0			0
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

2.4.2.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs		71	605	
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

2.4.2.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in external job training education		0	113	
2. Obtained employment		55	110	
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

2.4.2.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.2.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the format of the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2006, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories (rows 3 through 7). Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		101	778	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		77	381	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		16	49	
4. No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		13	46	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		10	82	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		12	82	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		26	122	
Comments: April 18, 2008 During the data verification process, DPI staff realized that the original numbers entered into this section were inaccurate. They have been corrected, and are reflected above.				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X125 that is data group 629, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007.

2.4.2.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

This section is similar to 2.4.2.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		111	778	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		66	398	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		26	33	
4. No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		7	68	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		18	94	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		4	94	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		11	109	
Comments:				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED*Facts* file N/X125 that is data group 629, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.

2.5 COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL REFORM (CSR) (TITLE I, PART F)

This section collects information on Comprehensive School Reform.

2.5.1 CSR Grantee Schools Making AYP

In the table below, provide the percentage of CSR schools that have/had a CSR grant and that made AYP in reading/language arts and mathematics during SY 2006-07.

	Percentage
Reading/language	91.3
Mathematics	91.3
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: Mathematics was formerly part of section 2.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.5.2 CSR Grantees

In the table below, provide the number of schools that have/had a CSR grant since 1998.

	#
Schools that have/had a CSR grant since 1998?	115
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.5.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.7 SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT (TITLE IV, PART A)

This section collects data on student behaviors under the *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act*.

2.7.1 Performance Measures

In the table below, provide actual performance data. The first four columns (e.g., Performance Indicators, Instruments/Data Sources, Frequency of Collection/Baselines, and Targets) will be pre-populated from your State's SY 2005-06 CSPR submission.

Note: The information in the first four columns is provided for reference purposes only.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
By 2007, the percentage of students who carried a weapon (for example, a gun, knife, or club) on school property in the 30 days prior to the survey will be no greater than 3%, a measured by the Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey.	The Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey	Frequency: biennially	2004-05 3% or less	2004-05 3.9%
			2005-06 na	2005-06 na
			2006-07 3% or less	2006-07 3.6%
		Year of most recent collection: 2006-07	2007-08 na	Baseline: na
			2008-09 na	Year Established: 2001
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
By 2007, the percentage of students who engaged in a physical fight on school property in the 12 months preceding the survey will be no greater than 10%, as measured by the Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey	The Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey	Frequency: biennially	2004-05 10% or less	2004-05 12.2%
			2005-06 na	2005-06 na
			2006-07 10% or less	2006-07 11.4%
		Year of most recent collection: 2006-07	2007-08 na	Baseline: na
			2008-09 na	Year Established: 2001
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
			2004-05 25% or less	2004-05 21.7%
			2005-06 na	2005-06 na
			2006-	

By 2007, the percentage of students offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property in the 12 months preceding the survey will be no greater than 25%, as measured by the Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey	The Wisconsin Youth Risk Behavior Survey	Frequency: biennially	07 25% or less	2006-07 22.7%
		Year of most recent collection: 2006-07	2007-08 na	Baseline: na
			2008-09 na	Year Established: 2001
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
By 2007, the number of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the state, will be zero	Data collected through the statewide school performance report system and through collateral data provided by schools, including, but not limited to, school safety plans, and a description of current efforts to address the schools' safety concerns.	Frequency: Annual	2004-05 0	2004-05 0
			2005-06 0	2005-06 0
			2006-07 0	2006-07 0
		Year of most recent collection: 2006-07 Summer	2007-08 0	Baseline: 0
			2008-09 0	Year Established: 2001
Comments:				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

The following questions collect data on the out-of-school suspension and expulsion of students by grade level (e.g., K through 5, 6 through 8, 9 through 12) and type of incident (e.g., violence, weapons possession, alcohol-related, illicit drug-related).

2.7.2.1 State Definitions

In the spaces below, provide the State definitions for each type of incident.

Incident Type	State Definition
Alcohol related	"Alcohol-Related" means related to alcohol
Illicit drug related	"Drug-Related" means related to use, possession, sale, or solicitation of drugs identified in 21 USC Section 812(c). These offenses do NOT include use, possession, sale, or solicitation of alcohol or tobacco.
Violent incident without physical injury	<p>Wisconsin uses "assault and endangering behavior, "Assault" means behavior that causes bodily harm to another person if that behavior was carried out with intent to cause harm, or without the consent of that individual. Assault includes but is not limited to sexual assault of another individual, without that individual's consent. "Endangering Behavior" is behavior causing the threat of or potential for bodily harm to another person, due to circumstances which show utter disregard to the well being of such individuals. To use this incident type as a reason for removal the behavior must occur while on school grounds or while under the supervision of school authorities.</p> <p>This code is NOT valid after the collection of 2006-07 incident types.</p>
Violent incident with physical injury	N/A
Weapons possession	<p>"Handgun" means any weapon designed or redesigned, or made or remade, and intended to be fired while held in one hand and to use the energy of an explosive to expel a projectile through a smooth or rifled bore. s.175.35(1)(b), Wis Stats</p> <p>"Shotgun" means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned and made or remade to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed shotgun shell to fire through a smooth bore either a number of ball shot or a single projectile for each single pull of the trigger. "Rifle" means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned and made or remade to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed metallic cartridge to fire only a single projectile through a rifled bore for each single pull of the trigger. USC Title 18, Section 921(a)</p> <p>"Other Firearm" means a "Firearm" that is not a handgun, shotgun, or rifle. A "Firearm" is (A) any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; (B) the frame or receiver of any such weapon; (C) any firearm muffler or firearm silencer; or (D) any destructive device. Destructive device includes: (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas, including a (1) bomb, (2) grenade, (3) rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, (4) missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, (5) mine, or (6) similar device; (b) any weapon that will, or that may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and that has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter; (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. Antique firearms and common fireworks are not included in the definition of firearm. See http://www.ed.gov/programs/dvpformula/gfsaguid03.doc. (USC Title 18, Section 921(a))</p> <p>"Dangerous weapon - not firearm" is any dangerous weapon that is not a firearm. This would include any weapon, device, instrument, or material, or substance, animate or inanimate, that is used for, or is readily capable of causing death or serious bodily injury, except that such a term does NOT include a pocket knife with a blade of less than 2 ½ inches in length (18 U.S.C. Section 930(g)(2)).</p>
Comments:	

Source – Initially, pre-populated with definition from the SY 2005-06 CSPR. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This was formerly part of sections 2.7.2.3, 2.7.2.4, and 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the State definition of physical fighting data collection has been removed, however the data collection for violent incident

without physical injury and violent incident with physical injury have been added.

2.7.2.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident without physical injury.

2.7.2.2.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	7365	157
6 through 8	9895	208
9 through 12	6937	219
Comments: Note: Wisconsin does not collect data using this definition. Our closest match for this data would be "assault and endangering behavior." This is the category reflected above.		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.3 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.

2.7.2.2.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	7	4
6 through 8	107	23
9 through 12	180	56
Comments: Wisconsin does not collect data categorized as "violent incident without physical injury." We have used our closest category "assault and endangering behavior in reporting the above data.		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.3 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.

2.7.2.3 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident with physical injury.

2.7.2.3.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	0	0
6 through 8	0	0
9 through 12	0	0
Comments: Wisconsin does not collect data under this category. The closest match in our data collection is "assault and endangering behavior." We have reported all of those cases in the previous field "violent incident without physical injury."		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.2 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.

2.7.2.3.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	0	0
6 through 8	0	0
9 through 12	0	0
Comments: Wisconsin does not collect data under this category. The closest match in our data collection is "assault and endangering behavior." We have reported all of those cases in the previous field "violent incident without physical injury."		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.2 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.

2.7.2.4 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Weapons Possession

The following sections collect data on weapons possession.

2.7.2.4.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	259	63
6 through 8	480	109
9 through 12	452	128
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.2.4.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	4	3
6 through 8	56	21
9 through 12	123	60
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.2.5 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on alcohol-related incidents.

2.7.2.5.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	7	3
6 through 8	171	45
9 through 12	852	174
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.2.5.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	0	0
6 through 8	5	3
9 through 12	56	26
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.2.6 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on illicit drug-related incidents.

2.7.2.6.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	31	11
6 through 8	513	109
9 through 12	2262	222
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.2.6.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	2	2
6 through 8	146	40
9 through 12	516	125
Comments:		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED*Facts* file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.7.3 Parent Involvement

In the table below, provide the types of efforts your State uses to inform parents of, and include parents in, drug and violence prevention efforts. Place a check mark next to the five most common efforts underway in your State. If there are other efforts underway in your State not captured on the list, add those in the other specify section.

Yes/No	Parental Involvement Activities
<u>Yes</u>	Information dissemination on Web sites and in publications, including newsletters, guides, brochures, and "report cards" on school performance
<u>No</u>	Training and technical assistance to LEAs on recruiting and involving parents
<u>Yes</u>	State requirement that parents must be included on LEA advisory councils
<u>No</u>	State and local parent training, meetings, conferences, and workshops
<u>Yes</u>	Parent involvement in State-level advisory groups
<u>Yes</u>	Parent involvement in school-based teams or community coalitions
<u>Yes</u>	Parent surveys, focus groups, and/or other assessments of parent needs and program effectiveness
<u>No</u>	Media and other campaigns (Public service announcements, red ribbon campaigns, kick-off events, parenting awareness month, safe schools week, family day, etc.) to raise parental awareness of drug and alcohol or safety issues
<u>No</u>	Other Specify 1
<u>No</u>	Other Specify 2
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This data collection has been changed from a manual text entry to a check box format for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

2.8 INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS (TITLE V, PART A)

This section collects information pursuant to Title V, Part A of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended.

2.8.1 Annual Statewide Summary

Section 5122 of *ESEA*, as amended, requires States to provide an annual Statewide summary of how Title V, Part A funds contribute to the improvement of student academic performance and the quality of education for students. In addition, these summaries must be based on evaluations provided to the State by LEAs receiving program funds.

Please attach your statewide summary. You can upload file by entering the file name and location in the box below or use the browse button to search for the file as you would when attaching a file to an e-mail. The maximum file size for this upload is 4 meg.

Note: This data collection was formerly section 2.8.8 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.8.2 Needs Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that completed a Title V, Part A needs assessment that the State determined to be credible and the total number of LEAs that received Title V, Part A funds. The percentage column is automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	%
Completed credible Title V, Part A needs assessments	446	100.0
Total received Title V, Part A funds	446	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.8.9 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of LEAs and percentage of LEAs that completed credible Title V, Part A needs assessments is a new data collection.

2.8.3 LEA Expenditures

In the table below, provide the amount of Title V, Part A funds expended by the LEAs. The percentage column will be automatically calculated.

The **4 strategic priorities** are: (1) support student achievement, enhance reading and mathematics, (2) improve the quality of teachers, (3) ensure that schools are safe and drug free, and (4) promote access for all students to a quality education.

Activities authorized under Section 5131 of the *ESEA* that are included in the four strategic priorities are 1-5, 7-9, 12, 14-17, 19-20, 22, and 25-27. Authorized activities that are not included in the four strategic priorities are 6, 10-11, 13, 18, 21, and 23-24.

	\$ Amount	%
Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs for the four strategic priorities	2799349	93.9
Total Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs	2981058	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.8.10 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the total amount of Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs is a new data collection.

2.8.4 LEA Uses of Funds for the Four Strategic Priorities and AYP

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs:

1. That used **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities above and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of adequate yearly progress (AYP).
2. That did not use **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.
3. For which you **do not know** whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.

The total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds will be automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	# LEAs Met AYP
1. <u>Used</u> at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	386	384
2. Did <u>not</u> use at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	60	60
3. Not known whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	0	0
Total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds	446	444
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.8.11 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the data collection for States to report not knowing whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds is a new data collection.

2.9 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) (TITLE VI, PART B, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title VI, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

2.9.1 LEA Use of Alternative Funding Authority Under the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that notified the State of their intent to use the alternative uses funding authority under Section 6211.

	# LEAs
# LEA's using SRSA alternative uses of funding authority	23
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.9.2 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
1. Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	0
2. Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	1
3. Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	1
4. Parental involvement activities	0
5. Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	0
6. Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	1
7. Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.9.2.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.9.2.1 Goals and Objectives

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools (RLIS) Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where available.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

In the 2006-2007 school year, Wisconsin had only one school district eligible for RLIS funding—the Menominee Indian School District. In 2006-2007, Menominee Indian School District was in its second year of a Comprehensive School Reform program. The district used RLIS funds to support several initiatives related to that program, specifically:

Supporting the participation of district educators in a Technology Academy, held in June 2007, in order to improve their technological skills related to teaching.

Supporting the district's participation in a June 2007 data retreat to give educators the tools and strategies to incorporate accurate data into their school improvement process.

Buying calculators for use in middle school mathematics classes. "According to the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, the use of calculators along with traditional paper-and-pencil instruction enhances the learning of basic skills" (Quote from district's application).

Supporting the participation of Head Start and preschool staff in a "Signs and Symbols" language/reading institute. "Signs and Symbols" is a method of enhancing reading skills using sign language to reinforce associations between letters and sounds, and has been shown to assist children with normal hearing in the development of reading comprehension skills and vocabulary development.

Supporting an improved nutritional climate through a healthy snack program which includes replacing soft drinks with bottled water and other more nutritious alternatives.

In summary, most of Menominee Indian School District's RLIS funds were spent on professional development activities, incorporating technology, and improving the nutritional climate of the district's three schools.

The following math and reading scores suggest that Menominee Indian School District made significant gains in student achievement in most areas—especially in the 4th and 8th grades—during the 2006-2007 school year.

Rural and Low Income Schools Reading and Math Scores:

Percentage of Students Scoring at Advanced and Proficient Levels, 2002-2006

Menominee Indian Wisconsin Average

3rd Grade State Reading Test

March 2002 72 81

March 2003 54 74

March 2004 84 85

November 2005 80 81

November 2006 68 81

3rd Grade Math

November 2005 68 72

November 2006 62 74

4th Grade Reading

November 2002 67 81

November 2003 67 83

November 2004 69 82

November 2005 58 82

November 2006 71 82

4th Grade Math

November 2002 59

November 2003 51 73

November 2004 67 74

November 2005 45 73

November 2006 73 77

8th Grade Reading

November 2002 58 83

November 2003 48 80

November 2004 68 85

November 2005 56 85

November 2006 62 84

8th Grade Math

November 2002 43

November 2003 18 73

November 2004 15 66

November 2005 13 74

November 2006 40 75

10th Grade Reading

November 2002 40 NA

November 2003 35 NA

November 2004 40 NA

November 2005 47 NA

November 2006 38 75

10th Grade Math

November 2002 18

November 2003 25 69

November 2004 23 70

November 2005 23 72

November 2006 26 71

Note: Cut scores for proficiency levels on math tests changed effective November 2002. Explanation of cut scores and their relation to lower percentages can be found at <http://www.dpi.state.wi.us/oea/profdesc.html>

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 2.9.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

2.10 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)**2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds**

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 6123(a) during SY 2006-07?	Yes
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.10.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

Number of LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 6123(b).	77
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.10.2.1 Use of Funds

In the tables below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds to and from each eligible program and the total amount of funds transferred to and from each eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds <u>TO</u> Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred <u>TO</u> Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	7	17899.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	12	85940.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	6	14436.00
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	31	343760.00
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs	35	706376.00

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.10.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds <u>FROM</u> Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred <u>FROM</u> Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	54	1087056.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	5	3071.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	19	70768.00
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	6	7516.00
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.10.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.