

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE SELF-ASSESSMENT DISPROPORTIONALITY-OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENT RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST PI-SA-RRC-DISPRO-OHI-001 (Rev. 10-14) **INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete one copy for each student record selected for the sample. Retain at the district level. For Local Use Only. Provided for documentation purposes. Use by the LEA is optional. *Examples for Evidence of Student-Level Corrective Action (last column), include called parent on [date], no new IEP team meeting, etc.

| | | | | GENERAL INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Student Name First and last | | | | School Level Check one | | | |
| Student Date of Birth Mo./Day/Yr. | | | | Reviewer's Name First and Last | NOTE: When reviewing a re substitute "adult student" for "p | TE: When reviewing a record of a student who is an adult, stitute "adult student" for "parent" in all checklist items. | |
| | | | | RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST | | | |
| Item No. Item Description | | | Standards & Directions | Required Student-Level Corrective Action | Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action* | | |
| Dispro- OHI-1 | ☐ Yes ☐ No | A child must not be determined to be a child with a disability if the determinant factor for that determination is lack of appropriate instruction in reading. Comment: | the deter Appropri- essential systemat (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) The lack including (including (including and esse There an requirem determin Form (R- Consent for Cons Evaluatic Docume Checklis Mark "Ye did not la | team may not determine a student to be a student with a disability if minant factor is lack of appropriate instruction in reading. ate instruction includes access to State content standards and components of reading instruction, which means explicit and tic instruction in: Phonemic awareness; Phonics; Vocabulary development; Reading fluency, including oral reading skills; and Reading comprehension strategies. of appropriate reading instruction may be due to many factors, but not limited to: student absences, student mobility, private school g home-based) placement with no access to State content standards and acomponents of reading instruction, etc. e two sources of evidence to determine compliance with this ent. First, you may find documentation of the IEP team's ation in several places throughout the evaluation process: Referral 1), Notice that No Additional Assessments Needed (IE-2), Notice and regarding Need to Conduct Additional Assessments (IE-3), Worksheet ideration of Existing Data to Determine if Additional Assessments or on Materials are Needed (EW-1), Evaluation Report (ER-1), Required ntation for Specific Learning Disability (ER-2A), and/or Eligibility ts. Second, you may need to interview IEP team members. es" if there is evidence that the IEP team determined that the student text in appropriate reading instruction. | The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation and consider the lack of appropriate instruction in reading. If lack of appropriate instruction in reading is the determining factor, the IEP team must determine the student is not eligible for special education. The IEP team must document modifications that can be made in the regular education program to allow the student to meet the educational reading standards (Form ER-1, <i>Evaluation Report</i>). | | |

| Item No. | | Item Description | | Standards & Directions | Required Student-Level Corrective Action | Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action* |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Dispro- OHI-1 contd. | | | Mark "No" if th lacked approp | determination of a disability. The there is evidence that the IEP team determined that the student priate reading instruction <u>and</u> this was the determinant factor for the disability. | | |
| Dispro- OHI-2 | ☐ Yes ☐ No | A child must not be determined to be a child with a disability if the determinant factor for that determination is lack of appropriate instruction in math. Comment: | the determina Appropriate in The lack of ap but not limited home-based) There are two requirement. determination Form (R-1), N Consent regal for Consideral Evaluation Ma Documentation Checklists. Se Mark "Yes" if 1 did not lack ap Even if you fir appropriate m evidence that for the determ Mark "No" if th lacked appropri | a may not determine a student to be a student with a disability if int factor is lack of appropriate instruction in math. Instruction includes access to State content standards. Including a dot is student absences, student mobility, private school (including placement with no access to State content standards, etc. In sources of evidence to determine compliance with this First, you may find documentation of the IEP team's in several places throughout the evaluation process: Referral lotice that No Additional Assessments Needed (IE-2), Notice and rding Need to Conduct Additional Assessments (IE-3), Worksheet tion of Existing Data to Determine if Additional Assessments or aterials are Needed (EW-1), Evaluation Report (ER-1), Required on for Specific Learning Disability (ER-2A), and/or Eligibility econd, you may need to interview IEP team members. There is evidence that the IEP team determined that the student ppropriate math instruction. | The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation and consider the lack of appropriate instruction in math. If lack of appropriate instruction in math is the determining factor, the IEP team must determine the student is not eligible for special education. | |
| Dispro- OHI-3 | ☐ Yes ☐ No | In interpreting evaluation data for the purpose of determining if a child is a child with a disability each public agency must document and carefully consider information about the child's social or cultural background . Comment: | ancestry, relig social affiliatio Wisconsin Rtl 2013). Mark "Yes" if t team's consid | ibes how people live on a daily basis in terms of language, gion, food, dress, musical tastes, traditions, values, political and ons, recreation, and so on. (Singleton, 2006; adopted by the I Center and the Disproportionality Technical Assistance Center, the ER-1, Evaluation Report, contains documentation of the IEP leration of the child's social or cultural background during the IEP I to determine eligibility. | The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation. The IEP team must consider information about the child's social or cultural background in determining whether the child is eligible for special education. | |

RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST Required Student-Level Evidence Of Student-Level Item No. **Item Description Standards & Directions Corrective Action Corrective Action*** Even if you do not find evidence on the ER-1, you may mark "Yes" if the IEP Disproteam used *Culturally Responsive Practices in Schools: The Checklist to* Address Disproportionality, Section III (Madison Metropolitan School District, OHİ-3 contd. University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, and the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, 2007). Mark "No" if you do not find evidence on the ER-1, Evaluation Report, or other evaluation documents that interpret the evaluation data for purposes of eligibility

determination, that the IEP team considered the child's social or cultural

background.