

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE SELF-ASSESSMENT DISPROPORTIONALITY-SPEECH AND LANGUAGE RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST

PI-SA-RRC-DISPRO-SL-001 (Rev. 10-14)

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete one copy for each student record selected for the sample. Retain at the district level. For Local Use Only. Provided for documentation purposes. Use by the LEA is optional. *Examples for Evidence of Student-Level Corrective Action (last column), include called parent on [date], no new IEP team meeting, etc.

				GENERAL INFORMATION								
Student Name First and last				School Level Check one								
				☐ Elementary ☐ Middle ☐ High School								
Student Date of Birth Mo./Day/Yr.				Reviewer's Name First and Last	NOTE: When reviewing a record of a student who is an adult, substitute "adult student" for "parent" in all checklist items.							
RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST												
Item No. Item Description			Standards & Directions		Required Student-Level Corrective Action	Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action*						
Dispro- SL-1	Yes No N/A	The IEP team may not identify a child whose speech or language difficulties result from dialectical differences or from learning English as a second language, unless the child has a language impairment in his or her native language. Comment:	For all of persons Mark "Ye language is not a common the common that will be seen to be seen the common than the common that the common than the common than the common that	ot Applicable" if the student's native language is English. other students, review the student's evaluation file and/or interview involved in the evaluation. es" if the evidence indicates the student demonstrated speech and e problems in both English and Spanish, and language loss in Spanish causal factor. o" if the evidence indicates the student only demonstrated speech and e problems in English.	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation. The IEP team must consider whether the child's language difficulties are a result of dialectical differences or from learning English as a second language. If so, the IEP team must determine the student is not eligible for special education.							
Dispro- SL-2	Yes No N/A	Each public agency must ensure that assessments and other evaluation materials used to assess a child are provided and administered in the child's native communication and in the form most likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally.	Mark "Yechild are reviewing completi of inform measure Languaghttp://speinformati	ot Applicable" if the student has been determined to be fully English to pursuant to PI 13.08(6). See Appendix A of Speech and Language ment of Linguistically Culturally Diverse: Spanish Speaking, sed.dpi.wi.gov/files/sped/pdf/sl-lcd-spanish-speaking.pdf es" if assessments and other evaluation materials used to assess a provided and administered in Spanish. Evidence may be found by go the student's evaluation file and/or conducting interviews of staffing the evaluation. Assessments and evaluation materials may consist neal measures (including descriptive or dynamic approaches), and formates (including appropriately standardized tests). See Speech and go Assessment of Linguistically Culturally Diverse: Spanish Speaking, sed.dpi.wi.gov/files/sped/pdf/sl-lcd-spanish-speaking.pdf, for more tion. e of assessments and evaluation materials in English is permissible as assessments and evaluations materials in Spanish were also used. o" if Spanish language assessments or materials were not used.	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation using assessments and other evaluation materials provided and administered in the child's native or other mode of communication and in the form most likely to yield accurate information.							

Page 2 PI-SA-RRC-DISPRO-SL-001

				RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST		
Item No.		Item Description	Standards & Directions		Required Student-Level Corrective Action	Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action*
Dispro- SL-3	Yes No	In interpreting evaluation data for the purpose of determining if a child is a child with a disability each public agency must document and carefully consider information about the child's social or cultural background. Comment:	ancestry, relig social affiliation Wisconsin Rtl 2013). Mark "Yes" if t team's consid team meeting Even if you do team used Cun Address Dispit University of Valuation, 20 Mark "No" if you evaluation door and affiliation.	bes how people live on a daily basis in terms of language, ion, food, dress, musical tastes, traditions, values, political and ins, recreation, and so on. (Singleton, 2006; adopted by the Center and the Disproportionality Technical Assistance Center, the ER-1, Evaluation Report, contains documentation of the IEP eration of the child's social or cultural background during the IEP to determine eligibility. In not find evidence on the ER-1, you may mark "Yes" if the IEP eliturally Responsive Practices in Schools: The Checklist to proportionality, Section III (Madison Metropolitan School District, Wisconsin-Oshkosh, and the Wisconsin Department of Public 1007). The do not find evidence on the ER-1, Evaluation Report, or other cuments that interpret the evaluation data for purposes of eligibility, that the IEP team considered the child's social or cultural	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation. The IEP team must consider information about the child's social or cultural background in determining whether the child is eligible for special education.	