

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE SELF-ASSESSMENT DISPROPORTIONALITY-SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST

*Examples for Evidence of Student-Level Corrective Action (last column), include called parent on [date], no new IEP team meeting, etc.

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete one copy for each student record selected for the sample. Retain at the district level. For Local Use Only. Provided for documentation purposes. Use by the LEA is optional.

PI-SA-RRC-DISPRO-SLD-001 (Rev. 10-14)

	PI-SA-	RRC-DISPRO-SLD-001 (RE	ev. 10-14)					
					GENERAL INFO	RMATION		
Student Name First and last				School Level Check one				
				Elementary	Middle	☐ High School		
Student Date of Birth Mo./Day/Yr.				Reviewer's Name First and Last			NOTE: When reviewing a re substitute "adult student" for "p	cord of a student who is an adult, parent" in all checklist items.
					RECORD REVIE	EW CHECKLIST		
Item	No.	Item Description		S	tandards & Directi	ions	Required Student-Level Corrective Action	Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action*
Dispro- SLD-1	Yes No	At least two intensive, scientific, research-based or evidence-based interventions (SRBIs) were implemented with adequate fidelity and closely aligned to individual student learning needs. Comment:	Locate the Initial Evreport reeach are informati	ation. SRBIs may be sinclude: Scientific research-scientific evidence Use with individual Focus on single or individual learning responsive Provide a substantial provided to all students of the sessions The IEP team Evaluation (ER-1 and Eacords is not sufficients a of concern, then the on from a school's Merce a of concern, then the on from a school's Merce IIII of the sessions and the sessions of the session	e completed before -based or evidence- of effectiveness) or small groups small number of dis needs (aligned with ve ial number of instru- lents adequate fidelity in a manner highly of 80% of the recomm is, minutes ion Report and Req ER-2A). If the evider it to determine if two ne district may use of MLSS/Rtl system, st	of SLD concern under or after referral. The standards based (substantial documented screte skills closely aligned to area of SLD concern) actional minutes beyond what is consistent with its design hended number of weeks, quired Documentation for SLD-nce provided in the evaluation of SRBIs were implemented in other available data (such as tudent attendance records, and tal information is not sufficient.	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation following at least two, intensive, scientific, research-based or evidence-based interventions (SRBIs) implemented with adequate fidelity and closely aligned to individual student learning needs.	
Dispro- SLD-2	Yes No	When determining whether students have demonstrated insufficient progress, IEP teams shall use data from weekly or more frequent progress monitoring to evaluate the student's rate of progress during SRBIs.	data must data must that can same ag monitorir consider.	st be collected at least to be collected using be used by the IEP te/grade peers using a data must be collection.	st weekly during two probes that provide team to compare the least squares regre ected for each area ion Report and Req	decisions, progress monitoring to SRBIs. Progress monitoring e valid and reliable information the student's progress with that of the ession analysis. Progress of concern under quired Documentation for SLD-nce provided in the evaluation	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation and consider the lack of appropriate instruction in math. If lack of appropriate instruction in math is the determining factor, the IEP team must determine the student is not eligible for	

Page 2 PI-SA-RRC-DISPRO-SLD-001

	RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST							
Item No.		Item Description	Standards & Directions	Required Student-Level Corrective Action	Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action*			
Dispro- SLD-2 contd.		Comment:	report records is not sufficient to determine if the IEP team considered progress monitoring probe data at the student's age/grade level collected at least weekly during SRBIs, then the district may use other available documentation (such as data from a school's MLSS/RtI system and teacher /interventionist maintained intervention records). Anecdotal information is not sufficient.	special education.				
Dispro- SLD-3	Yes No	In interpreting evaluation data for the purpose of determining if a child is a child with a disability each public agency must document and carefully consider information about the child's social or cultural background. Comment:	Culture describes how people live on a daily basis in terms of language, ancestry, religion, food, dress, musical tastes, traditions, values, political and social affiliations, recreation, and so on. (Singleton, 2006; adopted by the Wisconsin Rtl Center and the Disproportionality Technical Assistance Center, 2013). Mark "Yes" if the ER-1, Evaluation Report, contains documentation of the IEP team's consideration of the child's social or cultural background during the IEP team meeting to determine eligibility. Even if you do not find evidence on the ER-1, you may mark "Yes" if the IEP team used <i>Culturally Responsive Practices in Schools: The Checklist to Address Disproportionality</i> , Section III (Madison Metropolitan School District, University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, and the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, 2007). Mark "No" if you do not find evidence on the ER-1, Evaluation Report, or other evaluation documents that interpret the evaluation data for purposes of eligibility determination, that the IEP team considered the child's social or cultural background.	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation. The IEP team must consider information about the child's social or cultural background in determining whether the child is eligible for special education.				
Dispro- SLD-4	Yes No	The IEP team determines its findings regarding insufficient progress and inadequate achievement are not primarily the result of lack of appropriate instruction in the identified area(s) of concern: oral expression, listening comprehension, written expression, basic reading skill, reading fluency skills, reading comprehension, mathematics calculation, or mathematics problem solving or limited English proficiency Comment:	The IEP team may not determine a student to be a student with a specific learning disability (SLD) if the determinant factor is a lack of appropriate instruction in one or more of the eight areas of SLD concern. Appropriate instruction includes access to State content standards for the area(s) of concern. Appropriate instruction in reading includes the essential components of reading instruction, which means explicit and systematic instruction in: (a) Phonemic awareness; (b) Phonics; (c) Vocabulary development; (d) Reading fluency, including oral reading skills; and (e) Reading comprehension strategies. The lack of appropriate instruction may be due to many factors, including but not limited to: student absences, student mobility, private school (including home-based) placement with no access to State content standards and essential components of reading instruction, etc.	The IEP team must complete the initial special education evaluation to determine whether the student's insufficient progress and inadequate classroom achievement are not primarily the result of lack of appropriate instruction in the identified area(s) of concern. If a lack of appropriate instruction is the primary reason for the insufficient progress and inadequate achievement, the student cannot be determined eligible as having a specific learning disability.				

			RECORD REVIEW CHECKLIST		
Item No.	Item Description	Standards & Directions		Required Student-Level Corrective Action	Evidence Of Student-Level Corrective Action*
Dispro- SLD-4 contd.		determination in several place Notice that No regarding Nee Consideration Evaluation Ma Documentation Checklists. See Mark "Yes" if the did not lack in Even if you fir appropriate in "Yes" if there the determina Mark "No" if the lacked in appropriate	First, you may find documentation of the IEP team's ces throughout the evaluation process: Referral Form (R-1), and Additional Assessments Needed (IE-2), Notice and Consent ed to Conduct Additional Assessments (IE-3), Worksheet for a of Existing Data to Determine if Additional Assessments or atterials are Needed (EW-1), Evaluation Report (ER-1), Required on for Specific Learning Disability (ER-2A), and/or Eligibility econd, you may need to interview IEP team members. There is evidence that the IEP team determined that the student appropriate instruction in the area(s) of concern. Indevidence that the IEP team determined the student lacked in struction in the area(s) of concern, you may still be able to mark also is evidence that the IEP team decided that the lack was not untifactor for the determination of a disability. The there is evidence that the IEP team determined that the student repriate instruction in the area(s) of concern and this was the actor for the determination of a disability.		