



Administrator Turnover and Attrition Brief SY2015 to SY2016

Introduction and Goals

One of the primary challenges in education for Wisconsin and the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) is the growing teacher shortage. State Superintendent Tony Evers has labeled it one of the “most critical public policy issues” confronting the state and is dedicating significant resources towards addressing it (<https://dpi.wi.gov/news/dpi-connected/teacher-shortage-announcement>). Work on this issue is also being guided by the Professional Standards Council—a statutorily constructed group that assists the state superintendent with improvements in the areas of teacher preparation, licensure, and regulation (<http://dpi.wi.gov/news/releases/2016/evers-announces-efforts-address-school-staffing-shortages>).

The issue of educator shortages is directly linked to the ability of schools and districts to recruit, retain, support and develop staff members. When schools suffer high turnover and attrition rates, students’ education and learning environments are disrupted. This situation works against efforts to improve student educational outcomes and hinders efforts to reduce the achievement gap throughout the state. High levels of turnover and attrition would also work against efforts at improving equity throughout Wisconsin schools (<https://dpi.wi.gov/sites/default/files/imce/esea/pdf/WisconsinEdEquityPlan.pdf>).

This brief will only cover educational staff that are licensed through DPI and it will focus specifically on Administrative personnel, which includes groups like District Administrators, Business Managers, Reading Specialists, Principals, Program Coordinators, and Library Media Supervisors. It presents data on both turnover and attrition in administrative personnel throughout the state that occurred between the 2014-15(SY2015) and 2015-16(SY2016) school years.

For the purposes of this study, “turnover” is defined as the situation when an individual left a position at a school, but kept working within the Wisconsin Public School system the subsequent year either by finding a position at another school, or by retaining at least one other position within the school system. “Attrition” is defined as the situation when an individual left all of their positions within the Wisconsin public school system and did not return the next year.

Administrative Turnover and Attrition

Analysis shows that during the 2014-15 school year, 5873 licensed administrative personnel were employed in Wisconsin Public Schools filling 6860 positions. The analysis distinguished between the number of positions and the number of distinct people in the Administrator category, because one person might hold multiple positions in a district (or even in multiple districts), and therefore the number of people in the school system was less than the number of positions held by them. Additionally, data on the assignment workload percentages—full-time equivalency (FTE)—that these positions entailed is presented.

Of these 5873 licensed administrative personnel, 4429 individuals remained in 5065 positions into the next year. 620 individuals left 754 positions while remaining within the system (turnover) and 924 left the system entirely (attrition). These statistics are displayed in table 1 along with FTE totals.

Table 1—Administrative Personnel SY2015-16—Remaining, Turnover, & Attrition Numbers

	Positions	% of total	Individuals	% of Total	FTE	% of Total
Total Admin	6860	100.00%	5873	100.00%	5226.04	100.00%
Remaining	5065	73.83%	4429	75.41%	3978.85	76.14%
Turnover	754	10.99%	620	10.56%	514.71	9.85%
Attrition	1041	15.17%	924	15.73%	732.48	14.02%

It is important to note that the percentages for individuals adds up to more than 100%. This occurs because individuals with multiple positions may have kept some of them (and were thus counted in the Remaining group), while also leaving others (thus being counted in the Turnover group).

Within the administrative category, there are a number of different positions, but the five largest categories—making up over 82.7% of all FTEs—are principal (32.9% of FTE), program coordinator (22.1%), assistant principal (13.0%), district Administrator (7.7%), and reading specialist (7.1%). Altogether, these positions constituted 4324.14 FTEs within the administrative category. Table 2 displays the turnover and attrition numbers for each of these five positions in terms of FTE.

Table 2—Administrative Positions SY2015-16—Turnover and Attrition Numbers

Positions	Total FTE	Turnover FTE	% Turnover	Attrition FTE	% attrition
Principal	1720.54	168.74	9.81%	124.01	7.21%
Prog. Coord.	1154.44	108.37	9.39%	321.57	27.86%
Asst. Principal	679.47	99.85	14.70%	38.41	5.65%
District Admin.	400.85	28.16	7.03%	47.86	11.94%
Read. Specialist	368.84	47.79	12.96%	89.37	24.23%

One important aspect of the educator staffing challenge is knowing whether those leaving the profession are newly engaged educators, moderately experienced educators, or are long-term education professionals nearing retirement. By analyzing staffing data, this brief examines how long administrative personnel had been working in Wisconsin public schools. The time period categories used in this analysis are noted in Table 3. These categories were chosen to highlight the critical nature of early years of employment within the Wisconsin Public School system as well as the different stages within an educator’s professional development. One caveat to this data is that it measures the total years of experience as an educator overall rather than measuring only the total experience in a particular position or subject area. Thus, an educator who worked as a sub for one year, then as a math

Table 3--Work History Categories used in Analysis

1 year or less of experience
2 years or less of experience
3 years or less of experience
3-5 years of experience
5-10 years of experience
10-20 years of experience
20+ years of experience

teacher for 7 years, and finally as a vice principal for 7 years would have a sum of 15 years of total experience for this measure.

Table 4 presents a summary of the turnover and attrition groups within administrators, and there are a couple of important inferences to make. With regards to turnover, one can note that there is not significant turnover of administrative personnel with little experience(0-3 years), and these 17 individuals make up only about 9% of the administrators with that much work experience. This finding would fit with the assumption that administrative personnel tend to have more experience in education than other categories. The highest amount of turnover is within those educators with 15+ years of experience, which might suggest that there is a lot of movement in this bracket as people focus on career advancement.

Table 4—Administrative Turnover and Attrition compared to Years of Experience.

Years Exp.	Turnover		Attrition	
	# of individuals	% of total Turnover	# of individuals	% of total Attrition
0-1 years	6	0.97%	22	2.38%
0-2 years	12	1.94%	45	4.87%
0-3 years	17	2.74%	69	7.47%
3.1-5 years	19	3.06%	34	3.68%
5-10 years	102	16.45%	130	14.07%
10-15 years	167	26.94%	151	16.34%
15+ years	315	50.81%	540	58.44%

Regarding attrition, the information in Table 4 shows that the majority of those leaving administrative positions in the Wisconsin public school system were very experienced. According to FTE percentages, 29.0% of the FTE's leaving the system were aged 55 or older, which could signify retirement. However, 46.8% of those leaving (according to FTE %) were between the ages of 40 and 54, which is not yet within the standard age of retirement and might therefore be important for those interested in educator retention. Finally, the 69 individuals with 0-3-years of experience represent over 37% of the administrative personnel with that much work experience, which is a high level of attrition for inexperienced administrators.

Maps of Educator Turnover Rates

The statewide analyses of educator turnover and attrition above do not, however, display the distribution or concentration of educator losses throughout the state. To accomplish this, this brief presents three separate maps that show turnover, attrition, and combined (turnover+ attrition) rates for each of the 378 unified school districts in the state. Accompanying these maps are three tables that present related information. Table 5 shows the number of districts that fall within the different percentage-based categories for turnover and attrition.

Table 5—Number of Districts in Mapping Categories of Turnover and Attrition Rates

	Turnover	Attrition	Turnover+Attrition
0%	173	140	83
0-5%	37	38	31
5-10%	42	45	25
10-15%	35	42	33
15-20%	25	27	40
20-33.3%	42	59	84
33.3%+	24	27	82
no data	0	0	0

Tables 6 and 7 show the breakdown the data from Table 5 further using National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Locale Codes. These codes are “a measure of geographic status on an urban continuum that ranges from ‘large city’ to ‘rural.’”(<https://nces.ed.gov/ccd/commonfiles/localedescription.asp>) The four main categories in these codes are “city,” “suburb,” “town,” and “rural.” Table 6 shows the breakdown of districts related to turnover percentages, while table 7 shows the same breakdown for attrition percentages.

Table 6—District Breakdown by NCES Locale Code for Turnover Percentages


% Turnover	Rural	Town	Suburb	City	Total # of Districts
0%	126	33	14	0	173
0-5%	13	10	10	4	37
5-10%	8	20	11	3	42
10-15%	8	11	10	6	35
15-20%	8	5	9	3	25
20-33.3%	26	9	6	1	42
33.3%+	19	4	1	0	24
Total # of Districts	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7—District Breakdown by NCES Locale Code for Attrition Percentages


% Attrition	Rural	Town	Suburb	City	Total # of Districts
0%	104	26	10	0	140
0-5%	20	11	4	3	38
5-10%	9	17	18	1	45
10-15%	13	13	8	8	42
15-20%	11	8	6	2	27
20-33.3%	32	12	12	3	59
33.3%+	19	5	3	0	27
Total # of Districts	0	0	0	0	0

Maps will be shown on separate pages for easier visibility.

Administrator Turnover Rate SY2015 to SY2016



Administrator Attrition Rate SY2015 to SY2016



Administrator Turnover + Attrition Rate SY2015 to SY2016

